

Drugs and Alcohol Today

An unlikely hero? Challenging stigma through community engagement David Best

Article information:

To cite this document: David Best , (2016),"An unlikely hero? Challenging stigma through community engagement", Drugs and Alcohol Today, Vol. 16 Iss 1 pp. 106 - 116 Permanent link to this document: http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/DAT-09-2015-0054

Downloaded on: 14 November 2016, At: 02:14 (PT) References: this document contains references to 40 other documents. To copy this document: permissions@emeraldinsight.com The fulltext of this document has been downloaded 66 times since 2016*

Users who downloaded this article also downloaded:

(2016),""I don't know what fun is": examining the intersection of social capital, social networks, and social recovery", Drugs and Alcohol Today, Vol. 16 Iss 1 pp. 95-105 http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/DAT-08-2015-0046

(2016),"Understanding recovery: the perspective of substance misusing offenders", Drugs and Alcohol Today, Vol. 16 Iss 1 pp. 16-28 http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/DAT-07-2015-0032

Access to this document was granted through an Emerald subscription provided by emerald-srm: 563821 []

For Authors

If you would like to write for this, or any other Emerald publication, then please use our Emerald for Authors service information about how to choose which publication to write for and submission guidelines are available for all. Please visit www.emeraldinsight.com/authors for more information.

About Emerald www.emeraldinsight.com

Emerald is a global publisher linking research and practice to the benefit of society. The company manages a portfolio of more than 290 journals and over 2,350 books and book series volumes, as well as providing an extensive range of online products and additional customer resources and services.

Emerald is both COUNTER 4 and TRANSFER compliant. The organization is a partner of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and also works with Portico and the LOCKSS initiative for digital archive preservation.

*Related content and download information correct at time of download.



An unlikely hero? Challenging stigma through community engagement

David Best

David Best is Professor of Criminology at Department of Law and Criminology, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK.

Abstract

Purpose – The purpose of this paper is to describe a high-profile social enterprise in Blackpool, England, called Jobs, Friends and Houses (JFH) that has created a visible social identity of recovery and meaningful activity, to assess how stigma is challenged through active and visible community engagement.

Design/methodology/approach – Case study based on in-depth individual interview and focus group, supplemented by participant in-depth interviews.

Findings – The paper describes one particular incident in which a worker at JFH intervened in a violent attack, possibly saving a woman's life. The paper describes the experiences of internalised stigma and external exclusion being challenged by the development of a positive social identity and a pro-social community role that has high visibility. Data are presented showing the strong social identity experienced by participants and recognised by external stakeholders.

Research limitations/implications – This is a pilot study which uses an opportunistic design and much stronger longitudinal designs will be needed to address the issues raised in the paper.

Social implications – The paper argues that the visibility of the pro-social identity has been central to challenging stereotypes and discriminating attitudes and suggests that a social identity approach may be central to generating and sustaining a recovery community and to confronting and reversing long-held stigmatised attitudes.

Originality/value – The paper is important as it discusses the impact of recovery through engagement in meaningful activities that challenge stigma and exclusion through work. The paper is framed in terms of a social identity model of recovery.

Keywords Social identity theory, Housing, Recovery, Employment, Stigma, Social reintegration Paper type Case study

Introduction

According to Goffman, stigma extensively discredits a person, reducing them "from a whole and usual person to a tainted, discounted one" (p. 3). The current paper shows how an innovative partnership model to employing excluded and vulnerable populations has not only provided hope and purpose for participants but has, through the resulting social enterprise, challenged stigma and exclusion. One particular incident is described as part of a broader process of challenging exclusion and stigmatisation, in this preliminary analysis of a recovery project that attempts reintegration through community improvement.

