



Library Hi Tech

The influence of smartphones on academic performance: The development of the technology-to-performance chain model Yong Jeong Yi Soeun You Beom Jun Bae

Article information:

To cite this document: Yong Jeong Yi Soeun You Beom Jun Bae , (2016),"The influence of smartphones on academic performance", Library Hi Tech, Vol. 34 Iss 3 pp. 480 - 499 Permanent link to this document: http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/LHT-04-2016-0038

Downloaded on: 15 November 2016, At: 22:22 (PT) References: this document contains references to 43 other documents. To copy this document: permissions@emeraldinsight.com The fulltext of this document has been downloaded 230 times since 2016*

Users who downloaded this article also downloaded:

(2016),"Role of libraries in developing an informed and educated nation", Library Hi Tech, Vol. 34 Iss 3 pp. 422-432 http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/LHT-01-2016-0009

(2016),"Academic technology confidence levels vs ability in first-year traditional and non-traditional undergraduates", Library Hi Tech, Vol. 34 Iss 3 pp. 468-479 http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/LHT-03-2016-0032

Access to this document was granted through an Emerald subscription provided by emerald-srm:563821 []

For Authors

If you would like to write for this, or any other Emerald publication, then please use our Emerald for Authors service information about how to choose which publication to write for and submission guidelines are available for all. Please visit www.emeraldinsight.com/authors for more information.

About Emerald www.emeraldinsight.com

Emerald is a global publisher linking research and practice to the benefit of society. The company manages a portfolio of more than 290 journals and over 2,350 books and book series volumes, as well as providing an extensive range of online products and additional customer resources and services.

Emerald is both COUNTER 4 and TRANSFER compliant. The organization is a partner of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and also works with Portico and the LOCKSS initiative for digital archive preservation.

*Related content and download information correct at time of download.

LHT 34,3

480

The influence of smartphones on academic performance The development of the

technology-to-performance chain model

Yong Jeong Yi

Department of Library and Information Science, Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Soeun You

MBNA School of Business and Accounting, Wesley College, Dover, Delaware, USA, and

Beom Jun Bae

Department of Communication Arts, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, Georgia, USA

Abstract

Purpose – The purpose of this paper is to investigate the factors that influence college students' smartphone use for academic purposes by identifying the task-technology fit (TTF) of smartphones. A research model is proposed to explain how TTF of smartphones affects college students' perceived academic performance and smartphone use.

Design/methodology/approach – Online surveys were administered to college students at a South Korean university that has offered online academic services for more than five years, and 1,923 valid responses were analyzed. The study used partial least squares path modeling to evaluate the measurement model, and the bootstrapping technique to test the significance of the hypotheses.

Findings – The findings highlight that the TTF of smartphones has a direct influence on students' perceptions of performance impact and an indirect influence on smartphone use through a precursor of utilization, such as attitude toward smartphone use, social norms and facilitating conditions.

Research limitations/implications – Despite a reasonably large sample, a single cross-sectional survey has a likelihood of selection bias in the sample.

Practical implications – This study applies the TTF model to smartphone use among college students and suggests an effective way to motivate them to use mobile technologies for their academic activities. **Originality/value** – The present study develops an empirical model to assess the adoption of smartphones and its effect on college students' academic performance. Above all, the study identifies a causal relationship among TTF, precursor of utilization, smartphone use and a perceived impact on academic performance based on the development and validation of the TTF constructs of smartphones. **Keywords** Information technology, Higher education, Academic libraries, Communications technology, Digital libraries, Learning methods

Paper type Research paper

Introduction

Since the launch of iPhone in 2007, the adoption rate of smartphones has increased rapidly. According to the Pew Research Center (Smith, 2015), 64 percent of people in the USA had adopted smartphones in 2015. In particular, in South Korea, the adoption rate of

This paper was supported by Sungkyun Research Fund, Sungkyunkwan University, 2014. The authors would like to thank Dr Inchol Shin, Research Assistant Professor of the Institute for Social Development and Policy Research at the Seoul National University for his assistance of data analysis.



Library Hi Tech Vol. 34 No. 3, 2016 pp. 480-499 © Emerald Group Publishing Limited 0737-8831 DOI 10.1108/LHT-04-2016-0038 smartphones was as high as 73 percent, which ranked second in the world (Google, 2015), and 98.8 percent of college students use their smartphones for an average of 2 hours and 20 minutes a day (Joo, 2015). Smartphones support a variety of functions that were once only available on computers, including easy access to the internet, and now serve as a convenient form of communication or interaction that is not provided by traditional cellular phones. E-learning, online lectures and digital library services are offered through mobile technologies in higher education, which has led college students to value smartphones when seeking information related to their academic tasks (Gikas and Grant, 2013).

Most current college students are of the digital generation and are surrounded by and use information and communication technology (ICT) in their everyday life and school activities (D'Ambra *et al.*, 2013). ICT, such as personal computers, wireless internet and mobile devices, has deeply penetrated their lives. In their academic environment, ICT has become a necessity for e-learning and web-based instruction, digital libraries and research tools, and computer-mediated student-professor interaction.

According to previous research (Dahlstrom and Bichsel, 2014; Head and Eisenberg, 2009), college students tend to use only one or two ICT devices, mainly cellular phones and personal laptop computers, in support of academic course work and communication. Recently, college students have taken advantage of smartphones in their academic learning (Jones *et al.*, 2008), despite the limitations of these devices, such as their inadequate battery life, slow network connections, device usability concerns, and discouragement of smartphone use in the classroom to limit distractions (Gikas and Grant, 2013).

There have been many studies that discuss factors or motivations for the adoption and use of smartphones (Cheon *et al.*, 2012; Chun *et al.*, 2012; Joo and Sang, 2013; Lee, 2014; Lopez and Yang, 2014; Park and Chen, 2007). Most studies have focussed on the use of smartphones in everyday life, whereas a few studies have identified the user's perception of using smartphones for learning purposes or their behavior in seeking particular information (Gikas and Grant, 2013; Joo and Sang, 2013; Park *et al.*, 2013). Little research has addressed the roles of smartphones in academic learning contexts to understand how smartphones assist students' academic tasks, which specific features affect their use of smartphones, and how smartphones influence their academic performance.

