



Journal of Intellectual Capital

Linking peripheral vision with relational capital through knowledge structures

Juan-Gabriel Cegarra-Navarro Anthony K.P. Wensley Alexeis Garcia-Perez Antonio Sotos-Villarejo

Article information:

To cite this document:

Juan-Gabriel Cegarra-Navarro Anthony K.P. Wensley Alexeis Garcia-Perez Antonio Sotos-Villarejo, (2016), "Linking peripheral vision with relational capital through knowledge structures", Journal of Intellectual Capital, Vol. 17 Iss 4 pp. 714 - 733

Permanent link to this document:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/JIC-04-2016-0041>

Downloaded on: 10 November 2016, At: 21:16 (PT)

References: this document contains references to 80 other documents.

To copy this document: permissions@emeraldinsight.com

The fulltext of this document has been downloaded 66 times since 2016*

Users who downloaded this article also downloaded:

(2016), "Designing a model for measuring and analyzing the relational capital using factor analysis: Case study, Ansar bank", Journal of Intellectual Capital, Vol. 17 Iss 4 pp. 734-757 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/JIC-04-2016-0042>

(2016), "The transformation of the organization's intellectual capital: from resource to capital", Journal of Intellectual Capital, Vol. 17 Iss 4 pp. 610-631 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/JIC-03-2016-0031>

Access to this document was granted through an Emerald subscription provided by emerald-srm:563821 []

For Authors

If you would like to write for this, or any other Emerald publication, then please use our Emerald for Authors service information about how to choose which publication to write for and submission guidelines are available for all. Please visit www.emeraldinsight.com/authors for more information.

About Emerald www.emeraldinsight.com

Emerald is a global publisher linking research and practice to the benefit of society. The company manages a portfolio of more than 290 journals and over 2,350 books and book series volumes, as well as providing an extensive range of online products and additional customer resources and services.

Emerald is both COUNTER 4 and TRANSFER compliant. The organization is a partner of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and also works with Portico and the LOCKSS initiative for digital archive preservation.

*Related content and download information correct at time of download.

Linking peripheral vision with relational capital through knowledge structures

Juan-Gabriel Cegarra-Navarro

Technical University of Cartagena, Cartagena, Spain

Anthony K.P. Wensley

University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

Alexeis Garcia-Perez

Centre for Business in Society, Coventry University, Coventry, UK, and

Antonio Sotos-Villarejo

Departamento Comercial Oficina, Bankia, Murcia, Spain

714

Abstract

Purpose – Peripheral vision (PV) or side vision refers to that which is visible to the eye while being outside of its central area of focus. PV enables organisms to detect movement and potential threats in their environment. The purpose of this paper is to contribute to the understanding of the concept of PV in the business environment, as well as its relationship with knowledge structures in the form of technology knowledge and absorptive capacity. The relative importance and significance of technology knowledge and absorptive capacity as mediators between “relational capital” (RC) and “PV” are also examined.

Design/methodology/approach – The paper reports an empirical investigation involving 125 employees from the banking sector. Data collected was statistically analysed using PLS-graph software version 03.00. Results of the data analysis show relationships uncovered in the existing literature.

Findings – The creation of RC by employees from the banking sector relies to a large extent on managers’ ability to perceive, analyse and understand activity that is often outside the focus of their attention.

Practical implications – Managers who explicitly value their RC have a wider vision of their environment. In turn, a wider understanding of the activity in the environment drives the strengthening of the organisation and its RC.

Originality/value – PV can have a direct impact on the organisation’s appetite for the development of its technology knowledge base, thus contributing to enhance the firm’s absorptive capacity as well as the extent, quality and value of its RC.

Keywords Absorptive capacity, Relational capital, Peripheral vision, Technology knowledge

Paper type Research paper

1. Introduction

Relational capital (RC) represents the value derived from the relationship between a business and its external stakeholders (Onge, 1996). The current business environment is characterised by a shift from customer acquisition to a value creation process whereby firms continuously evaluate and seek to enhance the value of their RC (Nieto and Quevedo, 2005). In their efforts to do so, managers are expected to detect, interpret and act on often ambiguous signals present in their environment and its periphery (Day, 1994, 2000; Day and Schoemaker, 2006). Identifying peripheral activities and events may lead to new value-adding opportunities and provide new perspectives before these are perceived by the competition. The alternative perspectives available



only in the periphery may add significant value to the firm's RC. Furthermore, a well-developed RC allows for a better interpretation of such peripheral, alternative perspectives (Nahapiet and Ghoshal, 1998). As Day and Schoemaker (2006) also point out, lack of awareness of peripheral signals can affect the competitive position of the firm as competitors may benefit from those opportunities. When organisations ignore the events unfolding on the periphery of their businesses they are likely to incur significant costs and additional risks (Fairclough, 2005).

Peripheral vision (PV) or side vision refers to what is visible to the eye while being outside of its central area of focus. An appropriate response to a changing environment requires the ability for an organisation to dynamically update not only targets and plans but also its knowledge structures: routines, processes, protocols and new technology tools. The extant literature in the field of knowledge management and intellectual capital supports the theory that organisations that possess relevant knowledge are likely to have the ability to absorb new knowledge and use it to generate new ideas and create new knowledge structures (Tsai, 2001; Cepeda *et al.*, 2012; Martelo and Cegarra, 2014). Haeckel (2004) argues that success of organisations in turbulent business environments often relies on managers' ability to identify patterns in noisy data to then turn their early understanding of those patterns into meaning before these become obvious to the firm and its competitors. Thus, managers' PV is related to their ability to prepare the grounds for the creation and assimilation of new knowledge and knowledge structures (Day and Schoemaker, 2006). The present study focuses on the impact that PV may have on two key knowledge structures in organisations. These are absorptive capacity and technology knowledge.

The concept of absorptive capacity (ACAP hereafter) was initially defined as a company's ability to recognise the value of new information, assimilate valuable information and apply it to the pursue of commercial ends (Cohen and Levinthal, 1990). Later, Szulanski (1996) added the views that ACAP also encompasses the learning ability and problem solving skills that enable organisations to assimilate valuable knowledge and create new knowledge. A concept related to ACAP is that of technology knowledge (T-knowledge hereafter). T-knowledge refers to the knowledge that enables users of information technologies to find the answer to their information needs as and when needed (Edvinsson, 2002; Cegarra *et al.*, 2012). T-knowledge includes knowledge of operating systems and application software, as well as knowledge of computer hardware and the ability to install and remove peripheral devices, install and remove software programs and create, archive, find and retrieve documents in different formats (Sharma, 2000; Nohria and Gulati, 1996).

The PV of managers often enables the organisation to recognise the need for an update in existing knowledge and knowledge structures while also stimulating the implementation of the necessary changes (Haeckel, 2004; Day and Schoemaker, 2006). Based on this premise, this study aims to examine the effects that the PV of managers has on the development of both T-knowledge and ACAP in organisations. The relative importance of T-knowledge and ACAP as mediators between "RC" and "PV" is also examined. Our empirical investigation also explored the concept of RC in the banking sector in order to highlight the significance of ACAP and T-knowledge as mediators between the PV of managers and RC in organisations.