There is considerable evidence that stigma is a major problem for alcohol and other drug users and their families, with the World Health Organisation (2001) reporting that illicit drug use is the most stigmatised health condition in the world with alcohol dependence as the fourth most stigmatised. The UK Drug Policy Commission (2010) define stigma as "an indelible mark or a stain, and the term is generally applied to an attribute that makes a person unacceptable in other people's eyes" (p. 1). The UKDPC goes on to suggest that stigma goes beyond stereotyping in

Received 15 September 2015 Revised 15 November 2015 Accepted 26 November 2015 that it often leads to prejudice and active discrimination. In a recent study on public attitudes to stigmatised behaviours, Phillips and Shaw (2013) not only demonstrated that substance misuse was more stigmatising than either obesity or smoking, but also that the general public have limited faith in recovery or desistance. Thus, participants retained a preference for social distance even towards those substance users who were described as being in recovery.

Jones *et al.* (2010) surveyed over 3,000 members of the general public and found that nearly 60 per cent reported that "one of the main causes of drug dependence is a lack of self-discipline and willpower"; nearly half agreed that "people with a history of drug dependence are a burden of society"; and over 40 per cent agreed that "I would not want to live next door to someone who has been dependent on drugs". Braithwaite (1989) has argued that repeated exclusion and stigmatisation leads to the development of a "master identity" as an offender and so compounds the exclusion by others with a self-exclusion that reduces access to help and support and to engagement with social capital. Braithwaite argues that where societies practice disintegrative shaming (where the miscreant is excluded) rather than reintegrative shaming (where the punishment actively includes explicit ceremonies or acts of reintegration within the community) there is greater stigma and greater likelihood of developing a master identity of an offender. This is consistent with Goffman's (1963a, b) conclusion that, where a person perceives themselves to be "a discredited person facing an unaccepting world" (p. 19), they are unlikely to respond well to rehabilitative supports and interventions.

This exclusion is likely to impact on the individual's social as well as their personal identity, and the second conceptual frame pertinent to the current analysis relates to Social Identity Theory. Social Identity Theory proposes that, in a range of social contexts, people's sense of self is derived from their membership of various social groups. The resulting social identities serve to structure (and restructure) a person's perception and behaviour – their values, norms and goals; their orientations, relationships and interactions; what they think, what they do, and what they want to achieve (Tajfel and Turner, 1979; Haslam, 2014).

Social group membership and particularly a strong sense of identification with a group provides a lens through which to view the world and access to values, norms, beliefs and attitudes that are reinforced through engagement with the group. The social identity approach offers an explanation for the beneficial effects of group membership found in previous research assessing recovery from substance use (e.g. Best *et al.*, 2012; Zywiak *et al.*, 2009), where more active involved in a range of recovery groups has been associated with better outcomes. However, it cannot be assumed that all the groups to which individuals belong have a positive impact on physical and psychological well-being (Haslam *et al.*, 2012; Jetten *et al.*, 2014), nor that they all promote healthy behaviours (Oyserman *et al.*, 2007).

Because groups are strong determinants of self-definition (Turner, 1991), strong affiliation with a group that is discriminated against and socially excluded due to involvement in deviant norms and activities (e.g. groups of drug users or problem drinkers) may also increase group members' health vulnerability and reduce subjective well-being and self-esteem (Schofield and Eurich-Fulcer, 2001). This is the situation for many people starting on their recovery journeys who either have the choice of re-engaging with their former using network and thus risk relapsing and returning to their former lifestyle or social isolation (Best *et al.*, 2008). Thus, one of the key objectives of a recovery journey is transitioning to networks that are supportive of recovery (Longabaugh *et al.*, 2010) that can offer a positive sense of social identity and that offer protection against stigma and exclusion.

Yet stigma can threaten social identity (Major and O'Brien, 2005) as it can impact on self-esteem and lead to the belief that adverse life events result from being the member of a stigmatised group (Steele, 1997), such that belonging to groups of drug users and offenders can result in adverse impact on self-esteem and well-being. This is particularly the case as members of stigmatised groups are more at risk for mental and physical health problems such as depression, heart disease and stroke (American Heart Association, 2003; Jackson *et al.*, 1996; McEwen, 2000). The perception of social status is critical – there is evidence that subjective perceptions of social status are positively related to health outcomes, even controlling for objective indicators of social status (Adler *et al.*, 2000; Ostrove *et al.*, 2000). Thus it is critical for those overcoming drug

and alcohol problems and offending histories to have a sense of a positive social identity for a range of health-related outcomes.