The present study, therefore, aims to understand the impact of smartphones on students' academic performance. The study was developed based on Goodhue and Thompson's (1995) technology-to-performance chain (TPC) model to identify the task-technology fit (TTF) of smartphones. Based on the test results of TTF, the study investigates the effects of TTF on college students' smartphone use and perceived academic performances. Specifically, the study attempts to analyze the relationships among the following variables: TTF, precursor of utilization, utilization and perceived impact on academic performance. Guided by the reduced TPC model, the present study addresses the research questions as follows:

- *RQ1.* What factors motivate college students to use smartphones in the academic contexts?
- *RQ2.* How does the TTF of smartphones influence students' smartphone use and perceived impact on academic performance?

Conceptual framework and hypotheses

Smartphone adoption

Most smartphone adoption studies employ attitude and behavioral theories, technology acceptance model (TAM) (Davis, 1989) or TAM in combination with other models, such as

innovation diffusion theory (IDT) (Rogers, 2003), unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT) (Venkatesh *et al.*, 2003), or uses and gratifications (U&G) (Palmgreen, 1985). Cognitive and psychological factors play a crucial role in individuals' adoption of smartphones. Joo and Sang (2013) explored the effects of users' motivations on smartphone acceptance in Korea, focussing on the user's intrinsic motivation by combining TAM with another theory from U&G theory. The research demonstrated that the adoption of smartphones by Koreans is affected by motivations based on instrumental and goal-oriented use rather than ritualized and less goal-oriented use (Joo and Sang, 2013). Hsiao (2013) attempted to explain the factors that influence the intention to adopt smartphones based on the theory of reasoned action and found that male users and higher incomes are significantly associated with smartphone adoption. Park *et al.* (2013) investigated individual psychological factors that influence use of smartphones within the framework of TAM; their study confirmed the TAM's proposition and identified the behavioral activation system and locus of control as psychological antecedents.

Some studies identified social influence (SI), price and hedonic enjoyment as important antecedents rather than traditional TAM factors, like perceived usefulness and ease of use. Chun *et al.* (2012) proposed an integrated model of smartphone adoption that incorporated SIs and perceived technicality as well as hedonic and utilitarian attitudes into TAM. The results indicated that users' attitudes and their adoption intentions are highly associated with SIs and positive self-image and that hedonic enjoyment is as important as utilitarian usefulness in predicting adoption intention (Chun *et al.*, 2012).

In the same vein, Kim *et al.* (2014) examined smartphone adoption behavior among American college students by combining all components of IDT, (TAM), the valuebased adoption model, and the SI model. They investigated how each factor relates to the integrated model and its role in determining the smartphone adopter group. The study noted that smartphone adoption is less likely to be affected by perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness, whereas perceived popularity, perceived price and ethnicity are distinctive determinants between current adopters and non-adopters (Kim *et al.*, 2014). Lee (2014) discussed the factors that influenced smartphone early adopters by examining the smartphone adoption behavior of college students. The study considered the effect of normative peer influence on a college student's smartphone adoption as well as self-innovativeness, self-efficacy, a decision maker's attitudes toward products, the financial burden of using the products and familial influence. According to the study findings, early adopters were influenced by friends, financial burden and family members (Lee, 2014).

Although the number of students using the smartphone grows continuously, only a few studies have addressed the role of smartphones in the academic learning context. Shin *et al.* (2011) attempted to identify the key factors that motivate consumers, including college students and faculty members, to use smartphones as a ubiquitous learning tool by employing a modified UTAUT model with constructs from expectation-confirmation theory (ECT). The empirical findings confirmed that users' cognitive perceptions significantly influence their intention to use smartphones. Identifying the additional factors such as perceived usability and quality of smartphones is a worthwhile extension of the UTAUT/ECT in the smartphone learning context because these factors predict smartphone consumers' attitudes and behavioral intentions (Shin *et al.*, 2011). Regarding college students' perceptions toward mobile learning in higher education, Cheon *et al.* (2012) found that attitude, subjective norm and behavioral control positively influence the students' intention to adopt mobile learning.

LHT

34.3

TTF

Major studies have identified various cognitive and social factors that affect the adoption of smartphones in the academic environment (Goodhue and Thompson, 1995; Dishaw and Strong, 1999). However, simply focussing on a user's perceptions regarding technology may not be sufficient to explain their usage and adoption. Goodhue and Thompson (1995) asserted the importance of TTF to solve the limitations of TAM, particularly its lack of task focus. They defined TTF as the degree to which a technology assists an individual in performing his or her tasks. The TTF model is the "fit"-focussed model drawn from the TPC model (Goodhue and Thompson, 1995). In the TTF model, the main concern is the fitness between the characteristics of the specific technology and the task requirements. Although users perceive a technology to be advanced, they may not adopt it if they think that it is unfit for their tasks and unable to improve their performance. Previous research has suggested that the combination of the TTF and utilization models explains the impact of IT on individual performance better than TAM alone (Dishaw and Strong, 1999). As presented in Figure 1, the TTF explains the relationship between the ability of IT and the demands of the task. Both task characteristics and technology characteristics have an impact on TTF, and TTF influences performance and utilization.

To understand college students' smartphone adoption in the academic context, it is necessary to examine the smartphone's fit to the task in the academic context. From an academic perspective, a few studies have explored the interrelationship of IT, affordances, academic information needs and TTF as well as performance. McGill used the TTF model in her series of research regarding the fit of the virtual learning environment for instructors and students (McGill and Hobbs, 2008); the fit of a learning management system to the students' grade and task (McGill and Klobas, 2009); and the fit of a learning management system to the skills of an instructor and his or her tasks (McGill *et al.*, 2011). Raven *et al.* (2010) applied the TTF model to address the use of digital video tools for oral presentation in the classroom. They found "a significant fit between digital video tools and improvement of oral presentation skill." D'Ambra *et al.* (2013) employed the TTF model to explore e-book adoption by college faculty.

TPC model

Goodhue and Thompson (1995) suggested that TPC model is a comprehensive model to explain the link between information technology and individual performance based on attitude and behavior theory (e.g. TAM, UTAUT) and TTF. They argued that a technology has a positive impact on individual performance when it must be used and has a good fit with the task's requirement. In particular, they highlighted the important role of TTF in addressing technology's effects on users' performance. The TPC model



Source: Goodhue and Thompson (1995)

Influence of smartphones on academic performance

Figure 1. Task-technology fit has key constructs, as depicted in Figure 2. The task, technology and individual characteristics influence TTF. TTF directly affects performance and indirectly impacts use through precursors of use. The TPC model shows that TTF is the correspondence between task requirement and individual abilities, moderated by the functionality of the technology. This model proposes that TTF influences the 'precursors of utilization' and performance of the user. The precursors of utilization affect technology utilization, which in turn affects user performance.

