



Industrial Management & Data Systems

The bottom-up formation and maintenance of a Twitter community: Analysis of the #FreeJahar Twitter community Eugene Ch'ng

Article information:

To cite this document: Eugene Ch'ng , (2015),"The bottom-up formation and maintenance of a Twitter community", Industrial Management & Data Systems, Vol. 115 Iss 4 pp. 612 - 624 Permanent link to this document: http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-11-2014-0332

Downloaded on: 09 November 2016, At: 01:38 (PT) References: this document contains references to 25 other documents. To copy this document: permissions@emeraldinsight.com The fulltext of this document has been downloaded 414 times since 2015*

Users who downloaded this article also downloaded:

(2015),"Impact of organizational culture and computer self-efficacy on knowledge sharing", Industrial Management & amp; Data Systems, Vol. 115 Iss 4 pp. 590-611 http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/ IMDS-12-2014-0377

(2015),"Longitudinal analysis of online community dynamics", Industrial Management & amp; Data Systems, Vol. 115 Iss 4 pp. 661-677 http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-09-2014-0266

Access to this document was granted through an Emerald subscription provided by emerald-srm:563821 []

For Authors

If you would like to write for this, or any other Emerald publication, then please use our Emerald for Authors service information about how to choose which publication to write for and submission guidelines are available for all. Please visit www.emeraldinsight.com/authors for more information.

About Emerald www.emeraldinsight.com

Emerald is a global publisher linking research and practice to the benefit of society. The company manages a portfolio of more than 290 journals and over 2,350 books and book series volumes, as well as providing an extensive range of online products and additional customer resources and services.

Emerald is both COUNTER 4 and TRANSFER compliant. The organization is a partner of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and also works with Portico and the LOCKSS initiative for digital archive preservation.

*Related content and download information correct at time of download.

IMDS 115,4

612

Received 11 November 2014 Revised 16 January 2015 18 January 2015 Accepted 18 January 2015

The bottom-up formation and maintenance of a Twitter community

Analysis of the #FreeJahar Twitter community

Eugene Ch'ng

School of Computer Science, International Doctoral Innovation Centre, University of Nottingham Ningbo China, Ningbo, China

Abstract

Purpose – The purpose of this paper is to explore the formation, maintenance and disintegration of a fringe Twitter community in order to understand if offline community structure applies to online communities.

Design/methodology/approach – The research adopted Big Data methodological approaches in tracking user-generated contents over a series of months and mapped online Twitter interactions as a multimodal, longitudinal "social information landscape". Centrality measures were employed to gauge the importance of particular user nodes within the complete network and time-series analysis were used to track ego centralities in order to see if this particular online communities were maintained by specific egos.

Findings – The case study shows that communities with distinct boundaries and memberships can form and exist within Twitter's limited user content and sequential policies, which unlike other social media services, do not support formal groups, demonstrating the resilience of desperate online users when their ideology overcome social media limitations. Analysis in this paper using social networks approaches also reveals that communities are formed and maintained from the bottom-up.

Research limitations/implications – The research data is based on a particular data set which occurred within a specific time and space. However, due to the rapid, polarising group behaviour, growth, disintegration and decline of the online community, the data set presents a "laboratory" case from which many other online community can be compared with. It is highly possible that the case can be generalised to a broader range of communities and from which online community theories can be proved/disproved. **Practical implications** – The paper showed that particular group of egos with high activities, if removed, could entirely break the cohesiveness of the community. Conversely, strengthening such egos will reinforce the community strength. The questions mooted within the paper and the methodology outlined can potentially be applied in a variety of social science research areas. The contribution to the understanding of a complex social and political arena, as outlined in the paper, is a key example of such an application within an increasingly strategic research area – and this will surely be applied and developed further by the computer science and security community.

Originality/value – The majority of researches that cover these domains have not focused on communities that are multimodal and longitudinal. This is mainly due to the challenges associated with the collection and analysis of continuous data sets that have high volume and velocity. Such data sets are therefore unexploited with regards to cyber-community research.