Rationale: this is a preliminary overview of an innovative project that has attempted to create a visible recovery identity as part of a social enterprise building and renovating housing in Blackpool, England. The purpose of the paper is to describe the history and development of the project and then to address the following questions:

- 1. How does a visible social identity of recovery impact on personal and social identity of participants in the project?
- 2. How does a visible recovery identity impact on stigma and exclusion?
- 3. How is this influenced by a pro-social event that challenges stigmatised and discriminatory perceptions of former prisoners with substance use problems?

Method and findings

Setting: Jobs, Friends and Houses (JFH) was set up as an independent business (a Social Enterprise) by Lancashire Constabulary who seconded an experienced police sergeant to run the organisation in partnership with a well-known member of the local recovery community who had done considerable work supporting recovery in the two local prisons (Preston and Kirkham). The rationale for JFH is that it is a business that aims to operate at a profit but whose goals are to provide training and employment to two socially excluded groups (those coming out of prison and those with substance problems).

JFH builds and renovates property in Blackpool, Lancashire, either for sale or to rent out to those who work on the project, thus regenerating run down properties, and creating high-quality accommodation for those who are employed as builders on the project. Individuals who sign up with JFH undertake an initial week of volunteering and, if this is successful, are enroled in a, "Build It Up", training course with Blackpool and Fylde College, while continuing with the volunteering at the project. On completion of the course, graduates are then enroled in a two-year building apprenticeship in a relevant building skill.

Throughout the period of their apprenticeship, all JFH team members also work on renovating the properties acquired by JFH, initially under the tutelage of skilled craftsmen. At the time of writing (July 2014) there are around 30 individuals working as part of the JFH team across eight sites in Blackpool. The project has been highly successful in attracting building contracts as word has spread about the quality of the work and the speed and efficiency of the team. However, the project is also unusual in that it has a strong welfare component with a well-being coordinator and a range of social activities (including mutual aid meeting attendance, but also photography courses, a football team and a series of recreational activities) central to the programme. In other words, participants are committing to more than a nine-to-five job and this is central to the social identity concept of the programme (Jetten *et al.*, 2012).

Additionally, at the time of writing, only one person has been asked to leave JFH as a result of their relapse to substance use and there have been no reported instances of involvement in crime resulting in reduced involvement in the programme.

Method: this preliminary paper is based on assessing the development of social identity using a combination of interview, focus group and photographic materials, supplemented by an in-depth interview and focus group relating to a criminal incident that the JFH team were involved in. This data collecting process has been opportunistic but is illustrative of an emerging social identity and status that challenges stigma and discriminatory attitudes. The interview and the focus group were both tape recorded and transcribed and rather than using a thematic approach as much of the material as possible has been presented in the results section below. The primary data collecting process has been supplemented by the inclusion of a number of key informant interviews collected for the evaluation of the project, consisting of 18 external stakeholders and ten participants in the programme. These data have been drawn upon to draw out issues around identity and perceptions of success factors at JFH.

Results

JFH: a visible social identity of recovery

In contrast to a number of recovery projects (and indeed the anonymous mutual aid movements) JFH is a highly visible "brand" in Blackpool with a high-profile logo clearly displayed on a number of vans that can be seen throughout the town, around the properties that they are working on and, crucially, on the t-shirts, fleeces and high-visibility jackets worn by the JFH team (and managers) while engaged in the building and renovation work (Plates 1-3).

This is critical to the JFH social identity as a "knifing off" (Maruna, 2001) of their "addict" or "offender" identities and re-creating a new positive identity that is both about being part of something that confers a sense of belonging and pride but that is a publicly accessible sign of being in meaningful employment. This will contrast to their previous exclusions and stigmatisations that resulted from stigmatised identities. In this context, the consequences of the incident described below can be seen to cement this recovery identity but also as a means – both within the team and in terms of relationships with a host of external groups – to enhance a pro-social and valued identity around belonging to JFH.