The banking business is very complex. It can no longer be described by using the old order of predictability, derived from traditional knowledge structures and technologies such as personal computers, e-mails and mobile phones (Carballo-Cruz, 2001). In banking institutions today staff need appropriate and up-to-date knowledge to

face an ever increasing number of challenges, including those derived from global competition for deposits, loans and underwriting fees, as well as increasing customer demands, shrinking profit margins, and the need to keep up with new technologies and their impact on the business (Ali and Ahmad, 2006). As a result, creating RC in banking institutions may rely on the organisations' capacity to culturally adapt to a process of change through the continuous gathering of new knowledge and the adoption of new knowledge structures. These considerations led the authors to consider the banking business as an appropriate setting for an investigation into the PV of managers and its impact on RC.

This paper has been structured as follows: in Section 2 the characteristics of each of the different variables involved in the modelling are presented, and relationships which seem likely to exist between such variables are outlined; in Section 3 the sample of the study is described and measurements used to define each of the variables are defined; Section 4 presents the main results of the study, while the main conclusions of this work are presented in Section 5.

2. The proposed research model

2.1 RC

The market value of an organisation is the result of a complex interplay of tangible and intangible assets (Quinn, 1992; Edvinsson and Malone, 1997). Research has shown that approximately 80 per cent of such value may reside in intangible assets (Fornell, 2000), with the implied intangible asset value of the S&P 500 companies having grown to an average 84 per cent by 1 January 2015 (Ocean Tomo, 2015), an increase of 4 percentage points over the previous ten years and of 52 percentage points since the corresponding study conducted in 1985. Such intangible assets are derived from customer, competitor and supplier relationships which constitute the RC of the firm (Lee *et al.*, 2007).

Kianto *et al.* (2013) have defined RC as the ability of an organisation to interact in a positive manner with the external stakeholders and in doing so unlock the wealth creation potential of other intangible assets such as R&D, human capital and other characteristics of organisational structure. According to Bueno (1998), RC represents the knowledge embedded in the marketing channels and customer relationships that an organisation develops as it conducts business. Such knowledge arises in a context of a strong sense of belonging and a highly developed capacity of co-operation, typical of culturally similar people and institutions (Capello and Faggian, 2005; Chang and Tseng, 2005). These relationships and the accompanying trust they stimulate lead to co-operation activities that in turn enables the creation and sharing of new knowledge.

Other terms used to refer to RC include "customer capital" and "social capital" (Onge, 1996; Nahapiet and Ghoshal, 1998). Customer capital as a concept was first introduced by Edvinsson and Malone (1997), who defined RC as the relationships that an organisation is able to build with its customers. Bontis (1998) later suggested that RC includes all of the firm's external relationships. Previously, Brooking (1996) had used the term "market assets" to refer to those assets that are derived from a beneficial relationship of the organisation with both markets and customers. From this perspective, RC can be considered as part of the concept of "social capital" initially proposed by Nahapiet and Ghoshal (1998) to refer to the network of relations that extend beyond the organisational boundaries. From a practical point of view, long-term relationships with external agents and the value of such relationships may be considered as success factor in competitive markets (Day, 2000; Subramani, 2004).

The authors have adopted Pierre's (2010) views of RC as related to the relationships between the business and its customers as well as the value of such relationships. We understand that RC provides useful intelligence and contacts which can in turn increase the level of knowledge and expertise within the organisation, as highlighted by Hayer and Ibeh (2006). Thus, we agree with authors who have argued that RC has the potential to contribute to the ability of an organisation to achieve its objectives and enhance its productivity (Day, 2000), and therefore drive profits (Edvinsson and Malone, 1997; Duffy, 2000). In that respect we see the potential in RC to reduce costs as the different actors involved learn how to better interact with each other, as discussed by Fernström *et al.* (2004). Furthermore, we understand that RC may lead to an increased transactional efficiency that is likely to lead to growth, increased profitability and productivity, in addition to an increased commitment by all relevant actors towards the sustaining and enhancing of mutual relationship, as previously highlighted by Ring and Van de Ven (1994).

2.2 PV

The considerations above imply that in order to create RC, the primary knowledge that needs to be shared is that about customers (Kakavelakis, 2010). This does not simply mean updating existing customers' contact details and preferences, but also identifying new customers as well as threats and opportunities in emerging technologies to provide new solutions for existing and potential customers (Bueno, 1998; Duffy, 2000; Pierre, 2010; Zaragoza and Claver, 2011). Despite the fact that RC benefits from both the central and the PV, the ability of an organisation to augment its RC from its PV has generally been overlooked by researchers and practitioners (Day and Schoemaker, 2004). It can be argued that insights on the importance of PV were initially developed by Levitt (1960) in his foundational and much cited paper *Marketing Myopia*. More recently Bower and Christensen (1995) highlighted the risk to the very existence of companies in their failure to recognise the importance of both market and technology knowledge which does relate directly to their current core markets or technologies.

PV is that section of vision which occurs outside the very centre of gaze (Mathur *et al.*, 2013). Its main purpose is to detect movement and hence potential threats and challenges for the individual. From an evolutionary perspective it can be argued that organisms able to identify threats through PV would be likely to have an added survival advantage.

PV is a very important part of an individual's eye sight as it affects both the subsequent focusing of attention and appropriate anticipatory behaviour (Bhootha and Sumitra, 2008). For example, a hunter who has developed an extensive PV will be able to detect the movement of their prey much more quickly than other hunters who may concentrate too much on their central vision. The same applies to a prey whose own existence is being threatened. This argument can be valuably extended to the world of business. Managers and their organisations may focus their attention on a number of key issues and targets such as profits, marketing quotas and the search for a relatively small percentage of profit from existing customers (Sherden, 1994; Cegarra and Rodrigo, 2003). While focus is fundamental to effective exploitation, it may carry some attendant risks (Day and Schoemaker, 2004). For example, it may lead to an underestimation of critical activity taking place at the periphery, outside the focus of attention. This may well prevent the development of explorative models and strategies (Haeckel, 2004).

By looking beyond the scope of valuable (central) customers, organisations may be able to pick up signals that, although seemingly irrelevant, may be a valuable source of information for the prediction of future needs of the entire universe of potential

customers (Day and Schoemaker, 2004). As Cunha and Chia (2007) noted, PV can not only raise awareness of the risks of losing valuable customers. It can also lead to the identification of new opportunities and growth prospects. Moreover, PV helps in understanding the changing preferences of customer and their attitude towards policies such as pricing, which may well enable the identification of future risks and opportunities (Day and Schoemaker, 2004, 2006).


Figure 1 provides a synopsis of the different types of customers who could be used to identify new opportunities to organisations via PV. Although each customer and each relationship is different, and therefore, potentially unique (Sherden, 1994), Figure 1 helps develop an understanding of the fact that by focusing only on existing valuable customers, companies may fail to appreciate less immediate threats from the periphery where potential customers may be located (Haeckel, 2004).

On many occasions managers in general and CEOs in particular have limited resources to facilitate the prediction of what organisations will need to be able to do to attract customers in the future (Haeckel, 2004). For example, they usually do not have the time to participate in all customer meetings or to check all that is being said and done in these meetings (Cegarra and Rodrigo, 2003). Over time certain channels of communication are developed, certain perspectives favoured and certain rules and concepts adopted. This means that much of what happens in business relationships is not directly perceived by managers. Thus, finding ways of re-directing managers' perceptions to the periphery is likely to result in an improved ability to identify emerging trends, potential customers and potential shifts in customer relationships (Haeckel, 2004; Day and Schoemaker, 2004, 2006). These considerations have led us to frame the first hypothesis of our study as follows:

H1. Absorptive capacity has a positive effect on RC.