The present study investigates how the TTF of smartphones influences college students' smartphone use and its perceived impact on their academic performance. Specifically, the purpose of the study is to measure and evaluate the relationship between the perceived "fit" of smartphones and the following three variables: precursors of utilization, smartphone use and performance in a causal model. In light of the theoretical frameworks discussed above, the TPC model is most appropriate to meet this study's purpose.

Task. Goodhue and Thompson (1995) defined tasks as "the actions carried out by individuals in turning inputs into outputs" (p. 216). The tasks performed by college students vary. According to an EDUCAUSE research (Dahlstrom and Bichsel), students use smartphones in the academic environment to look up information, photograph information, access digital resources, record instructors, and participate in activities. Based on the findings of Dahlstrom and Bichsel (2014), the present study specifies the tasks supported by smartphone technologies in academic contexts: managing electronic files, reading course materials, working on assignments, listening to or watching course-related audio-video files, and interacting with friends or instructors regarding courses.

Technology. A technology is defined as a tool individuals use for their tasks (Goodhue and Thompson, 1995). Previous studies have discussed the roles of mobile



Figure 2. The technology-toperformance chain

technologies as learning tools (Cheon *et al.*, 2012; Gikas and Grant, 2013; Shin *et al.*, 2011). By providing a variety of new applications, or functions, smartphones can be used to assist a user's tasks or activities.

Individual. Individuals are people who use technologies in performing their tasks (Goodhue and Thompson, 1995). Individuals' motivation to use certain technologies or their experience with a related technology can influence their competence or confidence in using the technologies. With regard to smartphone use, previous studies have examined a user's characteristics, including experience with electronic resources, computer technology and smartphones (D'Ambra *et al.*, 2013).

A reduced model

As depicted in Figure 3, the present study employs the reduced model drawn from the full TPC model (Goodhue and Thompson, 1995) because it focusses on the effect of TTF on use and perceived impact on performance. It is guided by two previous studies; one developed and validated the TTF in e-books based on the perception of faculty (D'Ambra *et al.*, 2013), and the other confirmed the impact of the smartphone TTF on college students' academic performance (McGill and Klobas, 2009).

Our research model investigates the relationships among the following:

- TTF and attitude (which is one of the precursors of utilization constructs) (H1);
- precursors of utilization and utilization (H2, H3 and H4);
- TTF and perceived impact on performance (H5); and
- utilization and perceived impact on performance (*H6*).

TTF. As discussed above (p. 6), TTF is "the degree to which a technology assists an individual in performing his or her tasks" (Goodhue and Thompson, 1995). In the present study, TTF can be defined as the capability of smartphones to support college students in performing their academic tasks.

Precursor of utilization. Precursor of utilization refers to a user's attitude or beliefs of utilizing a technology or system (Goodhue and Thompson, 1995). TTF influences a user's beliefs about a technology's usefulness and the advantages gained from using it. Dishaw and Strong (1999) indicated that TTF affects perceived ease of use and



Figure 3. A reduced model from TPC

actual use, whereas Chen *et al.* (2009) found that TTF, labeled "compatibility," affects perceived usefulness and attitude toward use. Based on theories about attitude (including beliefs or effects) and behavior (Bagozzi, 1982; Fishbein and Ajzen, 1975), the TCP model shows that a change in use, social norms and facilitating conditions provokes the individual's decision to use or not use a technology (Goodhue and Thompson, 1995). In the present study, the constructs of precursor of utilization are composed of attitude, social norms and facilitating conditions.

Attitude. Attitude refers to a person's favorable or unfavorable evaluation of an object (Fishbein and Ajzen, 1975). According to Staples and Seddon (2004), TTF has a significant impact on the attitude toward use of a technology only when use is mandatory, but not when use is optional. College students usually have smartphones with them in the academic environment. A variety of smartphones functions support communication and internet searching as well as e-learning, online lecture and digital library services. Thus, we can assume that student use tends toward mandatory. As smartphones increasingly support specific tasks or activities in academic contexts, users will be more likely to have a favorable attitude toward smartphones. This attitude tends to lead to smartphone use; therefore, the following can be hypothesized:

- H1. TTF in smartphones has a positive effect on attitude toward smartphone use.
- H2. Attitude toward smartphone use has a positive effect on using smartphones.

Social norms. Social norm refers to "the user's beliefs as to whether other individuals want them to perform the behavior" (McGill and Klobas, 2009). It influences indicators of technology success, such as utilization (Staples and Seddon, 2004; Shin *et al.*, 2011), and Lee (2014) found that the use and adoption of smartphones have been influenced by peer pressure. In academic contexts, an instructor influences students' technology adoption (McGill and Klobas, 2009) by encouraging smartphone use for class activities or interaction between instructors and students. Based on previous studies on social norms, the research model in the present study hypothesizes a positive relationship between social norms and use in adopting smartphones:

H3. Social norms have a positive effect on using smartphones.

Facilitating conditions. Adopting a new technology requires availability of resources (e.g. time, money), infrastructure quality (e.g. internet connection) or support of other technologies (e.g. technological compatibility) (Brown *et al.*, 2015). The lack of these resources can constrain the adoption of a technology. According to previous studies, various facilitating conditions support learning system use (Selim, 2007; Wang *et al.*, 2007). Liu *et al.* (2010) indicated that users' technology adoption is greatly influenced by facilitating factors, such as a strong internet connection and easy access to a particular technology. Guided by previous studies (Liu *et al.*, 2010; Wang *et al.*, 2007), the present study tests facilitating conditions, such as smartphones' availability and accessibility:

H4. Facilitating conditions have a positive effect on using smartphones.

