



Journal of Enterprise Information Management

The role of institutional pressures and top management support in the intention to adopt cloud computing solutions

Ogan M. Yigitbasioglu

Article information:

To cite this document:

Ogan M. Yigitbasioglu , (2015), "The role of institutional pressures and top management support in the intention to adopt cloud computing solutions", Journal of Enterprise Information Management, Vol. 28 Iss 4 pp. 579 - 594

Permanent link to this document:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/JEIM-09-2014-0087>

Downloaded on: 10 November 2016, At: 21:02 (PT)

References: this document contains references to 62 other documents.

To copy this document: permissions@emeraldinsight.com

The fulltext of this document has been downloaded 735 times since 2015*

Users who downloaded this article also downloaded:

(2015), "Understanding determinants of cloud computing adoption using an integrated TAM-TOE model", Journal of Enterprise Information Management, Vol. 28 Iss 1 pp. 107-130 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/JEIM-08-2013-0065>

(2015), "Technological, organisational and environmental factors influencing managers' decision to adopt cloud computing in the UK", Journal of Enterprise Information Management, Vol. 28 Iss 6 pp. 788-807 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/JEIM-01-2015-0001>

Access to this document was granted through an Emerald subscription provided by emerald-srm:563821 []

For Authors

If you would like to write for this, or any other Emerald publication, then please use our Emerald for Authors service information about how to choose which publication to write for and submission guidelines are available for all. Please visit www.emeraldinsight.com/authors for more information.

About Emerald www.emeraldinsight.com

Emerald is a global publisher linking research and practice to the benefit of society. The company manages a portfolio of more than 290 journals and over 2,350 books and book series volumes, as well as providing an extensive range of online products and additional customer resources and services.

Emerald is both COUNTER 4 and TRANSFER compliant. The organization is a partner of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and also works with Portico and the LOCKSS initiative for digital archive preservation.

*Related content and download information correct at time of download.

The role of institutional pressures and top management support in the intention to adopt cloud computing solutions

Ogan M. Yigitbasioglu
*Accountancy Department, Queensland University of Technology,
Brisbane, Australia*

Received 1 September 2014
Revised 21 November 2014
Accepted 9 December 2014

Abstract

Purpose – While many studies have predominantly looked at the benefits and risks of cloud computing, little is known whether and to what extent institutional forces play a role in cloud computing adoption. The purpose of this paper is to explore the role of institutional factors in top management team's (TMT's) decision to adopt cloud computing services.

Design/methodology/approach – A model is developed and tested with data from an Australian survey using the partial least squares modeling technique.

Findings – The results suggest that mimetic and coercive pressures influence TMT's beliefs in the benefits of cloud computing. The results also show that TMT's beliefs drive TMT's participation, which in turn affects the intention to increase the adoption of cloud computing solutions.

Research limitations/implications – Future studies could incorporate the influences of local actors who might also press for innovation.

Practical implications – Given the influence of institutional forces and the plethora of cloud-based solutions on the market, it is recommended that TMTs exercise a high degree of caution when deciding for the types of applications to be outsourced as organizational requirements in terms of performance and security will differ.

Originality/value – The paper contributes to the growing empirical literature on cloud computing adoption and offers the institutional framework as an alternative lens with which to interpret cloud-based information technology outsourcing.

Keywords Cloud computing, Outsourcing, Institutional theory, Technology adoption, Software as a service, Top management team

Paper type Research paper

1. Introduction

Cloud computing is a new form of information technology outsourcing (ITO) whereby computing resources are purchased over the Internet on a pay-per-use basis (Yigitbasioglu *et al.*, 2013; Ross and Blumenstein, 2013; Marston *et al.*, 2011). Organizations are attracted to the cloud computing delivery model due to its benefits such as cost advantages, flexibility, speed of deployment, and access to quality software (Benlian and Hess, 2011; Lee *et al.*, 2013; Wu, 2011; Gupta *et al.*, 2013). On the other hand, concerns in relation to the privacy and confidentiality of data, the hidden costs of contracting, its performance, as well as the lack of understanding of the technology impeded the adoption of cloud computing services (Benlian and Hess, 2011; Lee *et al.*, 2013).

Studies on ITO have most frequently used transaction cost theory (TCE) (Williamson, 1985) to explain an organization's choice to outsource information technology (IT) despite concerns regarding its explanatory power (Lacity *et al.*, 2011; Miranda and Kim, 2006). While TCE considers the implications of transaction costs and the risk of opportunism on the overall ITO costs, the resource dependence theory (RDT)



focuses on IT activities that create value for the firm. Thus according to the RDT, resources of strategic nature are best kept in-house (Pfeffer and Salancik, 2003). Although TCE and RDT have different foci, both theories explain structural changes in organizations as a result of competitive forces and the desire for efficiency (Liang *et al.*, 2007). In contrast to TCE and RDT, institutional theory argues that organizations are also driven by legitimacy concerns which often lead to mimetic behaviour and institutional isomorphism (DiMaggio and Powell, 1983). DiMaggio and Powell (1983) define three distinct institutional forces through which organizational transformation occurs, i.e. mimetic, normative, and coercive processes. The role of institutions has long been recognized in the IT innovation literature (King *et al.*, 1994; Orlikowski and Barley, 2001). Orlikowski and Barley (2001, p. 154) note that institutional theory “would offer IT researchers a vantage point for conceptualizing the digital economy as an emergent, evolving, embedded, fragmented and provisional social production that is shaped as much by cultural and structural forces as by technical and economic one”.