Intervening in serious gendered violence

On the day when the woman was attacked, the JFH team got to work at ten to eight and signed in at just after 8 a.m. They were standing on the front step of the building they were working on, when the landlord from a hotel across the road came running out saying, "help, help quick he is killing her, he's killing a woman".

M (see below for M's story) was the first of the team to run over. He was directed upstairs, he heard sounds coming from a room, "there was blood everywhere, there was a man in the corner of the room, with a lady between his legs, they were both naked and he was just hitting her with a glass in the face". M decided to get involved and jumped across the bed, restrained the assailant, before the rest of the JFH team came in and removed the victim and took her out of the hotel room and into the hallway, and covered her up. The others then helped M to restrain the perpetrator until the police came.



Plate 1 JFH visibility



When asked to describe his involvement, M stated that "It was such a weird feeling, once the adrenaline and the shock of it, I was just in a daze really [...] it was weird being on the witness side of it, but I wanted to help the police as much as I could. The situation was vile, it was disgusting". In reflecting on the aftermath of the incident M said that "the police had said that to us, maybe ordinary Joe public would have gone into that room and come out and waited for the police to come, then she would have been dead". M said that everyone was saying how proud they were of him, "it's nice to have that because obviously I have never had that before".

An unlikely hero (in-depth interview)

M reported that he had had a difficult background – he was originally from Manchester but moved to Blackpool with his family in 1996. After the move he started getting involved in drugs and binge drinking. A man then assaulted his girlfriend and because his retaliation was considered to be over the line of self-defence, he was sentenced to 2.5 years for GBH. When he got out of prison he got into a vicious circle of going out and drinking and doing different drugs, which went on for just over a year. This led him to a situation in a house where a man ended up getting viciously attacked, "I played a part in it but my co-def [Co-defendant] took it a step further and killed the man, he got done for murder and because I was a co-defendant I got done for manslaughter". M explained that because of his previous two violence offences he was being sentenced to life imprisonment, of which he served 14 years.

M got out of prison three months before the incident. He had come back to Blackpool as his family had moved there. He then explained that his former partner was two months pregnant when he was sentenced and, "now I have come out, I have a 13 year old daughter". He stated that he saw his daughter for the first couple of years when he was in prison, but his ex-partner

Plate 3 JFH shirt



formed a new relationship and distanced herself from him and his family but got back in touch a few years later. M did not see his daughter from the age of two to the age of eight and that it was hard to build a relationship with his daughter on prison visits, however once he moved prison he had home visits.

Although the prison had set up a job for him, it was working in a call centre "cold calling" people to sell to. He got out of prison on the Monday, started the job on the Wednesday but walked out of it by the afternoon, reporting "I'm not built for a call centre, I've not got a phone voice". He said that he was in a small room, trying to memorise a script and that people were putting the phone down on him. He "told the gaffer [boss], who was sound with it", and then he was unemployed. However, the unemployment office had changed in the time he was in prison, and he reported that, "I had to sign on which was difficult, as when it was the job centre before it had little cards' [...] the job centre now just put you in touch with another agency. Everything is online, CV's and job searches so that was a struggle".

A chance encounter with one of the founders of JFH saw him enrol as a volunteer with JFH and, at the time of writing, he is being trained as a plumber, reflecting that "I don't know I just got it straightaway, I've enjoyed every day of it". The fact that the rest of the team are in the same position really helped M to fit in as, "they have all got a past and history and so nobody is judging you, so that is a huge weight off; you don't get Joe Public asking 'what was prison like', so it takes that stress out of it". This has allowed him, after 14 years out of the labour market, to take to it "like a duck to water basically, because I've come out with a mentality that I want to work and I want to succeed, and I want to be a role model for my daughter, I want to give her a dad to be proud of".

But for M, work was central but not the only unique thing about JFH in that the cameraderie extended beyond the working day, "that's what's good about this firm, it's not just about coming to work 8 to 4, we will do football on a Monday night. Because I'm into my gym they have asked me to run a fitness class".

Since the incident described in the second section, M describes that he has continued to focus on the work, and that "it's given me confidence that I can do certain things, that was one of my worries, 14 years is a long time and to come back into a community, its shown me that I can do that. To be who I want to be".