Keywords Twitter, Online communities, Big data, Longitudinal network, Multimodal network, Social network analysis

Paper type Research paper

Industrial Management & Data Systems Vol. 115 No. 4, 2015 pp. 612-624 © Emerald Group Publishing Limited 0263-5577 DOI 10.1108/IMDS-11-2014-0332

The author acknowledges the financial support from the International Doctoral Innovation Centre, Ningbo Education Bureau, Ningbo Science and Technology Bureau, China's MoST and The University of Nottingham. The project is partially supported by NBSTB Project 2012B10055.



1. Introduction

Can communities form within Twitter? The social networking and multiplatform micro-blogging service that allows a limited tweet of 140 characters is generally associated with the spread of information. Users, however, are able to follow, or subscribe to the posts of other users, which create a network of followers and followees. It must not be argued that the followers-followees are a community as observations of users are mainly inactive with outbursts of retweets around certain viral news. It may be argued that the followers-followees phenomenon is at most a network with equal ties. We must, however, probe deeper in order to identify and isolate potential community behaviour within which the Twitter environment can provide. This is important partly due to the fact that many recent world events that tipped the balance of powers of governments originated from coordinated activities within Twitter, and partly due to the need to understand collective behaviours in cyber-communities. Twitter as a social media, however, is certainly a research environment (Golder and Macy, 2013) where we can explore our questions.

Twitter service interprets keywords prefixed by a hashtag "#" as topical, and users are preceded with a @ in the tweets. Unlike other social networks, the service does not allow formal group creations. The sequential presentation of tweets as viewed within Web browsers or on mobile devices is chronological. Can communities form under such a limited environment? If communities are able to form, in what way do they maintain and support their existence, especially when Twitter does not allow formal groups?

The interest of this research lies in the controversial online teen #FreeJahar movement calling for the freedom of the Boston Bombing suspect Dzhokhar Tsarnaev because teenage girls believe he is "too beautiful to be a terrorist" (Nelson, 2013). Concerns were raised that in on-line forums the younger Boston Bombing suspect appeared to attract a cult teen following expressing affection and concern for him (*Daily Mail*, 2013). Facebook, Tumblr tribute accounts were set up in support of the teen with the #FreeJahar Twitter tag, which were trending when these activities first appeared. Teen activities in Twitter do need to be monitored (Wiederhold, 2012) as observed below:

I can't be the only one who finds the suspected bomber to be sexy, can I? 19 April 2013.

i don't even care if jahar is a terrorist he's cute i don't want him to die. @******, 20 April 2013.

I'm not gonna lie, the second bombing suspect, Dzhokhar Tsarnaev, is hot. #sorrynotsorry @******, 20 April 2013.

Yes I like Justin Bieber and I like Jahar but that has nothing to do with why i support him. I know hes innocent, he is far too beautiful @******, 25 April 2013.

How many RT's for our boy jahar look at that beautiful face #freejahar pic.twitter.com/ K9xKFvv5HT @******, 4 May 2013.

Getting one of Jahar's tweets tattooed on me tomorrow. Guess you could say I'm a #FreeJahar supporter, @******, 7 May 2013.

The term community has two major uses. The first being "territorial" and "geographical", which refers to the notion of community-neighbourhood, town and city, whereas the latter refers to the relational aspect of a community (Gusfield, 1975). Whilst geography plays an important role in the formation of social ties within online communities (Takhteyev *et al.*, 2012), it is believed that online social networks such as Twitter can be highly relational. Such communities are concerned with the quality of character of human relationship (spiritual, professional, ideological, etc.) without

Formation and maintenance of a Twitter community reference to territories. It is noted early that modern society develops community around interests and skills more than around locality (Durkheim, 1964), the consistency of such a notion of community has been maintained in the Information Age. Community is better defined by the nature of relationships between individuals rather than geographical proximity (Preece and Maloney-Krichmar, 2005).