There is some evidence that institutional pressures are moderated by organizational or environmental factors. For example, organizations can resist conformity if they have the financial capacity to invest in IT (Ang and Cummings, 1997). Although, arguably both institutional and economic factors affect the outsourcing decision of a firm, it is ultimately the management that makes the decision to outsource or not. Hence, top management support has been an important construct in the innovation and technology adoption literature (Wang *et al.*, 2010; Chong *et al.*, 2009). Top management support is also a construct in innovation studies that adopt the Technology Organization and Environment (TOE) framework (Depietro *et al.*, 1990). For instance, Alshamaila *et al.* (2013) in a qualitative study in England found that top management support along with other organizational, technological, and environmental factors had a favourable effect on cloud computing adoption.

While many studies have predominantly looked at the benefits and risks of cloud computing (e.g. Marston *et al.*, 2011; Benlian and Hess, 2011; Wu, 2011; Gupta *et al.*, 2013), little is known whether and to what extent institutional forces play a role in cloud computing adoption. This paper addresses this gap and focuses on the influence of institutional factors on the intention to adopt cloud computing, which is mediated by top management support. The theoretical framework of this paper draws on institutional theory, as well as elements of TOE (for top management support and financial capacity), consistent with the suggestions of a number of organizational researchers (e.g. Oliver, 1991; Eisenhardt and Brown, 1992; Hesterly *et al.*, 1990), who encourage the adoption of multiple theoretical frameworks to study organizational phenomenon.

The structure of the paper is as follows. First, I define cloud computing and review the relevant studies and theories in the cloud computing literature. Next, the literature on top management support and institutional theory is presented, which is followed by the hypotheses and the theoretical model. In Section 4, the sample and the methodology of the study is explained. Next, the results are provided, which is followed by a discussion and the conclusion of the study.

2. Literature review

While the effective use of IT has become a necessity for many organizations, the traditional in-house IT model is being increasingly challenged by the cloud computing delivery model. The cloud eliminates to a large extent the need to own and maintain expensive hardware and software and instead allows organizations to access IT

resources over the Internet, much like electricity and other household utilities. There are three types of cloud services that organizations can purchase: Software as Service (SAAS), Platform as a Service (PAAS), and Infrastructure as a Service (IAAS) (Marston *et al.*, 2011). With SAAS, organizations access software and do not have to worry about the maintenance. PAAS provides an online programming environment to meet organizations' need to develop and deploy software. Finally, with IAAS an operating system along with hardware is made available to the user without the technical support of the vendor (Marston *et al.*, 2011).

Research on cloud computing has so far focused on three aspects of the technology/service: the way it works or should work (e.g. Pearson *et al.*, 2009; Foster *et al.*, 2008; Youseff *et al.*, 2008), the benefits and risks of using the technology, as well as the factors that affect the intention to adopt such services (e.g. Marston *et al.*, 2011; Benlian and Hess, 2011; Low *et al.*, 2011; Wu, 2011; Gupta *et al.*, 2013). Empirical literature on the perceived benefits of cloud computing reports that cost advantages, strategic flexibility, software quality improvements, speed of deployment, ease of use, and access to new software are among the drivers of its adoption (Benlian and Hess, 2011; Wu, 2011; Gupta *et al.*, 2013; Lee *et al.*, 2013). In particular, small to medium sized (SME) organizations are expected to benefit the most from cloud solutions as they bring about the democratization of IT resources (Marston *et al.*, 2011). This is especially true for SMEs that do not have access to large sums of capital to invest in cutting edge IT software and hardware. Organizations that use cloud computing are more likely to access better software due to the employment of an Op-ex model as opposed to a Cap-ex model (Marston *et al.*, 2011). Although cloud computing offers many advantages, it also has many drawbacks. In terms of perceived risks of cloud computing, there is evidence that concerns in relation to its security and privacy, reliability, performance, legislation, hidden costs, and the lack of understanding inhibit its adoption (Benlian and Hess, 2011; Lee *et al.*, 2013; Gupta *et al.*, 2013; Wu *et al.*, 2013).

Organizational factors may also play a role in the adoption of cloud computing such as firm size, organizational innovativeness, top management support, and entrepreneurial culture (Alshamaila *et al.*, 2013; Wu *et al.*, 2013; Lee *et al.*, 2013). Factors external to the organization that influence cloud computing adoption include competitive pressures, uncertainty, geo-restriction, trading partner power, and expert opinions (Alshamaila *et al.*, 2013; Wu, 2011). Also, trialability, and prior experience with the technology (Alshamaila *et al.*, 2013), as well as business process complexity, information system compatibility, and application functionality were found to be related to cloud computing adoption (Wu *et al.*, 2013).

Theories used in cloud computing research include TOE (Alshamaila *et al.*, 2013; Low *et al.*, 2011), Theory of Reasoned Action (Benlian and Hess, 2011), Technology Acceptance Model combined with Rough Set Theory (Wu, 2011), and Diffusion of Innovation Theory (Wu *et al.*, 2013). A theory that has been underutilized in the existing research on cloud computing adoption is institutional theory (DiMaggio and Powell, 1983). In the recent decades, institutional theory has become a powerful explanation to account for the influence of external institutions on organizational decision making and transformation (Mizruchi and Fein, 1999; Liang *et al.*, 2007). The three institutional pressures (mimetic, normative, and coercive) lie at the core of institutional theory and explain institutional isomorphism. Accordingly, organizations transform into similar structures due to pressures exerted by regulators, peers, and the quest for legitimacy (DiMaggio and Powell, 1983).