Changed states: seeing ourselves as others see us (focus group)

In addition, a focus group was conducted (on the construction site where they were working) with the other seven members of the team who had witnessed or participated in stopping the hotel assault.

The focus group started by asking for a description of the incident, with one of the team members summarising the event as, "it was a normal Thursday morning, there were a lot of the team outside at ten to eight having a brew. The landlord of the hotel opposite came running out with blood on his shirt shouting, 'he's killing her', 'he's gonna kill her'. Some of the lads ran over. M went into the room first, the guy and woman who were in there were covered in blood. The man had got her in a bear hug and was smashing a glass over her head. The men managed to get him off her. The woman was a real mess, she was unconscious. The men put her in the recovery position, she was completely naked and caked in blood. The room was caked in blood and the mattress was soaking. It took five of them to try and restrain the man (including Z who is a body builder) – they were putting cable ties on him that kept snapping. It was really hard work".

When asked if the incident was a frightening experience, one of the participants reported that, "At the time I didn't think about it but afterwards it was. The severity of it was overwhelming" and went on to say that, "the Police have said that if they had not gone in at the time she would be dead". Another participant reported that, "Most of us have spent our adult life drinking and taking drugs, and have seen some sights, that was the worst I have ever seen".

The police interviewed them individually and told them they had to make statements, which went against the grain for the participants who reported that they had generally tried to avoid having anything to do with the police in the past. However, their own expectations about police responses were also challenged and one described the police involved by saying, "they were brilliant". Another participant stated that, "they were respectful and that they thanked us for what we had done".

There was a recognition that not only had the event had a positive effect on how they were treated and perceived by the police but that it had also strengthened the bond within the group – one participant reported that, "we all look out for each other" and there was visible pride in the group as they related how the victim's parents came down the next day and showed them photos, and thanked them. They felt that, "It just goes to show that we might have a criminal past but that doesn't make us bad people" and that their identification with JFH was part of becoming an accepted member of the local community.

What is it that works about JFH

The first section relates to participants' experiences of the project with one reporting that in the start you "Go for work, a trade and a house, that's all of what I need. Once introduced, I realised it's all about helping others". This is a consistent theme and two other participants in the evaluation interviews made similar comments – "For my own personal reasons with regards to what they have on offer in the workplace for my trade. I love what they stand for and if I can help pass on my skills to others, the ethos of the company". Another participant felt that "For me it's all about a path, a journey [...] in giving we receive, the ethos and vision". This sense of belonging and engaging is reflected in what a fourth person reported, "I feel more confident, aware of where I want to go in life. What direction I want to go".

However, that sense of belonging and identity is also reflected in the views of outside stakeholders, one of whom summed this view up in the perception that, "It had the secret ingredients needed for success, that it meets all the resettlement pathways all in one". Another external stakeholder summed up the outside view of JFH as, "The tribal approach to how it's being built up, the infrastructure of appropriate skills. XX is building an infrastructure, almost cherry picking the right people for the right roles. He's surrounding himself with the right skills and roles, and that's right. So it's not down to one person. JFH and us have a tribal leadership approach".

Discussion

The process of desisting from offending and/or recovering is a challenging and precarious process which is generally assumed to require changes in social networks (Longabaugh *et al.*, 2010; Best *et al.*, 2008), changes in personal identity (Maruna, 2001), social identity (Best *et al.*, 2016) and the role of the peer group (Giordano *et al.*, 2002) and the acceptance and participation of a range of community stakeholders in the "redemption narrative" (Maruna, 2001). In the recovery literature, the resources that individuals have at their disposal for this journey has been labelled "recovery capital" (Granfield and Cloud, 2001), with Best and Laudet (2010) developing this concept to consist of three components – personal, social and community capital. The possibility that recovery capital may have a negative as well as a positive side was explored by Cloud and Granfield (2008) and stigma and exclusion may well be key aspects of negative recovery capital that block sustained recovery from substance use problems and/or desistance from offending for individuals who have made the initial steps but whose progress is blocked by inability to find reasonable accommodation, employment and new social supports.