Perceived impact on performance. Performance is an individual's accomplishment of a task. According to the TCP model (Goodhue and Thompson, 1995), TTF affects individual performance beyond promoting use. Goodhue (1997) argued that an individual will not always use the technology with the highest TTF but that at any given level of use, a technology with good TTF will give better performance. The research explored the fit of the virtual learning environment for instructors and

students (McGill and Hobbs, 2008), the fit of the learning management system to the students' grade and task (McGill and Klobas, 2009), and the fit of the learning management system to an instructor's skills and tasks (McGill *et al.*, 2011); these studies revealed that TTF and utilization positively influence perceived impact on performance. Raven *et al.* (2010) applied a TTF model to the use of digital video tools supporting oral presentation in the classroom and found that they significantly improve oral presentation skills. It is difficult to elicit and measure the performance influenced by smartphones, but an approximate impact can be determined by seeking the individuals' own perception of a smartphone's impact on their academic performance. Thus, we can hypothesize the following:

H5. TTF has a positive effect on the perceived impact of smartphones on an individual's academic performance.

Utilization. Utilization is the choice to use or not use a technology for tasks (Goodhue, 1997). In the present study, utilization is the use of smartphones in academic tasks; it is a necessary but insufficient condition for technologies to impact performance (Trice and Tracy, 1988). Thus, impact on performance should be related to both TTF and utilization:

H6. Using smartphones has a positive effect on an individual's academic performance.

Research methodology

Sampling

The sample was defined as college students who had used smartphones in the past 12 months. The formal study conducted online surveys using Qualtrics (www.qualtrics. com) in May 2014. The surveys were administered to a top-ranked university in South Korea that had offered an excellent infrastructure for wireless online learning services for smartphones for more than five years. The university had diverse areas of study, including a college of liberal arts, social science, economics/business administration, education, law, natural science, engineering, medicine and art/performance. The survey offered a drawing for two Retina iPad minis as an incentive to perform the survey. A total of 3,479 responses were collected. After removing incomplete and invalid responses, 1,923 responses were used in the analysis.

Table I presents the demographic profile of the survey respondents. Men represented 62.8 percent of respondents, and women 37.2 formed percent. A majority of them (84 percent) were 21-30 years old. Most of the respondents belonged to science/ engineering/medicine (46 percent) and liberal arts/social science/business/law (43.4 percent). Approximately 68 percent of them were undergraduate students, and 32 percent were graduate students. Approximately 70 percent of them had used electronic resources for over five years. Interestingly, both the mode and median of hours of using smartphones a day was three hours, and over 60 percent of the respondents used smartphones more than three hours a day.

Instrument development

The present study and its instrument are guided by two previous studies that address the adoption of the e-book (D'Ambra *et al.*, 2013) and smartphones (McGill and Klobas, 2009). Four faculty colleagues reviewed the instrument, and their feedback led to a number of improvements. It was then piloted on ten college students at the sample school, and their feedback was also used for a number of further improvements and to gauge the time required for completion. The instrument was composed of two parts:

LHT			
34,3	Variables	Categories	No. of students (%)
	Gender $(n = 1,923)$	Male	1,208 (62.8)
		Female	715 (37.2)
	Age $(n = 1,922)$	Under 20 years old	75 (3.9)
188		21-30 years old	1,615 (84.0)
400		31-40 years old	188 (9.8)
		Over 41	44 (2.3)
	Major $(n = 1,922)$	Fine art/theater/performance	81 (4.2)
		Liberal arts/social science/	
		business/law	835 (43.4)
		Education	65 (3.4)
		Science/engineering/medicine	885 (46.0)
		Others (e.g. double-major)	56 (2.9)
	Length of academic year $(n = 1,923)$	Freshman	292 (15.2)
		Sophomore	13 (0.7)
		Junior	605 (31.5)
		Senior	390 (20.3)
	F : (1000)	Graduate student	623 (32.4)
	Experience of e-resources $(n = 1,923)$	Less than Iyear	0(0.0)
		1-5 years	621(32.3)
		0-10 years	740 (30.9) 404 (21.0)
		11-15 years	404(21.0) 108(EG)
		More than 20 years	108 (0.0)
	Longth of using smartphones (minutes)	Loss than 1 hour	42 (2.2)
	(m = 1.023)	1.3 hours	672 (25.0)
Table I.	(n = 1, 523)	3.6 hours	835 (43.4)
Descriptive statistics		Over 6 hours	327 (17.0)
of survey	N / 1000	0.00 0 10003	027 (11.0)
respondents	Note: $n = 1,923$		

demographic profile and TPC questions. TPC questions fell into four categories: smartphone TTF, precursor of utilization, utilization and perceived impact on performance. The study measured the items in the questionnaire using a seven-point Likert scale, labeled from "strongly disagree" to "strongly agree."

Findings

Exploratory factor analysis (EFA)

To develop and validate a TTF construct of smartphone technology in academic contexts, the study conducted factor analysis and then employed the structural equation model to test the overall TTF model. First, to identify the initial measurement scale, the study conducted EFA with principal component analysis and varimax rotation. Table II presents the results of the EFA of the 12 initial TTF constructs. The study used the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) and Bartlett's test of sphericity to assess measures of sampling adequacy and the validity of the study instrument. According to the results, the sampling was appropriate as KMO = 0.890 (> 0.50), and the instrument was valid, as Bartlett's test indicated significance (9,127.801, df = 66, p = 0.000). With the initial analysis, three factors were extracted with eigenvalues greater than 1. The three factors were: navigation (TTF1-3), filing (TTF4-8) and audio-video

Factor	Items	With a smartphone, I want to:	Communality	Item-total correlation	Eigenvalue	Cumulative variation	Cronbach's α	Influence of smartphones on academic
Factor 1	TTF1	Navigate						performance
		course	0.807	0.709	6 706	29 970	0.017	
Navigation	TTF2	Browse	0.807	0.702	0.790	20.070	0.917	489
1 ta i gation		course						
		materials	0.901	0.745				
	TTF3	Search						
		course	0.005	0 505				
Esster 9	TTEA	Information	0.885	0.737				
Factor 2	1114	toxt files	0.657	0.651	1 586	26 253	0.842	
Filing	TTF5	Upload files	0.696	0.616	1.560	20.203	0.042	
1 mills	TTF6	Copy and	0.050	0.010				
		edit files	0.799	0.617				
	TTF7	Save files	0.837	0.647				
	TTF8 ^a	Scan						
		materials	0.464	0.594				
Factor 3	TTF9	Download	0.000	0.707	1 104	00.000	0.040	
Audio	TTE10	Video files	0.800	0.767	1.104	23.933	0.946	
Video	11110	files	0.848	0 796				
video	TTF11	Download	0.040	0.150				Table II
		audio files	0.904	0.745				Results of
	TTF12	Listen to						exploratory factor
		audio files	0.891	0.730				analysis of 12 TTF
Notes: ^a Ite	ms drop	ped due to low	communality (< 0.50) and	item-total co	orrelations (<	0.60)	constructs

(TTF9-12). Based on the criteria of communality and item-total correlation for selecting appropriate underlying factors, one item (TTF8) was removed due to low values of communality (cutoff value = 0.50) and item-total correlation (cutoff value = 0.60).