Institutional theory has not only been underutilized in the more recent cloud computing literature but it was also largely ignored in the extant literature on ITO with

the exception of a few studies (Vitharana and Dharwadkar, 2007). For example, a study by Loh and Venkatraman (1992) found that the Kodak-IBM outsourcing arrangement legitimized ITO and triggered mimetic behaviour among Fortune 500 companies. Although, this finding was not supported by Hu *et al.* (1997), it was subsequently corroborated in Lacity and Willcocks (1998) as evidence of a bandwagon effect was found among UK and US organizations that outsourced their IT. Also a study by Ang and Cummings (1997) that combined institutional theory with TCE reported that, among others, asset specificity, supplier power, and slack resources moderated institutional pressures in the hypercompetitive US banking sector. Furthermore, Miranda and Kim (2006, p. 744) studied the moderating effects of institutional factors on the ITO decision and found support for the “institutional mitigation of the logic of TCE”, particularly the effects on human frailty conditions (perceptions and expectations). Finally, a study by Hu *et al.* (1997) reported that a mixed model taking into account internal (face to face communication) and external institutional factors (mass media) best explained an organizations adoption of ITO.

3. Hypothesis development

3.1 *Top management team (TMT)*

Even if external factors such as institutional pressures are strongly present, it is the TMT that ultimately makes the decision to innovate or not (Elbashir *et al.*, 2011). Thus, TMT is considered to be the primary human agency that supports innovation. The literature defines TMT as the group with the most influential executives such as Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) that have an overall responsibility for the organization (Hambrick and Mason, 1984; Armstrong and Sambamurthy, 1999). There is strong evidence that top management support leads to successful innovation of products (Maidique and Zirger, 1984), as well as successful implementation and assimilation of information systems (Thong *et al.*, 1996; Liang *et al.*, 2007; Nah *et al.*, 2001; Chatterjee *et al.*, 2002).

Research investigating the role of top management in organizational innovation distinguishes between two conceptual stages of involvement, namely, belief and participation (Liang *et al.*, 2007; Lee *et al.*, 2014; Chatterjee *et al.*, 2002; Srivastva, 1983; Walsh, 1988). TMT belief refers to the psychological state regarding the potential of a certain initiative, while TMT participation refers to the behaviour and actions performed to implement an initiative (Jarvenpaa and Ives, 1991). The literature shows that the external environment influences top management beliefs, which in turn affect administrative behaviours (Walsh, 1988; Srivastva, 1983). According to Srivastva (1983), organizational strategies, decisions, and behaviour are led by top managers' mental image of a desired future state for the organization. Therefore, top management's belief about the benefit of cloud computing may drive certain managerial actions that may increase the level of cloud computing adoption. Past research found evidence for the two-stage top management involvement in the adoption or assimilation of web technologies (Lefebvre *et al.*, 1997), enterprise (Liang *et al.*, 2007), and business intelligence systems (Lee *et al.*, 2014). Furthermore, Lefebvre *et al.* (1997) asserts that participation in organizational IT management activities are largely driven by top management beliefs rather than objective reality, which also supports the two-stage model of TMT support.

3.2 *Mimetic pressures*

In this study, I argue that TMT support mediates the effect of institutional pressures on the intention to adopt cloud computing solutions. Given the uncertainty of cloud computing

outcomes, firms might copy other organizations' successful efforts to implement information systems (Liang *et al.*, 2007). For example, firms may face some uncertainty regarding the cloud computing implementation strategy. The ITO literature suggests a selective approach to outsourcing where only the non-critical applications are to be outsourced (Beaumont and Costa, 2002), whereas with cloud computing it is not yet clear what works best. Furthermore, a study by Teo *et al.* (2003) studying the intention to adopt inter-organizational linkages found that organizations tend to imitate structurally similar firms that are perceived as successful. There are different views on how top management mediates the impact of mimetic pressures on technology adoption (Liang *et al.*, 2007). Liang *et al.* (2007) found a mediation through the two-stage top management support process but no direct relation between mimetic pressures and TMT participation as predicted. Thus, consistent with Liang *et al.* (2007) and Teo *et al.* (2003), I propose the following hypothesis:

H1. Mimetic pressure is positively associated with TMT belief.

3.3 Coercive pressures

Also there is evidence that coercive pressures affect the adoption of innovations (Hart and Saunders, 1998; Teo *et al.*, 2003). Coercive pressures originate from dominant customers or suppliers, as well as industry associations and regulators. For example, powerful customers might require new features that may be more cost effective if implemented through cloud computing solutions. Industry associations may also have a large influence on organizations. Furthermore, firms may be subject to pressures from parent companies or shareholders in the absence thereof (DiMaggio and Powell, 1983; Liang *et al.*, 2007). Finally, the competitive conditions are also considered to be part of the coercive set of institutional forces that affect organizations (Liang *et al.*, 2007). I argue that such forces affect TMT belief as captured in the following hypothesis:

H2. Coercive pressure is positively associated with TMT belief.

3.4 Normative pressures

Once an innovation like cloud computing becomes available, normative pressures prevail. Innovations are often evaluated and promoted by members of an organizational field such as customers, suppliers, consultants, and governments (Swanson and Ramiller, 1997). Cloud computing is being promoted in a number of countries by their governments. For example, in Australia, the government released "The Australian Government Cloud Implementation Initiative" in 2012 that encourage the use of cloud computing solutions in governmental institutions. Also, consulting firms along with IT companies such as Salesforce are highly active in organizing events that promote new technologies such as cloud computing. Such pressures are most likely to affect TMT beliefs. Therefore, the following hypothesis is suggested:

H3. Normative pressure is positively associated with TMT belief.

3.5 Slack resources

One of the main selling points of cloud computing is that it is often promoted or considered as an IT cost reduction strategy for organizations (Marston *et al.*, 2011; Benlian and Hess, 2011). Thus, companies with relatively higher IT budgets are less likely to face pressures to decrease their IT costs. Ang and Cummings (1997) found support for this premise in a study on institutional forces and ITO. Thus, I argue that companies with a higher IT budget are to a smaller extent impacted by institutional pressures, which may therefore affect TMT belief. I therefore propose the following hypothesis:

H4. Slack resources moderate institutional pressures and TMT belief.