What the current paper has presented is a personal desistance and recovery journey that appears to follow the pattern of the age-crime curve described by Sampson and Laub (2003), in that the pathway to desistance is associated with stable roles in employment, family relationships and social supports. What our case study also shows is the importance of social networks reflecting the work of Giordano *et al.* (2002), who argued that individuals do not desist alone, and that relationships play a significant role in the desistance process. Giordano and colleagues also highlighted that it is in peer groups where new identities are first tried out, as individual's tested their new roles on others. What the current paper introduces is the notion that the new identity is a fundamentally social identity, whose salience and prominence reinforces the bond to the group (Turner *et al.*, 1994), and adherence to the roles, norms and values of the group (Cruwys *et al.*, 2014; Dingle *et al.*, 2012; Haslam and Reicher, 2006; Jetten *et al.*, 2012). In the case of JFH, that membership has both internal coherence and external status and value in the wider community, and the identity has a prominence and salience that is linked to the positive status and value of JFH in the local community.

JFH is a UK social enterprise approach to supporting recovery and desistance through a highly visible social identity of change. JFH is a project that has created pathways to sustainable change for socially excluded groups with criminal and/or substance problem histories through employment, creation of a housing stock and engagement with the recovery community. However, it has done this in two ways that are unusual – first, by operating on strictly business principles (Best *et al.*, 2016) and by creating a high-visibility social identity for recovery. Not only has the assault incident (and its reporting in local media) challenged the stigma associated with substance use (e.g. World Health Organisation, 2001), but the success of JFH as a local business challenged the assumption of the intractability of substance misuse and involvement in crime, a key barrier to long-term change (Phillips and Shaw, 2013).

To conclude by returning to the discussion of community recovery capital, one of the possibilities of a high-profile and positively viewed organisation such as JFH is that its success and the public awareness of that success generates community recovery capital (Best *et al.*, 2015). As Wilton and DeVerteuil (2006) have shown in the context of a growing recovery community in San Pedro, California, the emergence of a highly visible recovery community, with celebrations of recovery achievement, can change community attitudes and perceptions. They termed the resulting community a "therapeutic landscape of recovery" and our suggestion is that what this means is

positive capital in the community in terms of the accessibility of resources and a resulting reduction in the exclusion and stigmatisation of those attempting their own journeys of desistance and recovery.

There are a number of limitations to the paper that need to be recognised. It is based on very limited and opportunistic data, largely self-report from one in-depth interview and one focus group. The key individual and the participants in the focus group were all men and this has a potential gender limitation. As Haseltine (2000) has argued, there are strong gender differences in onset and recovery factors with social forces more prominent for men and stress and affective disorders more relevant for women. For this reason, the strong social bonding and group commitment may be particularly important for male participants, and further research would be required to assess this question. Further, we have no data on the impact on community attitudes beyond a small group of stakeholders and this is something that will be addressed as this programme of work develops.

While the work is underway to assess community attitudes, the impact on community capital and stigma is speculative at this stage, and the long-term well-being of the participants unknown. JFH is unusual in that it is a social enterprise that provides training, employment and ultimately accommodation, but it does so in the context of a tightly woven social network and a strong social identity (Best *et al.*, 2016). As outlined above, JFH has a strong commitment both to the well-being of participants and to community involvement and for many of the participants, they are engaged in activities with peers much of the time, building a strong social identity – described by one of the external stakeholders as "tribal". There is much work to be done to unpick the effective elements of the programme, and to assess its longer-term impact on participants and on the local community, but the model has shown considerable promise in challenging stigma and exclusion through an active and visible recovery model.

References

Adler, N., Epel, E., Castallazzo, G. and Ickovics, J. (2000), "Relationship of subjective and objective social status with psychological and physiological functioning: preliminary data in healthy, white women", *Health Psychology*, Vol. 19, pp. 586-92.

American Heart Association (2003), "Stroke risk factors", available at: www.americanheart.org/presenter. jhtml?identifier=237 (accessed 11 December 2003).

Best, D. and Laudet, A. (2010), The Potential of Recovery Capital, RSA, London.