Table III presents the results of final reliability analysis with the remaining 11 TTF items. According to the final EFA, sampling, adequacy and instrument validity were significant with the KMO (= 0.874) and Bartlett's test (p = 0.000). The EFA produced

Factor	Items	Loadings	Eigenvalue	Cumulative variation	Cronbach's α	
Navigation	TTF1 TTF2	0.815	6.322	30.573	0.914	
	TTF3	0.867				
Filing	TTF4	0.626	1.564	25.980	0.847	
	TTF5	0.787				
	TTF6	0.859				
	TTF7	0.876				Table III
Audio-video	TTF9	0.801	1.148	25.575	0.946	Reliability testing or
	TTF10	0.817				exploratory factor
	TTF11	0.900				analysis of final 1
	TTF12	0.908				TTF constructs

three factors greater than 1: navigation (6.322), filing (1.564) and audio-video (1.148). The factor loadings of all items were satisfactory, with values above 0.50. The overall model explained 82.128 percent of the cumulative variance. The Cronbach's α of each factor exceeded the cutoff value of 0.60 (with 0.847 as the minimum Cronbach's α of the filing construct, as indicated in Table III). Accordingly, the final EFA ensured the reliability of the TTF construct, which consisted of three factors.

— Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA)

The study conducted CFA to evaluate the overall measurement model and to test its reliability and validity. To evaluate both the measurement model and the structural model, the study used partial least squares (PLS) path modeling implemented in SmartPLS version 3.0. The study employed the bootstrapping technique to test the significance of the hypotheses. Table IV presents the results of CFA. All of the loadings of the results were significant (p < 0.05) and exceeded the cutoff value of 0.4 (see Table AI). The study assessed composite reliability and Cronbach's α to ensure reliability; all constructs exceeded the cutoff value of 0.7 for composite reliability and the cutoff value of 0.7 for Cronbach's α (D'Ambra *et al.*, 2013). Next, to establish convergent validity, the study checked the average variance extracted (AVE); all constructs satisfied the minimum requirement of 0.5 (D'Ambra *et al.*, 2013).

For TTF and utilization, the study used a second-order model to estimate their parameters. As indicated in Table IV, TTF is reflected by three constructs; navigation ($\beta = 0.856$), filing ($\beta = 0.851$), and audio-video ($\beta = 0.517$), which explained 86 percent, 85 percent and 52 percent of the TTF variance, respectively. Utilization is reflected by two constructs as well, searching ($\beta = 0.869$) and audio-video ($\beta = 0.901$), which explained 87 percent and 90 percent of utilization variance, respectively. All path coefficients in the second-order model are significant (p < 0.005) (see Table AII).

Finally, the study tested discriminant validity, for which the square root of AVE (in italics on the diagonal) and inter-construct correlations were calculated. As presented in Table V, all square roots of AVE were greater than the corresponding inter-construct correlations, which indicate that discriminant validity was ensured. The measurement model was thus confirmed in terms of reliability as well as convergent and discriminant validity.

Structural model

Figure 2 presents the results of the structural model estimated by PLS, which illuminates TTF for smartphone use in academic contexts. To assess the model quality, the study employed two criteria: the significance of the path coefficients and the R^2 to indicate the ability to explain the variance of the dependent variables. First, all paths are statistically significant (p < 0.005), which indicates that all six hypotheses are supported. TTF had a positive effect on attitude toward smartphone use (H1), explaining approximately 9 percent of the variance in college students' attitude toward smartphone use for academic purposes.

There was significant positive influence of precursor of utilization on smartphone utilization (*H2-H4*). Precursor of utilization is composed of three antecedents: attitude toward smartphone use (*H2*), social norms (*H3*) and facilitating conditions (*H4*). Together, attitude toward smartphone use ($\beta = 0.089$), social norms ($\beta = 0.061$) and facilitating conditions ($\beta = 0.351$) explained approximately 16 percent of the variance for smartphone utilization. TTF (*H5*) and utilization (*H6*) had positive effects on perceived impact on academic performance. TTF ($\beta = 0.268$) and utilization ($\beta = 0.132$) explained 10 percent of the variance of the perceived impact on college students' performance (Figure 4).

Latent variable	Construct			Composite reliability	Cronbach's α	AVE	Influence of smartphones
TTF	With a smartp Navigation	ohone, I TTF1	want to: Navigate course	0.882	0.845	0.519	on academic performance
	,	TTF2	websites Browse course	0.928	0.884	0.811	
	Filing	TTF3 TTF4 TTF5 TTF7	materials Search course info Download text files Upload files Edit files	0.877	0.813	0.642	491
	Audio-video	TTF8 TTF11 TTF12 TTF13 TTF14	Save files Download video files Stream video files Download audio files Listen to audio files	0.938	0.913	0.792	
Utilization	I currently use Searching	e a sma UTS1 UTS2	rtphone as a tool for: Access a library Navigate course	0.888 0.854	0.855 0.771	0.500 0.595	
	Audio-video	UTS3 UTS4	Read materials Search course info	0.894	0.840	0.680	
	1	UTA1 UTA2 UTA3	Record lectures Watch course video Listen to course audio				
Performance impact (PIM)	Smartphones I	UTA4 help me PIM1 PIM2 PIM3 PIM4 PIM5	Share course info to: Accomplish my study r Improve my academic p Increase my productivit Enhance my effectivene Complete my course w	0.936 nore quickly performance by of course wor course wo ork easily	0.920 k rk	0.678	
Precursor of	Attitude	PIM6 PIM7	Control over my study Improve the quality of	my learning 0.930	0.900	0.768	
utilization		ATT2 ATT3 ATT4	Using a smartphone for My frequent use of a sr Using a smartphone a l All things considered, u study is great	my study is plo nartphone for m ot for my study using a smartpho	easant ny study is goo is beneficial one for my	od	
	Social norms	SNO1	Everyone else uses sma communication	0.818 artphones for con	0.735 urse-related	0.534	
	5	SNO2 SNO3	My instructors think it smartphone for school y My friends think it is in for school work	is important for work nportant for me	me to use a to use a smart	tphone	
	Facilitating co	SNO4 nditions	People respect me if I u	se a smartphone 0.916	e for school we 0.876	ork 0.731	
]]]	FAC2 FAC3 FAC4	A smartphone is easy t A smartphone is availa I can use a smartphone I can use a smartphone	ble, since I can o anywhere anytime	carry it with n	ne	Table IV. Summary of measurement scales