3.6 TMT support and the intention to adopt cloud computing

Previous literature highlighted the significance of top management in supporting information system initiatives (Thong *et al.*, 1996; Liang *et al.*, 2007). Top management through their leadership role ensure sufficient resources are allocated to IT initiatives and act as change agents by motivating employees (Lucas, 1981). As previously discussed, top management support is considered to be a two-stage process (Lefebvre *et al.*, 1997; Liang *et al.*, 2007; Lee *et al.*, 2014; Chatterjee *et al.*, 2002). I therefore adopt the two-stage process of top management support in this study, which is consistent with recent studies. Given that there is support from TMT, organizations would consider increasing the use of cloud computing services as suggested by the IT innovation literature. This leads to the following two hypotheses and the theoretical model:

H5. TMT belief is positively associated with TMT participation.

H6. TMT participation is positively associated with the intention to increase the level of CC adoption (Figure 1).

4. Sample and method

4.1 Data collection and sample

The sample for this study consisted of 1,170 Australian firms in manufacturing and service industries. The survey was administered online in April 2014. I sent the link to the survey with a letter introducing the researcher and the research project to IT decision makers within the sample companies. A reminder was sent to the participants after two weeks in an effort to increase the number of completed questionnaires. E-mails of participants were purchased from an Australian list broker. After eliminating responses with missing values, the total number of usable observations equalled to 120. The sample size was adequate based on the established rule of thumb (Chin and Newsted, 1999). I checked for response bias but there was no significant difference between early and late responders. I also tested for common method bias using Harman’s single factor test. The results showed that this was not the case as no dominant factor emerged that explained a large part of the variance (Podsakoff and Organ, 1986).




Figure 1. Theoretical model

Most of the respondents were senior IT managers that had more than ten years of experience in their current position. In terms of industries, there were many represented as evident from Table I. Furthermore, most of the companies had a turnover of over 100 million AUD, as well as employees above 100.

4.2 Method for hypothesis testing

I used partial least squares analysis (PLS) to test the hypothesized relationships. PLS is a structural equation modeling technique with a number of advantages over covariance-based techniques such as LISREL and AMOS. Many researchers prefer PLS over other techniques because it works better with small sample sizes and it does not require data normality (Chin, 1998). This study used the WarpPLS software to model and test the hypotheses.

4.3 Measures

Pretested instruments were used for all the measures in the study, although some adjustments were made to suit the context of the study. A seven-point Likert scale was used to capture all the responses where 1 indicated “strongly disagree” and 7 represented “strongly agree”. Table II shows the indicators and the studies where the measures were previously used. All the constructs consisted of at least three indicators. Coercive pressure was operationalized as a formative construct as in Teo *et al.* (2003) with three items including shareholder pressure (Ravichandran *et al.*, 2009). Mean values and Cronbach’s

Demographic characteristics of respondents (<i>n</i> = 120)	Count	%
<i>Respondents position</i>		
CIO (CTO)	28	23
IT manager	46	38
IT decision maker	17	14
Other	29	24
<i>Sector</i>		
Manufacturing	20	17
Retail	13	11
Financial and insurance services	11	9
Construction	11	9
Wholesale	10	8
Information, media, and telecommunications	9	7
Utilities	7	6
Other services (real estate, professional, food, etc.)	39	33
<i>Turnover</i>		
Less than 1 M	4	3
1-10 M	9	7
11-50 M	28	23
51-100 M	17	14
More than 100 M	62	52
<i>Number of employees</i>		
Less than 50	12	10
51-100	13	11
101-500	41	34
501-1,000	11	9
More than 1,000	43	36

Table I.
Demographic
characteristics
of respondents

Table II.
Measures

586

JEIM
28,4

Construct	Indicators	Mean values	Cronbach's α and loadings (weights)
Mimetic pressures (Liang <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	Our main competitors who have adopted or are likely to adopt cloud computing ... Will greatly benefit from it	4.60	0.896
	Will be favourably perceived by others in the same industry	4.21	0.849
	Will be favourably perceived by their suppliers and customers	4.18	0.956
Normative pressures (Liang <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	The extent of cloud computing adoption by your firm's suppliers	3.78	0.924
	The extent of cloud computing adoption by your firm's customers	3.33	0.731
	The extent to which the government promotes the use of cloud computing	3.06	0.823
Coercive pressures (Liang <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Teo <i>et al.</i> , 2003)	Institutional pressures external to the firm (e.g. industry associations, customers) require the use of cloud computing	3.39	0.869
	Our firm's parent company (or shareholders) of our firm require the use of cloud computing	2.98	na
	The competitive conditions requires our firm to use cloud computing	4.00	(0.421)
Slack resources (Ang and Cummings, 1997)	Compared with our competitors, our firm has more money that could be invested in information technology	3.56	(0.445)
	We are facing tighter information technology budget limitations than we did three years ago ^a	3.13	(0.370)
	We have a bigger budget allotted for information technology than our competitors	3.44	0.809
TMT Belief (Chatterjee <i>et al.</i> , 2002)	The senior management of our firm believes that ...		0.841
	Cloud computing has the potential to provide significant business benefits to the firm cloud	4.77	0.842
	Computing will create a significant competitive arena for firms	4.65	0.873
	It is not necessary to use cloud computing to conduct business activities ^a	3.45	0.878

(continued)