2.3 Knowledge structures

As described in Section 2.2, many scholars have suggested that the ability to use external knowledge is a critical component of organisations' ability to innovate (Cohen and Levinthal, 1990; Tsai, 2001; Zahra and George, 2002). From this perspective, PV is the part of the learning process that allows managers to identify and understand the value of new knowledge (Day and Schoemaker, 2006). It also allows managers to make judgments and form impressions about new opportunities and growth prospects and, by extension, it also enables the conversion of these prospects into technologies or commercial processes and products/services in order to respond appropriately to customer needs and complaints and thus build RC (Harrington and Guimaraes, 2005; Newey and Zahra, 2009).



Source: Own elaboration

Figure 1.
Selection of
customers for
learning

One way that this conversion might be achieved is through the development of “knowledge structures” that facilitate the competitive behaviour of firms in the market and adapt either directly or indirectly to the changing environment and to achieve new goals (Martelo and Cegarra, 2014). Such knowledge structures provide ways of exploring and structuring organisations’ future course of action while providing paths that allow for the exploitation and deployment of newly assimilated knowledge (Martelo and Cegarra, 2014). This paper aims to contribute to the understanding of the effects that PV may have on two specific knowledge structures, namely T-knowledge and ACAP.

Peripheral visual information can be processed more quickly through the use of technology. For example, visual elements or images can be processed with computer software in many different ways in order to extract relevant knowledge concerning customers’ needs and behaviours. In addition, T-knowledge also refers to the means through which the intellectual processes embedded in technological activity can themselves be used (Cegarra and Sabater, 2005; Cegarra *et al.*, 2012). Thus, developing T-knowledge can help foster new skills, enabling employees to operate particular technologies, such as those supporting customer services, in order to improve their insights into customer needs (Martinez *et al.*, 2012).

ACAP is a dynamic ability that depends on the organisational processes and routines that support organisational change and evolution. Zahra and George (2002) propose two dimensions of ACAP namely potential and realised absorptive capacity (RACAP). Potential absorptive capacity (PACAP) is concerned with an organisation’s receptiveness to external knowledge and involves a company’s ability to acquire, analyse, interpret and understand new external knowledge. RACAP, on the other hand, reflects an organisation’s capacity to transform and exploit new and existing knowledge by incorporating it into its operations (Zahra and George, 2002).

It is also important to note that new knowledge should persist in the organisation and be accessible for those individuals involved in the creation and sustaining of customer relations. Otherwise, PV and the valuable knowledge that it generates may be lost (Fernandez and Sune, 2009). As described by Haveman and Khaire (2004), increasing competition, continuous change and mergers and acquisitions both within and between industries have created the risk of failing to consider the loss of valuable knowledge, due to the passage of time and the turnover of managers and employees. This can be avoided through more thoughtful and extensive knowledge acquisition, superior analysis of existing data, superior pattern recognition, faster frame-switching, and the development and re-development of appropriate knowledge structures (Cunha and Chia, 2007; Noblet *et al.*, 2011; Martelo and Cegarra, 2014). In this vein, T-knowledge and its associated constructs (e.g. databases, files or protocols), are frequently cited as ways to preserve and use that valuable knowledge (Gold *et al.*, 2001). It is also worth mentioning the fact that the extent to which companies make use of the capacities it develops will depend for the most part on the pool of opportunities each business has at its disposal (Nieto and Quevedo, 2005).

Based on the above discussion the following two hypotheses are proposed:

H2. PV has a positive effect on absorptive capacity.

H3. PV has a positive effect on T-knowledge

The considerations above also imply that for RC to exist at an organisational level, processes must be in place that result in the attribution of appropriate meaning to events or sequences of events relating to external stakeholders such as customers or competitors

(Cegarra *et al.*, 2012; Pierre, 2010). It may be argued that absorptive capacity represents the link between external signals provided by PV and the creation of valuable knowledge (Wah, 2000). Without the development of absorptive capacity opportunities will be lost and new knowledge will be inadequately utilised. This means that RC needs to be created around “absorptive capacity” to attain any benefit from the knowledge provided by external stakeholders (Kridan and Goulding, 2006). In addition, the presence of T-knowledge and the subsequent utilisation of existing data may be a means by which new knowledge may be successfully applied (Sorensen and Lundh, 2001) and therefore it also enables the firm to take advantage of the pool of technological opportunities (Klevorick *et al.*, 1995). In these circumstances, T-knowledge and absorptive capacity provide important knowledge and knowledge structures that contribute to a process of establishing and enhancing and stabilising business relationships.

While T-knowledge can be understood as a way of classifying and making the pool of technological opportunities accessible to its potential stakeholders (Klevorick *et al.*, 1995), absorptive capacity can be understood as a way of absorbing and applying the knowledge that has already been acquired (Zahra and George, 2002; Cepeda *et al.*, 2012). Through the use of such knowledge and knowledge structures, individuals can analyse the advantages and disadvantages of a range of alternative solutions, such as increased flexibility, better control over processes and time savings. This, in turn, facilitates the easy transcription of relevant information and enables users to type and file valuable knowledge to provide support for enhancing, strengthening and stabilising customer relationships (Harrington and Guimaraes, 2005; Carayannis *et al.*, 2006). Based on these insights we propose the following hypotheses:

H4. Absorptive capacity has a positive effect on RC

H5. T-knowledge has a positive effect on RC

Figure 2 illustrates our proposed model, in which RC is conceptualised as a joint process whereby the PV facilitates the creation of both T-knowledge and absorptive capacity. The combination of this new knowledge with external opportunities identified by managers will then be available to the members of the organisation for them to create new RC.

3. Method

3.1 Data collection

The population samplings used in this study comprised branch managers from the branches of Bankia, a financial group in Spain with a leading position in lending and in management of customer funds. With a network of 2,000 branches, Bankia is the first




Figure 2.
The conceptual framework

Spanish bank for domestic business, with total assets of 272,000 million euros and a net equity value of 12 billion euros. It employs 14,500 people and also has a strong international presence, with offices in Beijing, Dublin, Lisbon, London, Miami, Milan, Munich, Porto, Paris, Shanghai, Warsaw and Vienna. Whilst the financial sector is very complex and requires intensive use of knowledge practices to provide meaningful advice to their customers (Serenko *et al.*, 2015), Bankia has been successful in progressing key aspects of its business, both in 2015 and in the first few months of 2016. In terms of investment funds, for example, Bankia gained 24 basis points of market share last year, bringing their share to 4.98 percentage points (Bankia, 2015).

Before conducting the surveys, managers from 690 branches with more than six employees in Spain were contacted by our research team and invited to participate in the study. They were informed via a formal letter of the objectives of the research and were provided assurance of its strictly scientific and confidential nature, as well as the global and anonymous treatment to be given to the data collected. A total of 690 managers were invited, 125 of which agreed to participate in the study, resulting in a response rate of 18.25 per cent.

The survey was administered over a period of two months, from early January to early March 2016. Of the response rate of 18.25 per cent, the factor of error achieved was 7.92 per cent for $p = q = 50$ per cent and a reliability level of 95.5 per cent. This is greater than the average response rate of 15 to 25 per cent suggested by Menon *et al.* (1996) for surveys involving senior management. The researchers have tested for common methods variance (Podsakoff *et al.*, 2003) which is a potential risk arising from the use of a single informant when collecting data in each company. In doing so, two statistical analyses were conducted to ensure the absence of non-response bias (Armstrong and Overton, 1977). First, the authors used factor analysis, the recommended way of testing for the existence of bias. All variables were analysed in order to ensure that there was only one important factor. The results showed ten factors with eigenvalues greater than 1.0 and the total variance explained was 72.99 per cent. Second, the authors compared the early and late respondents (1 = January 2016 and 2 = February 2016) in terms of RC and technology knowledge. The independent sample *t*-test revealed no significant difference between the two groups ($p = 0.89$ and $p = 0.36$, respectively). Therefore, non-response bias was not considered to be a problem in this study (Armstrong and Overton, 1977).