Best, D., Bird, K. and Hunton, L. (2015), "Recovery as a social phenomenon: what is the role of the community in supporting and enabling recovery?", in Ronel, N. and Segev, D. (Eds), *Positive Criminology*, Routledge, Abingdon, OX, pp. 194-207.

Best, D., Beswick, T., Hodgkins, S. and Idle, M. (2016), "Recovery, ambitions and aspirations: an exploratory project to build a recovery community by generating a skilled recovery workforce", *Alcoholism Treatment Quarterly*, Vol. 34 No. 1, pp. 3-14.

Best, D., Ghufran, S., Day, E., Ray, R. and Loaring, J. (2008), "Breaking the habit: a retrospective analysis of desistance factors among formerly problematic heroin users", *Drug and Alcohol Review*, Vol. 27 No. 6, pp. 619-24.

Best, D., Honor, S., Karpusheff, J., Loudon, L., Hall, R., Groshkova, T. and White, W. (2012), "Well-being and recovery functioning among substance users engaged in post-treatment recovery support groups", *Alcoholism Treatment Quarterly*, Vol. 30 No. 4, pp. 397-406.

Braithwaite, J. (1989), Crime, Shame and Reintegration, Cambridge University Press, New York, NY.

Cloud, W. and Granfield, R. (2008), "Conceptualizing recovery capital: expansion of a theoretical concept", *Substance Use and Misuse*, Vol. 43 Nos 12-13, pp. 1971-86.

Cruwys, T., Dingle, G., Hornsey, M., Jetten, J., Oei, T. and Walter, T. (2014), "Social isolation schema responds to positive social experiences: longitudinal evidence from vulnerable populations", *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, Vol. 53, pp. 265-80.

Dingle, G.A., Brander, C., Ballantyne, J. and Baker, F.A. (2012), "'To be heard': the social and mental health benefits of choir singing for disadvantaged adults", *Psychology of Music*, Vol. 41 No. 4, pp. 405-21.

Giordano, P.C., Cernkovich, S.A. and Rudolph, J.L. (2002), "Gender, crime and desistance: towards a theory of cognitive transformation", *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 107, pp. 990-1064.

Goffman, E. (1963a), Stigma: Notes on the Management of Spoiled Identity, Prentice Hall, New York, NY.

Goffman, E. (1963b), Stigma: On the Management of Spoiled Identity, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

Granfield, R. and Cloud, W. (2001), "Social context and 'natural recovery': the role of social capital in the resolution of drug-associated problems", *Substance Use and Misuse*, Vol. 36, pp. 1543-70.

Haseltine, F. (2000), "Gender differences in addiction and recovery", *Journal of Women's Health and Gender-Based Medicine*, Vol. 9 No. 6, p. 579.

Haslam, S.A. (2014), "Making good theory practical: five lessons for an applied social identity approach to challenges of organizational, health, and clinical psychology", *British Journal of Social Psychology*.

Haslam, S.A. and Reicher, S. (2006), "Stressing the group: social identity and the unfolding dynamics of responses to stress", *The Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 91 No. 5, pp. 1037-52.

Haslam, S.A., Reicher, S.D. and Levine, M. (2012), "When other people are heaven, when other people are hell: how social identity determines the nature and impact of social support", in Jetten, J., Haslam, C. and Haslam, S.A. (Eds), *The Social Cure: Identity, Health and Well-Being*, Psychology Press, New York, NY, pp. 157-74.

Jackson, J., Brown, T., Williams, D., Torres, M., Sellers, S. and Brown, K. (1996), "Racism and the physical and mental health status of African Americans: a thirteen-year national panel study", *Ethnicity Discourse*, Vol. 6, pp. 132-47.

Jetten, J., Haslam, C. and Haslam, S.A. (Eds) (2012), *The Social Cure: Identity, Health and Well-Being*, Psychology Press, London.

Jetten, J., Haslam, C., Haslam, S.A., Dingle, G. and Jones, J.M. (2014), "How groups affect our health and well-being: the path from theory to policy", *Social Issues and Policy Review*, Vol. 8, pp. 103-30.