Construct	Indicators	Mean values	Cronbach's α and loadings (weights)
TMT participation (Chatterjee <i>et al.</i> , 2002)	The senior management of our firm ...	3.99	0.945
	Actively articulates a vision for the organizational use of cloud computing	3.91	0.947
	Formulated a strategy for the organizational use of cloud computing	4.21	0.956
Intention to increase the level of CC adoption (Benlian and Hess, 2011)	Promotes the use of cloud computing	4.97	0.944
	If there are superior offers, cloud computing providers should be used for computing resources	4.82	0.929
	Our company should increase the use of cloud computing services	5.27	0.925
	I support the further adoption of cloud computing	4.82	0.943
		5.27	0.938

Notes: na, not applicable. ^aReverse coded

α values are presented in Table II. Cronbach's α values and indicator loadings for all reflective measures were higher than 0.7, which confirmed that the scales were reliable (Fornell and Larcker, 1981). Scale reliability is not applicable to formative constructs and therefore the weights of the indicators are only presented (Diamantopoulos and Winklhofer, 2001). The constructs "TMT belief", "intention to increase the level of cloud computing adoption", and "mimetic pressures" had high mean values. This suggested that organizations regarded cloud computing as beneficial.

Convergent validity was satisfactory as all the indicator loadings were higher than 0.7 for reflective constructs (Fornell and Larcker, 1981).

5. Results

5.1 Discriminant validity and correlation analysis

Discriminant validity of the constructs was evaluated by comparing the square root of variance extracted (AVE) with inter-construct correlations (see Table III). The square root of AVE values were larger than the inter-construct correlations which indicated that discriminate validity was met (Chin, 1998). The correlations were of medium to high strength except for budgetary slack, which was very low, indicating that the majority of the hypotheses were potentially supported.

5.2 PLS analysis

PLS analysis resulted in a number of significant paths with predicted signs as evident from Figure 2. Mimetic and coercive pressures had positive and highly significant relations to TMT belief and explained 37 per cent of the variance. Therefore, *H1* and *H2* were both supported. These results agree with Liang *et al.* (2007) who also hypothesized a relation between mimetic pressure and TMT belief. Although not hypothesized in this study, the medium correlation value between mimetic pressure and TMT participation as per Table III suggested that there might have been a significant path between the two constructs. Therefore, a separate PLS analysis was carried out to see whether this was the case and as put forward by Liang *et al.* (2007). Consistent with Liang *et al.* (2007), no significant path was found. The same was true for the relation between coercive pressure and TMT participation.


H3 was not supported as the path between normative pressure and TMT belief was not significant. Again, a direct relationship between normative pressure and TMT participation was plausible as per the results in Table III. However, no support was found for such a relationship.

H4 was not supported, as slack resources did not moderate institutional pressures and TMT belief. However, further PLS analysis revealed a small moderation effect of slack resources on the relation between TMT participation and cloud computing adoption intention, which is discussed later in the paper.

	Coer.	Mim.	Norm.	Belief.	Part.	Intent.	Slack
Coer.	(0.811)						
Mim.	0.578**	(0.911)					
Norm.	0.502**	0.447**	(0.807)				
Belief.	0.435**	0.520**	0.266*	(0.902)			
Part.	0.469**	0.519**	0.338**	0.734**	(0.949)		
Intent.	0.455**	0.601**	0.250	0.596**	0.637**	(0.935)	
Slack	0.009	-0.020	0.176	0.052	-0.003	-0.023	(0.852)

Notes: *,**Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level, respectively

Table III.
Inter-construct
correlations and
square root of AVE
in brackets



Notes: ns, not significant. **, *Significant at 0.01 and 0.05 level, respectively

Figure 2.
Path coefficients and R^2

The results confirmed *H5* and *H6*, as TMT participation mediated TMT belief and the intention to increase the level of cloud computing adoption. The path coefficients and the significance levels were both high. R^2 for TMT participation and cloud adoption were 55 and 42 per cent, respectively. The results confirmed previous studies that have focused on the mediating role of TMT support on various information system initiatives (Lefebvre *et al.*, 1997; Liang *et al.*, 2007; Lee *et al.*, 2014). It also confirmed the two-stage conceptualization of TMT support (Lee *et al.*, 2014; Liang *et al.*, 2007; Jarvenpaa and Ives, 1991; Chatterjee *et al.*, 2002).

6. Discussion and conclusions

6.1 Research synthesis

This study contributes to the cloud computing adoption literature by applying the institutional framework, a theory that received little attention in existing cloud computing studies. The paper focused on the mediating role of top management support of institutional pressures and the adoption intention of cloud computing solutions. On the whole, the model performed well and predicted a significant degree of variation in the various constructs. As hypothesized, there was evidence that mimetic pressures influenced TMT belief (*H1*). This was consistent with Liang *et al.* (2007) and reinforced the notion of organizational isomorphism. No doubt, the extensive media coverage of cloud computing adoption initiatives by reputable organizations locally and internationally serves to strengthen the effects of mimetic pressures (Hu *et al.*, 1997). For example, Quantas, the national carrier of Australia, the National Australia Bank and, Suncorp, a leading Australian insurance firm have all announced that they are moving some of their systems into the cloud (ZDnet, 2013; Australian, 2013; *itnews*, 2013). Such publicized examples may also drive the adoption strategy of organizations in terms of what vendor to choose and the types of applications to be outsourced by drawing upon the common competence (Swanson and Ramiller, 2004).