3.2 Measures

Churchill's (1979) approach to questionnaire development was used, combining scales from several other relevant empirical studies with new items to make an initial list of 25 items. Several items were modified through interviews with colleagues and a first draft of the questionnaire was tested with three bank branches of Bankia. The questionnaire constructs were operationalised and measured as follows (see the Appendix for a list of items):

- (1) Previous studies by Day and Schoemaker (2006) provide guidance in developing items to measure PV. The importance of "PV" to cognitively managers relates to enhancement of technical, administrative and social strategies through the accurate understanding of the information available to external stakeholders and where such information is located in relation to organisational initiatives. This highlights the way management faced up to change, actively introduced it into the company through projects, fostered collaboration with potential customers and recognised the value of peripheral information.

- (2) In order to examine absorptive capacity, the authors sought to measure the dimensions that have been defined by Zahra and George, (2002). Items were measured using a Likert scale from the study by Jansen *et al.* (2005). ACAP consists of two dimensions: PACAP and RACAP. Five items were used to assess the intensity and direction of efforts expended in knowledge acquisition and assimilation and to measure the extent to which firms were able to analyse and understand new external knowledge (PACAP). Four other items were used to measure transformation and to assess the extent to which firms were able to facilitate recognition of the opportunities provided by new external knowledge and the potential impact of the acquisition of such knowledge on the success of existing operations, structures and strategies (Zahra and George, 2002).
- (3) In order to examine technology knowledge (T-knowledge), this study sought to measure the dimensions that have been defined for technology slack (Szulanski, 1996; Sharma, 2000; Nohria and Gulati, 1996). Technology knowledge therefore consists of four items.
- (4) Among the outcomes of RC, factors relating to the existence of profitable relationships and the value of these relationships (e.g. growth in terms of sales, profitability or productivity) are most often used (Edvinsson and Malone, 1997; Duffy, 2000). The authors also adopted questions focusing on some characteristics of the company and its dealing with customers. These included the perceived quality of the services, the speed of response, and strategies in place to achieve customer satisfaction, which are also important dimensions of RC (Chang and Tseng, 2005; Bueno, 1998; Duffy, 2000). The final cleansed scale consisted of seven reflective indicators.

3.3 Data analysis

This study uses PLS-graph software version 3.00 to conduct an analysis of the data collected. Using PLS involves a two-stage approach (Barclay *et al.*, 1995). The first step is concerned with the assessment of the measurement model. This allows the relationships between the observable variables and theoretical concepts to be specified. This analysis is performed in relation to the attributes of individual item reliability, construct reliability, average variance extracted (AVE), and discriminant validity of the indicators of latent variables. In the second step, the structural model is evaluated with the aim to understand the extent to which the causal relationships specified by the proposed model are consistent with the available data. For hypothesis testing, this study used the bootstrapping procedure recommended by Chin (1998).

In order to analyse the relationships between the different constructs and their indicators, the research adopted the latent model perspective in which the latent variable is understood to be the cause of the indicators. The authors therefore refer to reflective indicators for first-order constructs or dimensions. Three constructs in the model are operationalised as first-order reflective constructs (i.e. T-knowledge, PV and RC), while ACAP is modelled as second-order reflective construct. With regard to the measurement model, the authors began by assessing the individual item reliability (Table I). The indicators exceed the accepted threshold of 0.7 for each factor loading (highlighted in *italics*) required as per Carmines and Zeller (1979).

From an examination of the results shown in Table II it can be argued that all of the constructs are reliable. The values for both the Cronbach's α coefficient and composite

	PV	PACAP	RACAP	TK	RC	Linking PV with RC
PV1	0.78	0.11	0.30	0.41	0.40	
PV2	0.82	0.25	0.35	0.47	0.50	
PV3	0.80	0.19	0.27	0.46	0.38	
PV4	0.70	0.30	0.33	0.37	0.26	
PV5	0.71	0.14	0.24	0.23	0.23	
PACAP1	0.27	0.77	0.44	0.41	0.38	
PACAP2	0.27	0.79	0.54	0.44	0.48	
PACAP3	0.19	0.80	0.54	0.31	0.36	
PACAP4	0.11	0.79	0.52	0.26	0.30	
PACAP5	0.23	0.79	0.58	0.32	0.35	
RACAP1	0.30	0.62	0.83	0.41	0.41	
RACAP2	0.31	0.54	0.87	0.38	0.38	
RACAP3	0.34	0.54	0.83	0.41	0.41	
RACAP4	0.37	0.48	0.74	0.40	0.21	
TK1	0.49	0.40	0.43	0.89	0.65	
TK2	0.39	0.36	0.43	0.84	0.53	
TK3	0.41	0.41	0.44	0.87	0.56	
TK4	0.51	0.35	0.38	0.85	0.56	
RC1	0.45	0.35	0.35	0.63	0.81	
RC2	0.44	0.46	0.43	0.65	0.90	
RC3	0.34	0.43	0.42	0.58	0.80	
RC4	0.37	0.38	0.36	0.41	0.79	
RC5	0.42	0.26	0.26	0.49	0.76	
RC6	0.28	0.33	0.27	0.40	0.74	
RC7	0.40	0.42	0.31	0.51	0.83	

723

Table I.
Factor loadings of
reflective constructs

	Mean ^a	SD	CA	CR	AVE	1 ^b	2 ^b	3 ^b	4 ^b	5 ^b	6 ^b
1. PACAP	4.72	0.91	0.84	0.89	0.62	0.78					
2. RACAP	4.97	0.82	0.83	0.89	0.67	0.65	0.81				
3. ACAP	4.85	0.79	na	na	na	0.91	0.90	na			
4. PV	5.61	0.78	0.81	0.87	0.57	0.26	0.40	0.36	0.75		
5. TK	4.66	0.98	0.88	0.92	0.74	0.44	0.48	0.50	0.51	0.86	
6. RC	5.09	0.80	0.90	0.92	0.64	0.46	0.41	0.48	0.47	0.64	0.80

Notes: SD, standard deviation; CA, Cronbach's α ; CR, composite reliability; AVE, average variance extracted; na, not applicable. The italic numbers on the diagonal are the square root of the average variance extracted; off-diagonal elements are correlations among construct. ^aMean, the average score for all of the items included in this measure; ^bthey represent the dimensions of each second-order construct

Table II.
Descriptive statistics
and correlation
matrix

reliability are greater than the 0.7 required in the early stages of research and the stricter value of 0.8 for basic research (Nunnally, 1978). The AVE should be greater than 0.5, meaning that 50 per cent or more variance of the indicators should be accounted for (Fornell and Larcker, 1981). All the constructs of our model exceed this condition (Table II). To assess the discriminant validity, the square root of the AVE (the diagonal in Table II) was compared with the correlations between constructs (the off-diagonal elements in Table II). On average, each construct relates more strongly to its own measures than to others.

As noted above, second-order confirmatory factor analysis was conducted of a model depicting the constructs of PACAP and RACAP. From an examination of the results in Table III, all first-order and second-order factor loadings were significant. In addition to this, ACAP explains a high variance in their respective processes (i.e. PACAP and RACAP), thereby providing evidence that ACAP is a multifaceted construct, construed from two dimensions, namely PACAP and RACAP.