Jones, R., Simonson, P. and Singleton, N. (2010), *Experiences of Stigma – Everyday Barriers for Drug Users and Their Families*, UK Drug Policy Commission, London, available at: www.ukdpc.org.uk/publications. shtml#Stigma_reports (accessed 30 September 2015).

Laub, J.H. and Sampson, R.J. (2003), *Shared Beginnings, Divergent Lives: Delinquent Boys to Age 70*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.

Longabaugh, R., Wirtz, P.W., Zywiak, W.H. and O'Malley, S.S. (2010), "Network support as a prognostic indicator of drinking outcomes: the COMBINE study", *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*, Vol. 71 No. 6, p. 837.

McEwen, B. (2000), "The neurobiology of stress: from serendipity to clinical relevance", *Brain Research*, Vol. 886, pp. 172-89.

Major, B. and O'Brien, T. (2005), "The social psychology of stigma", *Annual Review of Psychology*, Vol. 56, pp. 393-421.

Maruna, S. (2001), *Making Good: How Ex-Convicts Reform and Rebuild their Lives*, American Psychological Society, Washington, DC.

Ostrove, M., Adler, N., Kuppermann, M. and Washington, A. (2000), "Objective and subjective assessments of socioeconomic status and their relationship to self-rated health in an ethnically diverse sample of pregnant women", *Health Psychology*, Vol. 19, pp. 613-18.

Oyserman, D., Fryberg, S.A. and Yoder, N. (2007), "Identity-based motivation and health", *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol. 93 No. 6, pp. 1011-27. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.93.6.1011.

Phillips, L.A. and Shaw, A. (2013), "Substance use more stigmatized than smoking or obesity", *Journal of Substance Use*, Vol. 18 No. 4, pp. 247-53.

Schofield, J.W. and Eurich-Fulcer, R. (2001), "When and how school desegregation improves intergroup relations", in Brown, R. and Gaertner, S.L. (Eds), *Blackwell Handbook of Social Psychology: Intergroup Processes*, Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 475-94.

Steele, C. (1997), "A threat in the air: how stereotypes shape intellectual identity and performance", *American Psychology*, Vol. 52, pp. 613-29.

Tajfel, H. and Turner, J.C. (1979), "An integrative theory of intergroup conflict", in Austin, W.G. and Worchel, S. (Eds), *The Social Psychology of Intergroup Relations*, Brooks/Cole, Monterey, CA, pp. 33-47.

Turner, J.C. (1991), Social Influence, Open University Press, Milton Keynes.

Turner, J.C., Oakes, P.J., Haslam, S.A. and McGarty, C.A. (1994), "Self and collective: cognition and social context", *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, Vol. 20, pp. 454-63.

UK Drug Policy Commission (2010), *Getting Serious About Stigma: The Problem with Stigmatising Drug Users: An Overview*, UK Drug Policy Commission, London, available at: www.ukdpc.org.uk/publications. sthml#Stigma_reports (accessed 30 September 2015).

Wilton, R. and DeVerteuil, G. (2006), "Spaces of sobriety/sites of power: examining social model alcohol recovery programs as therapeutic landscapes", *Social Science and Medicine*, Vol. 63, pp. 649-61.

World Health Organisation (2001), *The World Health Report. Mental Health: New Understandings, New Hope,* World Health Organisation, Geneva.

Zywiak, W., Neighbors, C., Martin, R., Johnson, J., Eaton, C. and Rosenhow, D. (2009), "The important people drug and alcohol interview: psychometric properties, predictive validity and implications for treatment", *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, Vol. 36 No. 3, pp. 321-30.

Corresponding author

Professor David Best can be contacted at: d.best@shu.ac.uk

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website: www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm Or contact us for further details: permissions@emeraldinsight.com

This article has been cited by:

1. William White, Marc Galanter, Keith Humphreys, John Kelly. 2016. The Paucity of Attention to Narcotics Anonymous in Current Public, Professional, and Policy Responses to Rising Opioid Addiction. *Alcoholism Treatment Quarterly* **34**:4, 437-462. [CrossRef]