Also, coercive pressures were found to be associated with TMT belief (*H2*), indicating that competitive conditions and pressures from external stakeholders such as customers and regulators affect TMT's belief system. Thus, TMT is unlikely to promote new technologies if they do not believe in the benefits of cloud computing,

which explained the strong path ($\beta = 0.74$) between TMT belief and participation. This was somewhat in contrast to Liang *et al.* (2007) who reported a direct relation between coercive pressures and TMT participation, without the mediation of TMT belief. I did not hypothesize this relationship and further tests in PLS did not find support for the said relation. However, more studies are required to reaffirm the results of this study and those of Liang *et al.* (2007).

On the other hand, normative pressures were not associated with TMT belief (*H3*). This somewhat agreed with Liang *et al.* (2007), although they hypothesized a direct relation between normative pressures and TMT participation but which also lacked evidence. Similarly, additional analysis in PLS did not reveal the existence of a significant path between normative pressures and TMT participation. According to the literature, there is evidence that the more a certain IT is used by the supply network community, the larger normative pressures are faced by firms (Teo *et al.*, 2003). Thus, it may be that cloud computing was not pervasive enough within the surveyed firms' supply network to be considered a significant force.

There was no support for a moderation effect of slack IT resources on the relation between institutional pressures and TMT belief (*H4*). It may be that the existence of slack resources available to IT did not change TMT's belief of cloud computing benefits. Also the model in this study was significantly different from that of Ang and Cummings (1997) who did find some support for a moderation effect but who did not include TMT as a mediator, which might explain the lack of support. However, I did find a small ($\beta = 0.13$) but significant moderator effect of slack resources on the relation between TMT participation and cloud computing adoption intention. This might suggest that organizations may be more receptive to TMT promotions for new initiatives when firms face higher levels of financial constraints.

Consistent with earlier studies that focused on TMT support (Liang *et al.*, 2007; Jarvenpaa and Ives, 1991), I found evidence for a two-stage TMT support process in the cloud computing adoption context (*H5*). This suggests that TMT participation is predominantly driven by TMT belief, although there is the view that belief may not be a prerequisite for participation (Liang *et al.*, 2007).

Finally, as predicted in this study, TMT support explained the intention to increase the level of cloud computing adoption (*H6*), which agreed with studies on information system implementation and assimilation (Thong *et al.*, 1996; Nah *et al.*, 2001; Chatterjee *et al.*, 2002; Liang *et al.*, 2007). The results highlight the continuing importance of top management support in the adoption of new technologies. However, this does not mean that innovation or adoption of new technologies is always driven by a top-down approach. Local agents in decentralized structures may also mobilize efforts in a bottom-up process (Mintzberg, 1990). This may be especially significant in the context of cloud computing given the accessibility of such solutions and the extent of local experimentation (Mintzberg, 1990; Mintzberg *et al.*, 2005).

6.2 Contribution

The paper makes a contribution to the growing empirical literature on cloud computing adoption (e.g. Gupta *et al.*, 2013; Lee *et al.*, 2013; Alshamaila *et al.*, 2013) through the application of institutional theory and the two-stage TMT support process. Previous empirical studies on cloud computing neither adopted institutional theory nor focused on TMT support. This research therefore provides a new theoretical lens which can be used to interpret organizations' intention to adopt cloud computing services. This research might also be of value to practitioners: consistent with the innovation

literature, this study highlights and reinforces the role of TMT in promoting new IT initiatives. Given the influence of institutional forces and the plethora of solutions on the market, it is recommended that TMT exercise a high degree of caution when deciding for the type of applications to be outsourced as organizational requirements in terms of performance and security will differ.

6.3 Limitations and future research

As with every study, this study has a number of limitations, which at the same time leads to new avenues for future research. A limitation of the study relates to the sample size. Although adequate, it would be useful to confirm the results of the study in also other geographical areas such as Europe and the USA. Another limitation of the study was the focus on a top-down approach to technology adoption. Future studies could also incorporate the influences of local actors who might press for innovation. Also, I did not focus on any particular types of cloud computing solutions. Future research might benefit from looking at, for example, the outsourcing of enterprise resource planning services or other mission critical applications. For this purpose, a multiple case study approach with both, successful and unsuccessful cloud computing initiatives might be fruitful to determine the critical success factors in such endeavours. Furthermore, this study considered only one moderator, that is, the extent of slack resources. Future studies could incorporate other moderators that may be of interest such as technological uncertainty or functional complexity (Ang and Cummings, 1997). Finally, future research would benefit from additional qualitative studies that would provide a deeper understanding of the issues involved.

References

- Alshamaila, Y., Papagiannidis, S. and Li, F. (2013), "Cloud computing adoption by SMEs in the north east of England: a multi-perspective framework", *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, Vol. 26 No. 3, pp. 250-275.
- Ang, S. and Cummings, L.L. (1997), "Strategic response to institutional influences on information systems outsourcing", *Organization Science*, Vol. 8 No. 3, pp. 235-256.
- Armstrong, C.P. and Sambamurthy, V. (1999), "Information technology assimilation in firms: the influence of senior leadership and IT infrastructures", *Information Systems Research*, Vol. 10 No. 4, pp. 304-327.
- Australian, T. (2013), "NAB eyes big gains with cloud provider", *The Australian*, available at: www.theaustralian.com.au/business/technology/nab-eyes-big-gains-with-cloud-provider/story-e6frganx-1226730224064 (accessed 5 June 2015).
- Beaumont, N. and Costa, C. (2002), "Information technology outsourcing in Australia", *Information Resources Management Journal (IRMJ)*, Vol. 15 No. 3, pp. 14-31.
- Benlian, A. and Hess, T. (2011), "Opportunities and risks of software-as-a-service: findings from a survey of IT executives", *Decision Support Systems*, Vol. 52 No. 1, pp. 232-246.
- Chatterjee, D., Grewal, R. and Sambamurthy, V. (2002), "Shaping up for e-commerce: institutional enablers of the organizational assimilation of web technologies", *Mis Quarterly*, Vol. 26 No. 2, pp. 65-89.
- Chin, W.W. (1998), "The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling", in Marcoulides, G.A. (Ed.), *Modern Methods for Business Research*, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Mahwah, NJ, pp. 295-336.
- Chin, W.W. and Newsted, P.R. (1999), "Structural equation modeling analysis with small samples using partial least squares", *Statistical Strategies for Small Sample Research*, Vol. 1 No. 1, pp. 307-341.