4. Results

Since PLS makes no distributional assumptions in its parameter estimation, traditional parameter-based techniques for significance testing and modelling are used for this study (Chin, 1998). The structural model is evaluated based on the algebraic sign, magnitude and significance of the structural path coefficients, the R^2 values. Consistent with (Hair *et al.*, 2013), bootstrapping (500 resamples) was used to generate standard errors, t -statistics, and confidence intervals (CI). This allows us to assess the statistical significance of the path coefficients. The results of hypothesis testing are presented in Table IV, with the proportion of variance explained in “RC” being above the common standard of 0.36 (Wetzels *et al.*, 2009; Hair *et al.*, 2013).

The structural model resulting from the PLS analysis is summarised in Figure 3, where the variance of endogenous variables (R^2) and the standardised path coefficients are shown. First, it was determined that a positive relationships exist between PV and RC ($a_1 = 0.16$; $p < 0.01$). Second, it was determined that positive relationships exist between PV and ACAP ($a_2 = 0.36$; $p < 0.01$) and between ACAP and RC ($a_4 = 0.19$; $p < 0.01$). Third, positive relationships emerged between PV and T-knowledge


Table III.
Second-order confirmatory factor analysis of ACAP

First-order construct	Indicator	First-order		Second-order	
		Loading	t -value	Loading	t -value
PACAP $R^2 = 0.85$	PACAP1	0.77	37.38	0.92	102.34
	PACAP2	0.78	43.42		
	PACAP3	0.80	41.55		
	PACAP4	0.78	45.83		
	PACAP5	0.78	32.54		
RACAP $R^2 = 0.81$	RACAP1	0.83	50.85	0.90	86.04
	RACAP2	0.86	58.72		
	RACAP3	0.83	54.45		
	RACAP4	0.74	40.05		

Table IV.
Effects on endogenous constructs

Hypotheses	Path coefficients	t values	R^2
$H1 = a_1$: PV → RC	0.16***	4.58	0.497
$H2 = a_2$: PV → ACAP	0.36***	7.82	0.130
$H3 = a_3$: PV → T-knowledge	0.52***	22.17	0.278
$H4 = a_4$: ACAP → RC	0.19***	6.82	0.497
$H5 = a_5$: T-knowledge → RC	0.47***	14.04	0.497

Notes: PV, peripheral vision; ACAP, absorptive capacity; RC, relational capital; T-knowledge, technology knowledge. $t(0.01,499) = 2.585711627$. *** $p < 0.01$ (based on a student $t(499)$ distribution with two tails)



Notes: $t(0.01, 499) = 2.585711627$. $***p < 0.01$, (based on a student $t(499)$ distribution with two tails)

Figure 3.
Structural model
results

($a_3 = 0.52$; $p < 0.01$) and between T-knowledge and RC ($a_5 = 0.47$; $p < 0.01$). Consequently, the findings also provided full support for *H1-H5*.

Following the recommendations of Preacher and Hayes (2008), a *post-hoc* indirect effect analysis was carried out to tests the indirect effect of independent variables on the dependent variable by way of the mediator (see Table V). In doing so, a two-step procedure for testing mediation in PLS has been followed: first, the authors use the specific model in question with both direct and indirect paths included and perform 500 bootstrap resampling and explicitly calculate the product of the direct paths that form the indirect path being assessed; Then the significance is determined using percentile bootstrap. This generated 95 per cent CI for the indirect relationships under study. As shown in Table V, T-knowledge and intentional unlearning mediate the relationship between ACAP and RC.

5. Discussion

The first contribution of this research has been the study of the relationship between PV and RC in a series of relationships represented in the model in Figure 1. The relative importance and significance of T-knowledge and ACAP as mediators of the relationship between "RC" and "PV" has also been examined. The results achieved support the argument made, that most of the managerial perceptions on the knowledge generated by the PV are channelled through T-knowledge and ACAP. A possible explanation for this is that improvements in the organisation's PV may lead not only to the identification of a wider range of opportunities. It can also help organisational members diagnose and work to resolve operational issues which, in turn, are expected to have a positive effect on the

Indirect effects on	Point estimate	Percentile bootstrap 95% confidence interval		
		Lower	Upper	
<i>Relational capital</i>				
PV→ACAP→RC = $a_2 \times a_4$	0.07**	0.017	0.176	Sig.
PV→T-knowledge →RC = $a_3 \times a_5$	0.24***	0.131	0.375	Sig.

Notes: PV, peripheral vision; ACAP, absorptive capacity; RC, relational capital; T-knowledge, technology knowledge; ns, not significant (based on a student $t(499)$ distribution with two tails). $t(0.01, 499) = 2.585711627$, $t(0.05, 499) = 1.964726835$. $**p < 0.05$; $***p < 0.01$

Table V.
Indirect effects

firm's knowledge and knowledge structures. Under this framework, the knowledge provided by the periphery of an organisation's vision can lead to rapid reconfiguration in response to a wide range of opportunities potentially leading to innovation and successful adaptation to technological change (Haeckel, 2004).

The second contribution of this research derives from the results of the empirical test of the hypotheses. The managerial implications of the relationships observed between the factors that constitute the hypothesised relationships are discussed in more detail in below.

With respect to the test of the hypothesis *H1* ($PV \rightarrow RC$), the results are consistent with prior organisational research (e.g. Haeckel, 2004; Day and Schoemaker, 2006). As expected at the beginning of this study, it was confirmed that attention to the activity that takes place within the organisation's PV may have a positive effect on RC. That is, newly created knowledge derived from PV could allow branch managers to select, initiate, develop and maintain business relationships with existing and new customers. A possible explanation for this result is that focusing on signals obtained from an organisation's PV is likely to lead to the creation of new knowledge (Capello and Faggian, 2005) and the consolidation of those customer relationships that the organisation develops through the course of its continuous evolution (Hafizi and Nor-Hayati, 2006). For example, depending on whether managers understand potential customers correctly, they may develop the ability to predict and respond faster to the changing preferences of existing customer and to pricing (Chang and Tseng, 2005) which in turn strengthens RC such as public opinion about a company and its brand.

With regard to *H2*, the results support the hypothesis that there is a positive relationship between the organisation's PV and ACAP ($PV \rightarrow ACAP$). This means that the organisation's PV is likely to help sustain its ACAP. For example, the early identification of external opportunities by managers would become a very valuable resource for the organisation, as long as it can act upon these external opportunities timely and effectively. This confirms the position adopted by Noblet *et al.* (2011), who found that the interactions and connections that firms build with outside organisations can strengthen their absorptive capacity and improve the effectiveness of the transfer process. In other words, though ACAP managerial perceptions of the periphery move from managers to organisational members so that all opinions can be heard and understood. For the banking industry this means that branch managers' ability to interpret and share external signals with other members of the organisation may determine the bank's ability to absorb that external knowledge (Gold *et al.*, 2001; Nieto and Quevedo, 2005; Cunha and Chia, 2007).

With regard to *H3* ($PV \rightarrow T\text{-knowledge}$), the results support the argument that knowledge of technological trends gleaned from potential customers by managers is likely to have a positive effect on achieving organisational goals, which may have a significant impact on understanding customers' expectations. A plausible explanation for this result is that since technological expectations change as a result of technology changes (Starbuck, 1996), managerial PV can help members of the organisation to become more aware by updating T-knowledge into how the latest technology operate (Zajicek, 2006). In fact, even those familiar with technology often have very little idea of how new technology products and software progress. In this respect, Herschbach (1995) observed that T-knowledge arises from and is embedded into human activity. Hence, it can be argued that the presence of a context that fosters the managers' ability to understand how technology affects potential customers is likely to become essential if companies are to update and diffuse knowledge of what others, stakeholders and competitors, are doing.