LHT 34,3	Constructs	TTF	Attitude	Social norms	Facilitating conditions	Utilization	Impact on performance
492	Task-technology fit (TTF) Attitude Social norms Facilitating conditions	0.720 0.299 0.210 0.178	0.876 0.424 0.200	<i>0.731</i> 0.139	0.855		
Table V. Discriminant validity	Utilization Impact on performance	0.189 0.293	0.185 0.751	0.148 0.459	0.378	0.707 0.183	0.823



Figure 4. Structural model results: test of *H1-H6*

Discussion

The present study investigated the factors influencing college students' smartphone use for academic purposes and the perceived impact of smartphones' TTF on college students' academic performance. Although a majority of college students use smartphones, there has been little research on their perception of the smartphone TTF in performing academic work. The present study identified the TTF constructs, which are composed of three dimensions: navigation, filing, and audio-video. In sum, all correlations between variables were positive, and the internal consistency was significant.

The effect of TTF on attitude toward utilization

The TTF of smartphones significantly influenced one of the precursors of its use, attitude toward smartphone use, and the effect was moderately strong. The higher perception of TTF may increase students' smartphone utilization for academic purpose. The finding is consistent with many previous studies (Chang and Cheung, 2001; McGill and Klobas, 2009) but differs from Staples and Seddon (2004).

The effects of precursors of utilization

Prior to the present study, ten college students in the same university as the present study were interviewed about their information-seeking behavior using smartphones. Based on

the findings of the interviews, the present study chose three antecedents: attitude toward smartphone use, social norms and facilitating conditions. Instructor norms (McGill and Klobas, 2009) and habit had little effect on students' smartphone use because only a few instructors utilized smartphone technology for academic purposes. The present study did not include the expected consequences of use as a precursor of utilization because the questions for expected consequences of use were similar to those of perceived impact of performance, which can cause confusion for the survey participants.

Attitude toward use. Attitude toward smartphone use had a significant effect on its utilization, and TTF indirectly influenced utilization through attitude toward use. The significant relationship between attitude toward use and utilization confirms that a positive attitude can increase students' smartphone utilization in performing academic activities. The finding is consistent with some previous studies (Chang and Cheung, 2001; McGill and Klobas, 2009) and contrary to others (Ngai *et al.*, 2007; Staples and Seddon, 2004).

Social norms. Previous research on social norms' effect on intention to use has presented inconsistent findings (Raaij and Schepers, 2008; McGill and Klobas, 2009). McGill and Klobas (2009) found that in the e-learning context, social norms do not influence students' learning management system use. The present study, contrary to previous research, shows that social norms have a significant effect on college students' academic use of smartphones, where the social norms are instructors, fellow students and friends. This study thus makes a salient contribution, as it identifies the role of social norms in smartphone utilization, particularly in the academic setting, whereas there have been only a few studies on the association between social norms and technology adoption in academic domains.

Facilitating conditions. Facilitating conditions have a positive effect on college students' smartphone use. Although this finding is inconsistent with previous research (Chiu *et al.*, 2007; McGill and Klobas, 2009), the present study ensures the significant role of facilitating conditions in smartphone adoption and use for academic work. The present study focusses on accessibility, which is one of the factors discussed in previous studies (Guo *et al.*, 2011; McGill and Klobas, 2009) and includes infrastructure quality and technical or personal supports. As McGill and Klobas (2009) suggested, the present study removes the factors of support and infrastructure quality because most college students in the present study were familiar with smartphones and did not need support or help to use its technologies. Additionally, the overall infrastructure quality is high in South Korea, where the sample was drawn. The findings of the present study indicate that the effect of facilitating conditions on utilization was moderately strong ($\beta = 0.351$).

Perceived impact on performance

TTF and smartphone use positively influence the perceived impact on college students' academic performance. TTF's perceived impact on performance ($\beta = 0.268$) is stronger than utilization on perceived impact on performance ($\beta = 0.132$). These findings suggest that a higher perception of TTF leads to a higher perception of impact on performance, while increased use of smartphones results in higher perception of impact on performance. The findings confirm that the roles of TTF and utilization have a direct influence on perceived impact on performance, consistent with previous studies (D'Ambra *et al.*, 2013; McGill and Klobas, 2009; Goodhue and Thompson, 1995).

College students' perception of the smartphone TTF and smartphone utilization significantly explains the variance of the perceived impact of academic performance. However, the variance of perceived impact on performance is relatively small, at 10 percent ($R^2 = 0.102$). Future research may identify additional constructs or variables of TTF or utilization by employing qualitative methods, such as interviews or focus groups, and modify the study protocol based on the findings of the qualitative studies. In addition, the present study does not employ instructor norms as a precursor of utilization, and little research has been done regarding instructors' perception of smartphone TTF in academic contexts. Future research may investigate instructors' view of the TTF and their belief or attitudes toward smartphone utilization for academic work, or their roles in facilitating students' smartphone use for academic purposes.

Overall, the present study makes notable contributions both to TCP models and practices. As for the former, it develops an empirical model to assess the adoption and diffusion of smartphones for college students' academic performance. It is most salient that this study identifies the causal relationship among TTF, precursor of utilization, smartphone utilization, and perceived impact on academic performance based on the development and validation of smartphones' TTF constructs. Regarding practical benefits, the present study suggests that the smartphone technologies resonated with academic tasks need to be enhanced in order to support academic interaction and to bring about better outcomes.

Conclusion

The present study addresses college students' interaction with smartphones in academic settings by examining the relationship between TTF, precursor of utilization, smartphone utilization, and perceived impact on the student's academic performance. The findings highlight that the TTF of smartphones directly influences students' perception of performance impact as well as indirectly affects smartphone utilization through precursor of utilization, such as attitude toward smartphone use, social norms and facilitating conditions. The model shows 15 percent of the variances in smartphone utilization and 10 percent of the variances in perceived impact of performance, thereby ensuring the considerable role of the smartphone TTF for smartphone use and perceived impact on performance. The present study thus makes a remarkable contribution by developing the TTF constructs and model that addresses the effects of the smartphone TTF on perception of academic performance.