- Chong, A.Y.-L., Ooi, K.-B., Lin, B. and Raman, M. (2009), "Factors affecting the adoption level of c-commerce: an empirical study", *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, Vol. 50 No. 2, pp. 13-22.
- Depietro, R., Wiarda, E. and Fleischer, M. (1990), "The context for change: organization, technology and environment", in Tornatzky, L.G. and Mitchell, F. (Eds), *The Processes of Technological Innovation*, Lexington Books, Lexington, MA, pp. 151-175.
- Diamantopoulos, A. and Winklhofer, H.M. (2001), "Index construction with formative indicators: an alternative to scale development", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 38 No. 2, pp. 269-277.
- Dimaggio, P.J. and Powell, W.W. (1983), "The iron cage revisited: institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields", *American Sociological Review*, Vol. 48, April, pp. 147-160.
- Eisenhardt, K. and Brown, S. (1992), *Organizational Economics Within the Conversation of Strategic Management: A Commentary*, JAI Press, Greenwich, CT.
- Elbashir, M.Z., Collier, P.A. and Sutton, S.G. (2011), "The role of organizational absorptive capacity in strategic use of business intelligence to support integrated management control systems", *The Accounting Review*, Vol. 86 No. 1, pp. 155-184.
- Fornell, C. and Larcker, D.F. (1981), "Structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error: algebra and statistics", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 18 No. 3, pp. 382-388.
- Foster, I., Zhao, Y., Raicu, I. and Lu, S. (2008), "Cloud computing and grid computing 360-degree compared", Grid Computing Environments Workshop, 2008, GCE'08. IEEE, Austin, TX, pp. 1-10.
- Gupta, P., Seetharaman, A. and Raj, J.R. (2013), "The usage and adoption of cloud computing by small and medium businesses", *International Journal of Information Management*, Vol. 33 No. 5, pp. 861-874.
- Hambrick, D.C. and Mason, P.A. (1984), "Upper echelons: the organization as a reflection of its top managers", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 9 No. 2, pp. 193-206.
- Hart, P.J. and Saunders, C.S. (1998), "Emerging electronic partnerships: antecedents and dimensions of EDI use from the supplier's perspective", *Journal of Management Information Systems*, Vol. 14 No. 4, pp. 87-111.
- Hesterly, W.S., Liebeskind, J. and Zenger, T.R. (1990), "Organizational economics: an impending revolution in organization theory?", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 15 No. 3, pp. 402-420.
- Hu, Q., Saunders, C. and Gebelt, M. (1997), "Research report: diffusion of information systems outsourcing: a reevaluation of influence sources", *Information Systems Research*, Vol. 8 No. 3, pp. 288-301.
- itnews (2013), "Suncorp in three-month cloud sprint", available at: www.itnews.com.au/News/364108,suncorp-in-three-month-cloud-sprint.aspx (accessed 6 August 2014).
- Jarvenpaa, S.L. and Ives, B. (1991), "Executive involvement and participation in the management of information technology", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 15 No. 2, pp. 205-227.
- King, J.L., Gurbaxani, V., Kraemer, K.L., Mcfarlan, F.W., Raman, K. and Yap, C.-S. (1994), "Institutional factors in information technology innovation", *Information Systems Research*, Vol. 5 No. 2, pp. 139-169.
- Lacity, M.C. and Willcocks, L.P. (1998), "An empirical investigation of information technology sourcing practices: lessons from experience", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 22 No. 3, pp. 363-408.
- Lacity, M.C., Willcocks, L.P. and Khan, S. (2011), "Beyond transaction cost economics: towards an endogenous theory of information technology outsourcing", *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, Vol. 20 No. 2, pp. 139-157.