With respect to the test of hypothesis $H4$ (ACAP→RC), the data indicates that ACAP is a key-component to strengthen the RC. Aspects of ACAP include whether or not a firm can access additional, and reconfigure existing, component knowledge to constitute a response to client or market needs (Noblet *et al.*, 2011). Therefore, the capacity of a firm to increase the transactional efficiency of the relationship with its external stakeholders will be enhanced by an appropriate knowledge structure, offered through processes, rules and routines, to respond to changing market conditions. Having highly specific knowledge allows for the firm to initiate and maintain relations with customers as a way to capture new, potentially relevant knowledge (Cohen and Levinthal, 1990) which can be used to attract other customers therefore resulting in an improved RC (Ring and van de Ven, 1994; Bueno, 1998; Fernström, 2005).

In terms of $H5$ (T-knowledge→RC), the results confirm that there is a positive relationship between T-knowledge and RC. These findings support the views of Carayannis *et al.* (2006) who drew attention to the fact that the use of technology determines the quality and speed of communications and those coordinated search procedures which improve external relationships. A possible explanation for this result would be that appropriate knowledge structures including technology capabilities are required or the organisation to respond quickly and effectively to current and potential customer needs and expectations (Carayannis *et al.*, 2006). Therefore, the presence of T-knowledge, that is technology-related skills and attitudes, are important in order to ensure that customers have a continuous level and quality of response from the organisation, regardless of the technology being used (Starbuck, 1996). Also, the implementation and use of new technologies within the organisation may generate customer benefits if there is coherence between knowledge of technologies (e.g. management and security of customer data) and customers' perception of the operations of the firm and expectations of its service.

Finally, it is important to note that the indirect effects of PV on RC via both the ACAP and T-knowledge were fully verified by this study. We found that PV may not only help managers explain variations on customer expectations, but also exploit the current knowledge structures of the organisation (i.e. T-knowledge and ACAP). For example, by knowing how secure customers perceive the company's technology infrastructure to be, the organisation will be able to create new electronic services or adjust existing ones to meet customers' expectations (Haeckel, 2004; Day and Schoemaker, 2006). This is likely to have a positive effect not only on satisfaction of existing customers' needs but also on the chances of them recommending the company and its products and services to other potential customers (Day, 2000).

6. Concluding remarks

This paper has made a contribution to the understanding of the potential relationships between PV, as applied in an organisational context, and the two knowledge structures focus of this study. The relative importance and significance of ACAP and T-knowledge as mediators between "RC" and "PV" has also been examined through an empirical investigation of 125 employees from the banking sector. The study has concluded that the creation of RC by employees from the banking sector depends to a significant extent on how managers acquire, analyse, interpret and apply new external knowledge. The results of the study also indicate that the effects of the knowledge generated by the PV on RC are mediated by ACAP and T-knowledge. A possible explanation would be that whilst ACAP and T-knowledge are fostered among employees in the banking sector, their managers can capitalise on existing opportunities to strengthen and enhance RC through new and potential customers.

Therefore, although PV brings with it potential knowledge related to the nature of new market opportunities, new customers and emerging customer needs, this knowledge needs to be channelled through the right structures for appropriate action.

This study has some limitations. First, the research is based on self-reports, which can bias its findings. Second, although every effort has been made to define constructs accurately and informed by relevant literature and validated by practitioners, such constructs can realistically only be regarded as proxies for underlying phenomena that in themselves are not fully measurable. Third, as a single research methodology was employed, further research by means of interviews and observational case studies could be undertaken for triangulation of the results. Additionally, while the conclusions are valid in the context of the Spanish banking sector, these might not be prompt to be generalised to all industries and should be addressed by cross-sector and cross-cultural studies. Finally, it was assumed that the creation of T-knowledge is similar for different actors and participants, and that their assessment could be done in the same way as evaluating electronic online services. In other words the research does not account for the possibility of actors and participants being able to consider alternative uses of services available to them. Therefore, this assumption should be reviewed and explored further and might involve most diverse types of stakeholders whose concerns and interests might differ from those of the authors.

The findings of this study highlight the importance of managers' awareness of the concept of PV. This becomes particularly relevant when managers have the ability or need to drive the conditions and/or context where knowledge structures can be developed to increase organisational outputs. Assets such as customer capital may result from the opportunities provided by the periphery of the environment within which the organisation operates. PV also appears to provide an interesting perspective for understanding how opportunities emerge and how they can be implemented to improve an organisation's knowledge structures and its customer capital. In addition, although much can be done to help managers make the most of their vision and adapt to any changes, this study argues that traditional concepts of managing and leading change based on knowledge management processes need to consider ACAP and T-knowledge. It may also be argued that the narrowing of PV resulting from the ageing of firms may make them even more vulnerable to competition. Firms will need to develop routines and activities in order to retain and preferably enhance their PV and hence maintain or even enhance their RC.

Despite the limitations outlined above, this study makes a significant contribution to the existing debate around the concept of PV and its relationship with knowledge structures. Future research might profitably sample a range of companies to investigate the balance between peripheral and focal visions or how they relate to financial performance. It therefore opens new opportunities for research that the research team is currently exploring.

References

- Ali, H.M. and Ahmad, N.H. (2006), "Knowledge management in Malaysian banks: a new paradigm", *Journal of Knowledge Management Practice*, Vol. 7 No. 3, pp. 1-13.
- Armstrong, J.S. and Overton, T.S. (1977), "Estimating nonresponse bias in mail surveys", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 14 No. 3, pp. 396-402.
- Bankia (2015), "Quarterly results presentation - 2Q 2015", 27 July, available at: www.bankia.com/recursos/boletines/accionistas/newsletter-n-1-1st-half-2015-earnings-results/10_results-presentation-2q-2015-analyst.pdf (accessed August 2016).