Because the size effect is small, a future study needs to identify additional factors or variables that account for the effects of TTF, precursor of utilization, and utilization on perceived impact on performance. A further study may investigate what barriers college students encounter in using smartphones for their academic learning. By acknowledging the challenges of smartphone technologies and students' needs or preferences in relation to academic tasks, the study shares provide practical insights for offering effective academic information services that better support student learning in the academic environment. Above all, future research can also make contributions by applying the TTF constructs and models developed in this study to different technical and cultural contexts. Different levels of smartphone usage and different cultural values may influence how college students use their smartphones for academic information seeking, which in turn, will provide insight into what factors are more or less important in different environments.

References

- Bagozzi, R.P. (1982), "A field investigation of causal relationships among cognitions, affect, intentions, and behavior", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 19 No. 4, pp. 562-584.
- Brown, S.A., Venkatesh, V. and Hoehle, H. (2015), "Technology adoption decisions in the household: a seven-model comparison", *Journal of the Association for Information Science* and Technology, Vol. 66 No. 9, pp. 1933-1949.
- Chang, M.K. and Cheung, W. (2001), "Determinants of the intention to use internet/www at work: a confirmatory study", *Information & Management*, Vol. 39 No. 1, pp. 1-14.
- Chen, J.V., Yen, D.C. and Chen, K. (2009), "The acceptance and diffusion of the innovative smart phone use: a case study of a delivery service company in logistics", *Information & Management*, Vol. 46 No. 4, pp. 241-248.
- Cheon, J., Lee, S., Crooks, S.M. and Song, J. (2012), "An investigation of mobile learning readiness in higher education based on the theory of planned behavior", *Computers & Education*, Vol. 59 No. 3, pp. 1054-1064.
- Chiu, C., Chiu, C. and Chang, H. (2007), "Examining the integrated influence of fairness and quality on learners' satisfaction and web-based learning continuance intention", *Information Systems Journal*, Vol. 17 No. 3, pp. 271-287.
- Chun, H., Lee, H. and Kim, D. (2012), "The integrated model of smartphone adoption: hedonic and utilitarian value perceptions of smartphones among Korean college students", *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, Vol. 15 No. 9, pp. 473-479.
- D'ambra, J., Wilson, C.S. and Akter, S. (2013), "Application of the task-technology fit model to structure and evaluate the adoption of e-books by academics", *Journal* of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, Vol. 64 No. 1, pp. 48-64.
- Dahlstrom, E. and Bichsel, J. (2014), "ECAR study of undergraduate students and information technology", available at: www.educause.edu/ecar (accessed October 30, 2015).
- Davis, F.D. (1989), "Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology", MIS Quarterly, Vol. 13 No. 3, pp. 319-340.
- Dishaw, M.T. and Strong, D.M. (1999), "Extending the technology acceptance model with ask-technology fit constructs", *Information & Management*, Vol. 36 No. 1, pp. 9-21.
- Fishbein, M. and Ajzen, I. (1975), Belief, Attitude, Intention and Behavior: An Introduction to Theory and Research, Addison-Wesley, Boston, MA, available at: http://people.umass.edu/ aizen/f&a1975.html (accessed January 15, 2016).
- Gikas, J. and Grant, M.M. (2013), "Mobile computing devices in higher education: student perspectives on learning with cellphones, smartphones & social media", *Internet and Higher Education*, Vol. 19 No. 2013, pp. 18-26.
- Goodhue, D. (1997), "The model underlying the measurement of the impacts of the IIC on the end-users", *Journal of American Society For Information Science*, Vol. 48 No. 5, pp. 449-453.
- Goodhue, D.L. and Thompson, R.L. (1995), "Task-technology fit and individual performance", MIS Quarterly, Vol. 19 No. 2, pp. 213-236.
- Google (2015), "Smartphone pentration", available at: www.consumerbarometer.com/en/ (accessed October 20, 2015).
- Guo, Z., Lu, X., Li, Y. and Li, Y. (2011), "A framework of students' reasons for using CMC media in learning contexts: a structural approach", *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, Vol. 62 No. 11, pp. 2182-2200.

Γ	Head, A.J. and Eisenberg, M.B. (2009), "Lessons learned: how college students seek information in
2	the digital age", Project information literacy project report, The information school,
,	University of Washington, Seattle, WA, available at: http://ctl.yale.edu/sites/default/files/
	basic-page-supplementary-materials-files/how_students_seek_information_in_the_
	digital_age.pdf (accessed December 20, 2015).