- Lee, J., Elbashir, M.Z., Mahama, H. and Sutton, S.G. (2014), "Enablers of top management team support for integrated management control systems innovations", *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, Vol. 15 No. 1, pp. 1-25.
- Lee, S.-G., Chae, S.H. and Cho, K.M. (2013), "Drivers and inhibitors of SaaS adoption in Korea", *International Journal of Information Management*, Vol. 33 No. 1, pp. 429-440.
- Lefebvre, L.A., Mason, R. and Lefebvre, E. (1997), "The influence prism in SMEs: the power of CEOs' perceptions on technology policy and its organizational impacts", *Management Science*, Vol. 43 No. 6, pp. 856-878.
- Liang, H., Saraf, N., Hu, Q. and Xue, Y. (2007), "Assimilation of enterprise systems: the effect of institutional pressures and the mediating role of top management", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 31 No. 1, pp. 59-87.
- Loh, L. and Venkatraman, N. (1992), "Diffusion of information technology outsourcing: influence sources and the Kodak effect", *Information Systems Research*, Vol. 3 No. 4, pp. 334-358.
- Low, C., Chen, Y. and Wu, M. (2011), "Understanding the determinants of cloud computing adoption", *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, Vol. 111 No. 7, pp. 1006-1023.
- Lucas, H.C. (1981), *Implementation: The Key to Successful Information Systems*, Columbia University Press, New York, NY.
- Maidique, M.A. and Zirger, B.J. (1984), "A study of success and failure in product innovation: the case of the US electronics industry", *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, Vol. 31 No. 4, pp. 192-203.
- Marston, S., Li, Z., Bandyopadhyay, S., Zhang, J. and Ghalsasi, A. (2011), "Cloud computing – the business perspective", *Decision Support Systems*, Vol. 51 No. 1, pp. 176-189.
- Mintzberg, H. (1990), "The design school: reconsidering the basic premises of strategic management", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 11 No. 3, pp. 171-195.
- Mintzberg, H., Ahlstrand, B. and Lampel, J. (2005), *Strategy Safari: A Guided Tour Through The Wilds of Strategic Management*, Simon and Schuster, New York, NY.
- Miranda, S.M. and Kim, Y.-M. (2006), "Professional versus political contexts: institutional mitigation and the transaction cost heuristic in information systems outsourcing", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 30 No. 3, pp. 725-753.
- Mizruchi, M.S. and Fein, L.C. (1999), "The social construction of organizational knowledge: a study of the uses of coercive, mimetic, and normative isomorphism", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 44 No. 4, pp. 653-683.
- Nah, F.F.-H., Lau, J.L.-S. and Kuang, J. (2001), "Critical factors for successful implementation of enterprise systems", *Business Process Management Journal*, Vol. 7 No. 3, pp. 285-296.
- Oliver, C. (1991), "Strategic responses to institutional processes", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 16 No. 1, pp. 145-179.
- Orlikowski, W.J. and Barley, S.R. (2001), "Technology and institutions: what can research on information technology and research on organizations learn from each other?", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 25 No. 2, pp. 145-165.
- Pearson, S., Shen, Y. and Mowbray, M. (2009), "A privacy manager for cloud computing", *Cloud Computing*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg.
- Pfeffer, J. and Salancik, G.R. (2003), *The External Control of Organizations: A Resource Dependence Perspective*, Stanford University Press, CA.
- Podsakoff, P.M. and Organ, D.W. (1986), "Self-reports in organizational research: problems and prospects", *Journal of Management*, Vol. 12 No. 4, pp. 531-544.

- Ravichandran, T., Han, S. and Hasan, I. (2009), "Effects of institutional pressures on information technology investments: an empirical investigation", *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, Vol. 56 No. 4, pp. 677-691.
- Ross, P. and Blumenstein, M. (2013), "Cloud computing: the nexus of strategy and technology", *Journal of Business Strategy*, Vol. 34 No. 4, pp. 39-47.
- Srivastva, S. (1983), *The Executive Mind*, Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, CA.
- Swanson, E.B. and Ramiller, N.C. (1997), "The organizing vision in information systems innovation", *Organization Science*, Vol. 8 No. 5, pp. 458-474.
- Swanson, E.B. and Ramiller, N.C. (2004), "Innovating mindfully with information technology", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 28 No. 4, pp. 553-583.
- Teo, H.-H., Wei, K.K. and Benbasat, I. (2003), "Predicting intention to adopt interorganizational linkages: an institutional perspective", *Mis Quarterly*, Vol. 27 No. 1, pp. 19-49.
- Thong, J.Y., Yap, C.-S. and Raman, K. (1996), "Top management support, external expertise and information systems implementation in small businesses", *Information Systems Research*, Vol. 7 No. 2, pp. 248-267.
- Vitharana, P. and Dharwadkar, R. (2007), "Information systems outsourcing: linking transaction cost and institutional theories", *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 346-371.
- Walsh, J.P. (1988), "Selectivity and selective perception: an investigation of managers' belief structures and information processing", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 31 No. 4, pp. 873-896.
- Wang, Y.-M., Wang, Y.-S. and Yang, Y.-F. (2010), "Understanding the determinants of RFID adoption in the manufacturing industry", *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, Vol. 77 No. 5, pp. 803-815.
- Williamson, O. (1985), "The economic institutions of capitalism", The Free Press, New York, NY.
- Wu, W.-W. (2011), "Mining significant factors affecting the adoption of SaaS using the rough set approach", *Journal of Systems and Software*, Vol. 84 No. 3, pp. 435-441.
- Wu, Y., Cegielski, C.G., Hazen, B.T. and Hall, D.J. (2013), "Cloud computing in support of supply chain information system infrastructure: understanding when to go to the cloud", *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, Vol. 49 No. 3, pp. 25-41.
- Yigitbasioglu, O., Mackenzie, K. and Low, R. (2013), "Cloud computing: how does it differ from IT outsourcing and what are the implications for practice and research?", *The International Journal of Digital Accounting Research*, Vol. 13 No. 1, pp. 99-121.
- Youseff, L., Butrico, M. and DA Silva, D. (2008), "Toward a unified ontology of cloud computing", Gce: 2008 Grid Computing Environments Workshop, IEEE, Austin, TX, pp. 42-51.
- Zdnet (2013), "Qantas group checks into cloud for hotel bookings success", available at: www.zdnet.com/au/qantas-group-checks-into-cloud-for-hotel-bookings-success-7000014447/ (accessed 6/8 August 2014).

About the author

Dr Ogan M. Yigitbasioglu is a Lecturer at the Queensland University of Technology, School of Accountancy. His research focuses on the adoption and impact of business information systems, particularly within the areas of accounting and logistics. He has published several papers on the adoption of performance dashboards, inter-organizational information systems, and cloud computing in journals such as the *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems* and the *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*. Dr Ogan M. Yigitbasioglu can be contacted at: ogan.yigitbasioglu@qut.edu.au

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website:

www.emeraldgroupublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm

Or contact us for further details: permissions@emeraldinsight.com

This article has been cited by:

1. Umut Şener, Ebru Gökalp, P. Erhan Eren 639, 53. [[CrossRef](#)]