- Barclay, D., Higgins, C. and Thompson, R. (1995), "The partial least squares (PLS) approach to causal modelling: personal computer adoption and use as an illustration", *Technological Studies*, Vol. 2 No. 2, pp. 285-309.
- Bhootra, A.J. and Sumitra, M.D. (2008), *Elite Sports and Vision: An Introduction to Implications of Vision in Sports*, Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers Pvt Ltd, New Delhi.
- Bontis, N. (1998), "Intellectual capital: an exploratory study that develops measures and models", *Management Decision*, Vol. 36 No. 2, pp. 63-76.
- Bower, J.L. and Christensen, C. (1995), "Disruptive technologies: catching the wave", *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 73 No. 1, pp. 43-53.
- Brooking, A. (1996), *Intellectual Capital, Core Asset for the Third Millennium Enterprise*, International Thomson Business Press, London.
- Bueno, E. (1998), *Medición Del Capital Intelectual: Modelo Intelect*, Instituto Universitario Euroforum Escorial, Madrid.
- Capello, R. and Faggian, A. (2005), "Collective learning and relational capital in local innovation processes", *Regional Studies*, Vol. 39 No. 1, pp. 75-87.
- Carayannis, E.G., Popescu, D., Sipp, C. and Stewart, M.D. (2006), "Technological learning for entrepreneurial development", *Technovation*, Vol. 26 No. 4, pp. 419-443.
- Carballo-Cruz, F. (2001), "Causes and consequences of the Spanish economic crisis: why the recovery is taken so long?", *Panaeconomicus*, Vol. 3, pp. 309-328.
- Carmines, E.G. and Zeller, R.A. (1979), *Reliability and Validity Assessment*, Sage, London.
- Cegarra, J.G. and Rodrigo, B. (2003), "Individual knowledge as a bridge between human and customer capital", *Journal Universal Computer Science*, Vol. 9 No. 12, pp. 1469-1486.
- Cegarra, J.G. and Sabater, R. (2005), "E-learning: organizational requirements for successful feedback learning", *Journal of Workplace Learning*, Vol. 17 Nos 5/6, pp. 276-290.
- Cegarra, J.G., Gamo, A. and Moreno, J.L. (2012), "Creating patient e-knowledge for patients through telemedicine technologies", *Knowledge Management Research and Practice*, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp. 153-163.
- Cepeda, G., Cegarra, J.G. and Jiménez, D. (2012), "The effect of absorptive capacity on innovativeness: context and IS capability as catalysts", *British Journal of Management*, Vol. 23 No. 1, pp. 110-129.
- Chang, A. and Tseng, C. (2005), "Building customer capital through relationship marketing activities: the case of Taiwanese multilevel marketing companies", *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, Vol. 6 No. 2, pp. 253-266.
- Chin, W.W. (1998), "The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling", in Marcoulides, G.A. (Ed.), *Modern Methods for Business Research*, Lawrence Erlbaum Associate, Mahwah, NJ, pp. 295-336.
- Churchill, G.A. (1979), "A paradigm for developing better measures for marketing constructs", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 16 No. 1, pp. 64-73.
- Cohen, W.M. and Levinthal, D.A. (1990), "Absorptive capacity: a new perspective on learning and innovation", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 35 No. 1, pp. 128-154.
- Cunha, M.P. and Chia, R. (2007), "Using team to avoid peripheral blindness", *Long Range Planning*, Vol. 40 No. 6, pp. 559-573.
- Day, G.S. (1994), "The capabilities of market driven organizations", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 58 No. 4, pp. 37-52.
- Day, G.S. (2000), *Comprender, Captar y Fidelizar Los Mejores Clientes*, Gestión, Barcelona.
- Day, G.S. and Schoemaker, P. (2004), "Peripheral vision: sensing and acting on weak signals", *Long Range Planning*, Vol. 37 No. 2, pp. 117-121.

- Day, G.S. and Schoemaker, P. (2006), *Peripheral Vision: Detecting the Weak Signals that will Make or Break Your Company*, Harvard Business School, Boston, MA.
- Duffy, J. (2000), "Measuring customer capital", *Strategy and Leadership*, Vol. 28 No. 5, pp. 10-14.
- Edvinsson, L. (2002), *Corporate Longitude – Navigating the Knowledge Economy*, Bookhouse & Pearson, Stockholm.
- Edvinsson, L. and Malone, M. (1997), *Intellectual Capital: Realizing your Company's True Value by Finding its Hidden Brainpower*, Harper Collins, New York, NY.
- Fairclough, N. (2005), "Peripheral vision: discourse analysis in organization studies: the case for critical realism", *Organization Studies*, Vol. 26 No. 6, pp. 915-939.
- Fernandez, F. and Sune, A. (2009), "Organizational forgetting and its causes: an empirical research", *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, Vol. 22 No. 6, pp. 620-634.
- Fernström, L. (2005), "A marketing perspective on intellectual capital", in Bonfour, A. and Edvinsson, L. (Eds), *Intellectual Capital for Communities: Nations, Regions and Cities*, Elsevier Butterworth Heinemann, Boston, MA, pp. 82-95.
- Fernström, L., Pike, S. and Roos, G. (2004), "Understanding the truly value creating resources – the case of a pharmaceutical company", *International Journal of Learning and Intellectual Capital*, Vol. 1 No. 1, pp. 105-120.
- Fornell, C. (2000), "Customer asset management, capital efficiency, and shareholder value", paper presented at Performance Measurement, Past, Present and Future Conference, Cambridge University, 20 July.
- Fornell, C. and Larcker, D.F. (1981), "Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 18 No. 1, pp. 39-50.
- Gold, A.H., Malhotra, A. and Segars, A.H. (2001), "Knowledge management: an organizational capabilities perspective", *Journal of Management Information Systems*, Vol. 18 No. 1, pp. 185-214.
- Haeckel, S.H. (2004), "Peripheral vision: sensing, acting on weak signals making meaning out of apparent noise: the need for a new managerial framework", *Long Range Planning*, Vol. 37 No. 2, pp. 181-189.
- Hafizi, A. and Nor-Hayati, A. (2006), "Knowledge management in Malaysian banks: a new paradigm", *Journal of Knowledge Management Practice*, Vol. 7 No. 3, pp. 1-13.
- Hair, J., Hult, G., Ringle, C. and Sarstedt, M. (2013), *A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)*, SAGE Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Harrington, S.J. and Guimaraes, T. (2005), "Corporate culture, absorptive capacity and IT success", *Information and Organization*, Vol. 15 No. 1, pp. 39-63.
- Haveman, H.A. and Khaire, M.V. (2004), "Survival beyond succession? The contingent impact of founder succession on organizational failure", *Journal of Business Venturing*, Vol. 19 No. 3, pp. 437-463.
- Hayer, J.S. and Ibeh, K.I. (2006), "Ethnic networks and small firm internationalisation: a study of UK-based Indian enterprises", *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation Management*, Vol. 6 No. 6, pp. 508-525.
- Herschbach, D.R. (1995), "Technology as knowledge: implications for instruction", *Journal of Technology Education*, Vol. 7 No. 1, pp. 31-42.
- Jansen, J.J., Van Den Bosch, F.A. and Volberda, H.W. (2005), "Managing potential and realized absorptive capacity: how do organizational antecedents matter?", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 48 No. 6, pp. 999-1015.
- Kakavelakis, K. (2010), "A relational approach to understanding knowing in communities of practice", *Knowledge and Process Management*, Vol. 17 No. 4, pp. 168-179.