- Hsiao, K.L. (2013), "Android smartphone adoption and intention to pay for mobile internet: perspectives from software, hardware, design, and value", *Library Hi Tech*, Vol. 31 No. 2, pp. 216-235.
- Jones, S., Johnson-Yale, C., Millermaier, S. and Perez, F.S. (2008), "Academic work, the internet, and US college students", *Internet and Higher Education*, Vol. 11 No. 3, pp. 165-177.
- Joo, J. (2015), "An analysis of teenagers' media use behavior", in KISD Institute (Ed.), STAT Report, Korea Information Society Development Institute, Seoul, pp. 1-14.
- Joo, J. and Sang, Y. (2013), "Exploring Koreans' smartphone usage: an integrated model of the technology acceptance model and uses and gratifications theory", *Computers in Human Behavior*, Vol. 29 No. 6, pp. 2512-2518.
- Kim, D., Chun, H. and Lee, H. (2014), "Determining the factors that influence college students' adoption of smartphones", *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, Vol. 65 No. 3, pp. 578-588.
- Lee, S.Y. (2014), "Examining the factors that influence early adopters' smartphone adoption: the case of college students", *Telematics and Informatics*, Vol. 31 No. 2, pp. 308-318.
- Liu, Y., Li, H. and Carlsson, C. (2010), "Factors driving the adoption of m-learning: an empirical study", *Computers & Education*, Vol. 55 No. 3, pp. 1211-1219.
- Lopez, C.M. and Yang, K.C. (2014), "What motivates college students to adopt 4G smartphone technology: a uses and gratifications perspective", *Far Eastern University Communication Journal*, Vol. 8 No. 1, available at: www.ejournals.ph/form/cite.php?id=1120 (accessed August 20, 2016).
- McGill, T.J. and Hobbs, V.J. (2008), "How students and instructors using a virtual learning environment perceive the fit between technology and task", *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, Vol. 24 No. 3, pp. 191-202.
- McGill, T.J. and Klobas, J.E. (2009), "A task-technology fit view of learning management system impact", *Computers & Education*, Vol. 52 No. 2, pp. 496-508.
- McGill, T.J., Klobas, J.E. and Ranzi, S. (2011), "LMS use and instructor performance: the role of task-technology fit", *International Journal on E-Learning*, Vol. 10 No. 1, pp. 43-62.
- Ngai, E.W.T., Poon, J.K.L. and Chan, Y.H.C. (2007), "Empirical examination of the adoption of WebCT using TAM", *Computers & Education*, Vol. 48 No. 2, pp. 250-267.
- Palmgreen, P. (1985), "Uses and gratifications research: the past ten years", in Rosengren, K.E., Wenner, L.A. and Palmgreen, P. (Eds), *Media Gratifications Research: Current Perspectives*, SAGE, Beverly Hills, CA, pp. 11-37.
- Park, N., Kim, Y., Shon, H.Y. and Shim, H. (2013), "Factors influencing smartphone use and dependency in South Korea", *Computers in Human Behavior*, Vol. 29 No. 4, pp. 1763-1770.
- Park, Y. and Chen, J.V. (2007), "Acceptance and adoption of the innovative use of smartphone", *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, Vol. 107 No. 9, pp. 1349-1365.
- Raaij, E.M.V. and Schepers, J.J.L. (2008), "The acceptance and use of a virtual learning environment in China", *Computers & Education*, Vol. 50 No. 3, pp. 838-852.

LH' 34.3 Raven, A., Leeds, E.M. and Park, C. (2010), "Digital video presentation and student performance: a task technology fit perspective", *International Journal of Information & Communication Technology Education*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 17-29.

Rogers, E.M. (2003), Diffusion of Innovations, Free Press, New York, NY.

- Selim, H.M. (2007), "Critical success factors for e-learning acceptance: confirmatory factor models", Computers & Education, Vol. 49 No. 2, pp. 396-413.
- Shin, D., Shin, Y., Choo, H. and Beom, K. (2011), "Smartphones as smart pedagogical tools: implications for smartphones as u-learning devices", *Computers in Human Behavior*, Vol. 27 No. 6, pp. 2207-2214.
- Smith, A. (2015), "US smartphone use in 2015", Pew Research Center, Washington, DC, April 1, available at: www.pewinternet.org/2015/04/01/us-smartphone-use-in-2015/ (accessed January 15, 2016).
- Staples, D.S. and Seddon, P. (2004), "Testing the technology-to-performance chain model", Journal of Organizational and End User Computing, Vol. 16 No. 4, pp. 17-36.
- Trice, A.W. and Tracy, M.E. (1988), "Utilization as a dependent variable in MIS research", ACM SIGMIS Database, Vol. 19 Nos 3-4, pp. 33-41.
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M.G., Davis, G.B. and Davis, F.D. (2003), "User acceptance of information technology: towards a unified view", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 27 No. 3, pp. 425-478.
- Wang, Y., Wang, H. and Shee, D. (2007), "Measuring e-learning systems success in an organizational context: scale development and validation", *Computers in Human Behavior*, Vol. 23 No. 4, pp. 1892-1808.

LHT 34,3	Appendix		
,	Latent variable	Items	Loadings
	TTF	TTF1	0.877
400		1 1 FZ	0.923
498		11F5 TTF4	0.895
		1114 TTF5	0.752
		TTF6	0.700
		11F0 TTF7	0.845
		TTF9	0.863
		TTF10	0.885
		TTF11	0.005
		TTF12	0.904
	Utilization	UTS1	0.591
	Otheration	UTS2	0.682
		UTS3	0.698
		UTS4	0.597
		UTA1	0.656
		UTA2	0.769
		UTA3	0.773
		UTA4	0.779
	Perceived impact on performance	PIM1	0.872
		PIM2	0.870
		PIM3	0.878
		PIM4	0.772
		PIM5	0.740
		PIM6	0.871
		PIM7	0.843
	Precursor of utilization: attitude (ATT),	ATT1	0.792
	social norms (SNO), facilitating conditions (FAC)	ATT2	0.903
		ATT3	0.902
		ATT4	0.931
		SNO1	0.664
		SNO2	0.691
		SNO3	0.851
		SNO4	0.818
		FAC1	0.753
		FAC2	0.872
Table AI.		FAC3	0.906
Item loadings		FAC4	0.889

Influence of smartphones	<i>t</i> -statistics	SE	Path coefficients	Paths
on academic	4.315	0.021	0.089	$Precursor_1 \rightarrow use$
on academic	3.122	0.020	0.061	$Precursor_2 \rightarrow use$
performance	17.013	0.021	0.351	$Precursor_3 \rightarrow use$
	13.582	0.020	0.268	$TTF \rightarrow impact$
400	15.547	0.019	0.299	$TTF \rightarrow Precursor_1$
499	156.755	0.005	0.856	$TTF \rightarrow TTF1$
	107.679	0.008	0.851	$TTF \rightarrow TTF2$
	33.080	0.016	0.517	$TTF \rightarrow TTF4$
Table AII.	6.754	0.020	0.132	$Use \rightarrow impact$
Path coefficients,	121.177	0.007	0.869	$Use \rightarrow Use_1$
SEs, and <i>t</i> -values	199.517	0.005	0.901	$Use \rightarrow Use_2$

About the authors

Yong Jeong Yi, MLIS, PhD, is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Library and Information Science, Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul, South Korea. She has completed PhD in the School of Information, College of Communication and Information at the Florida State University.

Soeun You, MLIS, PhD, is an Assistant Professor, MBNA School of Business and Accounting at the Wesley College. She has completed PhD in the School of Information, College of Communication and Information at the Florida State University. Soeun You is the corresponding author and can be contacted at: Soeun.You@wesley.edu

Beom Jun Bae, PhD, is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Communication Arts at Georgia Southern University. He has completed PhD in the School of Communication, College of Communication and Information at the Florida State University.

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website: www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm Or contact us for further details: permissions@emeraldinsight.com