- Kianto, A., Andreeva, T. and Pavlov, Y. (2013), "The impact of intellectual capital management on company competitiveness and financial performance", *Knowledge Management Research and Practice*, Vol. 11 No. 4, pp. 112-122.
- Klevatorick, A.K., Levin, R., Nelson, R. and Winter, S. (1995), "On the sources and significance of inter-industry differences in technological opportunities", *Research Policy*, Vol. 24 No. 2, pp. 185-205.
- Kridan, A.B. and Goulding, J.S. (2006), "A case study on knowledge management implementation in the banking sector", *The Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems*, Vol. 36 No. 2, pp. 211-222.
- Lee, S.C., Chang, S.A., Liu, C.Y. and Yang, J. (2007), "The effect of knowledge protection, knowledge ambiguity, and relational capital on alliance performance", *Knowledge and Process Management*, Vol. 14 No. 1, pp. 58-69.
- Levitt, T. (1960), "Marketing myopia", *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 38 No. 4, pp. 24-47.
- Martelo, S. and Cegarra, J.G. (2014), "Linking knowledge corridors to customer value through knowledge processes", *Journal of Knowledge Management*, Vol. 18 No. 2, pp. 342-365.
- Martinez, E., Cegarra, J.G. and Solano, M. (2012), "E-loyalty intent; satisfaction; technology acceptance model; end user; patient", *Health Care Management Review*, Vol. 38 No. 1, pp. 61-70.
- Mathur, A., Gehrman, J. and Atchison, D.A. (2013), "Pupil shape as viewed along the horizontal visual field", *Journal of Vision*, Vol. 13 No. 6, p. 3.
- Menon, A., Bharadwaj, S.G. and Howell, R. (1996), "The quality and effectiveness of marketing strategy: effects of functional and dysfunctional conflict in intra-organizational relationships", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 24 No. 4, pp. 299-313.
- Nahapiet, J. and Ghoshal, S. (1998), "Social capital, intellectual capital and the organizational advantage", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 23 No. 2, pp. 242-266.
- Newey, L.R. and Zahra, S.A. (2009), "The evolving firm: how dynamic and operating capabilities interact to enable entrepreneurship", *British Journal of Management*, Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 81-100.
- Nieto, M. and Quevedo, P. (2005), "Absorptive capacity, technological opportunity, knowledge spillovers, and innovative effort", *Technovation*, Vol. 25 No. 10, pp. 1141-1157.
- Noblet, J.P., Simon, E. and Parent, R. (2011), "Absorptive capacity: a proposed operationalization", *Knowledge Management Research and Practice*, Vol. 9 No. 4, pp. 367-377.
- Nohria, N. and Gulati, R. (1996), "Is slack good or bad for innovation?", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 39 No. 5, pp. 1245-1264.
- Nunnally, J.C. (1978), *Psychometric Theory*, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY.
- Ocean Tomo (2015), "Annual study of intangible asset market value", available at: www.oceantomo.com/blog/2015/03-05-ocean-tomo-2015-intangible-asset-market-value/ (accessed 11 July 2016).
- Onge, H. (1996), "Tacit knowledge: the key to the strategic alignment of intellectual capital", *Strategy & Leadership*, Vol. 24 No. 2, pp. 10-14.
- Pierre, L. (2010), "Interorganizational IT investments and the value upstream relational capital", *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, Vol. 11 No. 3, pp. 406-428.
- Podsakoff, P.M., MacKenzie, S.B., Lee, J.Y. and Podsakoff, N.P. (2003), "Common method biases in behavioral research: a critical review of the literature and recommended remedies", *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 88 No. 5, pp. 879-903.

- Preacher, K.J. and Hayes, A.F. (2008), "Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models", *Behavior Research Methods*, Vol. 40 No. 3, pp. 879-891.
- Quinn, J. (1992), *Intellectual Enterprise (A Knowledge and Service Based Paradigm for Industry)*, The Free Press, New York, NY.
- Ring, P.S. and van de Ven, A. (1994), "Developmental processes of cooperative interorganizational relationships", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 19 No. 1, pp. 90-118.
- Serenko, A., Bontis, N. and Hull, E. (2015), "An application of the knowledge management maturity model: the case of credit unions", *Knowledge Management Research and Practice*, Vol. 14 No. 3, pp. 338-352.
- Sharma, S. (2000), "Managerial interpretations and organizational context as predictors of corporate choice of environmental strategy", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 43 No. 4, pp. 681-697.
- Sherden, W.A. (1994), *Market Ownership: The Art & Science of Becoming No. 1*, American Management Association, New York, NY.
- Sorensen, C. and Lundh, U. (2001), "Innovation through knowledge codification", *Journal of Information Technology*, Vol. 16 No. 2, pp. 83-97.
- Starbuck, W.H. (1996), "Unlearning ineffective or obsolete technologies", *International Journal of Technology Management*, Vol. 11 No. 3, pp. 725-737.
- Subramani, M. (2004), "How do suppliers benefits from information technology use in supply chain relationships?", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp. 45-74.
- Szulanski, G. (1996), "Exploring internal stickiness: impediments to the transfer of best practice within the firm", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 17 No. 10, pp. 27-43.
- Tsai, W. (2001), "Knowledge transfer in intraorganizational networks: effects of network position and absorptive capacity on business unit innovation and performance", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 44 No. 5, pp. 996-1004.
- Wah, L. (2000), "Behind the buzz: the substance of knowledge management", in Cortada, J.W. and Woods, J.A. (Eds), *The Knowledge Management Yearbook 2000-2001*, Butterworth-Heinemann, Boston, MA, pp. 307-317.
- Wetzels, M., Odekerken-Schröder, G. and Van Oppen, C. (2009), "Using PLS path modeling for assessing hierarchical construct models: guidelines and empirical illustration", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 33 No. 1, pp. 177-195.
- Zahra, S.A. and George, G. (2002), "Absorptive capacity: a review, reconceptualization, and extension", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 27 No. 2, pp. 185-203.
- Zajicek, M. (2006), "Aspects of HCI research for older people", *Universal Access Information Society*, Vol. 5 No. 3, pp. 279-286.
- Zaragoza, P. and Claver, E. (2011), "Relational capital inside multinationals", *Knowledge Management Research and Practice*, Vol. 9 No. 12, pp. 293-304.

Appendix. Questionnaire items

Peripheral Vision: with respect to your organisation, indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree (1 = strongly disagree and 7 = strongly agree):

PV1: Managers seem to be open to new ideas and new ways of doing things

PV2: Management has tried to initiate projects and introduce innovations

PV3: Managers recognise the value of new information, assimilate it and apply it

PV4: Managers adopt the suggestions of peripheral customers in the form of new routines and processes

PV5: Managers are prone to collaborate with peripheral competitors and to solve problems together

Source: Day and Schoemaker (2006)

Potential Absorptive Capacity: with respect to your organisation, indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree (1 = strongly disagree and 7 = strongly agree):

PACAP1: Employees at our site regularly visit other branches

PACAP2: We collect industry information through informal means (e.g. lunch with industry friends, talks with trade partners).

PACAP3: Our unit periodically organises special meetings with customers or third parties to acquire new knowledge.

PACAP4: Employees regularly approach third parties, such as accountants, consultants or tax consultants

PACAP5: New opportunities to serve our clients are quickly understood

Source: Jansen *et al.* (2005)

Realised Absorptive Capacity: with respect to your organisation, indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree (1 = strongly disagree and 7 = strongly agree):

RACAP1: Our company regularly considers the consequences of changing market demands in terms of new products and services

RACAP2: Employees record and store newly acquired knowledge for future reference

RACAP3: Our company quickly recognises the usefulness of new external knowledge to existing knowledge

RACAP4: We constantly consider how to better exploit knowledge

Source: Jansen *et al.* (2005)

Technology Knowledge: with respect to your organisation, indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree (1 = strongly disagree and 7 = strongly agree):

TK1: Our company has a large and strong network of technology suppliers

TK2: We have more technological knowledge than our suppliers

TK3: Our new products are always based on technology

TK4: Our company is proactive in developing new technology and applications

Sources: Adapted from Szulanski (1996); Sharma (2000); Nohria and Gulati (1996)

Relational Capital: with respect to competitors indicate the degree to which your company reached the following objectives in the last three years (1 = did not reach at all and 7 = reached easily):

RC1: The quality of the services.

RC2: Customer satisfaction.

RC3: Adaptation to changing demand

RC4: Giving customers faster service

RC5: Growth (in terms of sales),

RC6: Profitability

RC7: Productivity

Source: Adapted from Bueno (1998)

Corresponding author

Alexeis Garcia-Perez can be contacted at: ab1258@coventry.ac.uk

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website:

www.emeraldgroupublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm

Or contact us for further details: permissions@emeraldinsight.com