It was noted a decade ago that "the Internet has altered our sense of boundaries, participation, and identity" (Renninger and Shumar, 2002), and much ink has been filled on the topic of whether online communities are really communities (Bruckman, 2006). Twitter, unlike other services such as Usenet newsgroups, Internet Relay Chat, Facebook, SecondLife, etc., that allows a formal formation of communities (Wellman and Gulia, 1999) is different as it was originally created as a messaging service. It therefore may not be suitable to study Twitter using a similar approach in the literatures (see Preece and Maloney-Krichmar, 2005; Wellman and Gulia, 1999).

How then does a community look like in Twitter, if the definition of a community that we know can be formed at all? To answer this question, we must first look at communities familiar to us, i.e., those that have been formulated in the literatures. A community should exhibit a "Sense of Community" (McMillan and Chavis, 1986; McMillan, 1976) among its members, which McMillan and Chavis originally explored and defined:

- membership a feeling that one has invested part of oneself to become a member and therefore has a right to belong, this includes a boundary from which members are separated from out-groups;
- (2) influence a sense of mattering, of making a difference to a group and of the group mattering to its members (see Seo *et al.*, 2013 for a particular example of the need of a sense of belonging for teens);
- (3) reinforcement the feeling that members' needs will be met by the resources received through their membership in the group; and
- (4) shared emotional connection the commitment and belief that members have shared and will share history of common places, time together, and similar experiences.

It may be worth the effort to formulate concepts on the form in which a Twitter community would assume and thereby look for such an expression in the data. In this context, however, whilst it is helpful to take a nominalist approach in defining the concepts, particularly of community boundaries, in reality, the automated computational approach that is employed in the research gathers data sets within the realist strategy (Laumann *et al.*, 1989). Considering the volume of Twitter data that this research collects, it will be extremely tedious to attempt to find a boundary using qualitative approaches, thus, a computational, quantitative approach in social networks analysis is used. Comparisons thus can be made and hypotheses tested when data has been analysed. We may begin to see patterns of community as we explore the data set in the subsequent sections.

2. Methods

As opposed to the conventional method of mapping the follower-followee network, the approach defined here practically maps the actual evolution of instantaneous activities occurring within a timescale, over a series of days encompassing both large and small events. This is more useful as activities define the true interactions of active members.

614

A Big Data Twitter streaming software was used (Ch'ng, 2014) for mapping Twitter Formation and users (egos) and tweets as nodes, and edges representing links between egos and their tweets. Tweets are represented as nodes so that the flow of information is made obvious. Only tweets containing the keywords #Dzhokhar, #FreeJihad, #FreeJahar, #Tsarnaev are recorded. The reason for using only four keywords was that these were the keywords that were consistently used in the Tweets. In fact, #FreeJahar would have been sufficient as it appeared in all of the tweets. This resulted in 60 longitudinal data set, each containing five hours of continuous data from 17 May 3.00 p.m. to 31 May 12.05 p.m. (15 days). The data were recorded from 17 May onwards as news of the "movement" were not reported until then. An additional 30 days of data records the decline of the #FreeJahar activities.

The file sizes of the series are shown in Figure 1. Peaks and valleys are consistent with the time of activities during the hours spanning both days and nights, except when the keywords were trending.

The relative importance of nodes uses Betweenness, Closeness (Freeman, 1979; Newman, 2005; Sabidussi, 1966) and eigenvector (Bonacich, 1987) centralities measures. Betweenness is a measure of information brokerage between parties, Closeness measures the spread of information from a node to all other nodes, where lower Closeness has shorter distance to other nodes. High eigenvector demonstrates increased numbers of egos who were connected to important egos in the network, an indication of heightened activities.

3. Results

An analysis of the data set shows that news events were all related to the Boston Bombers with the topics: "triple murder", "FBI kills man", "Ibrahim Todashev" "Al Qaeda Mag praises Tsarnaev brothers", "Dzhokar Recovers", "Mother and Father", "Russians provides info about brothers". Does the #FreeJahar community exists within the graph? Visualising the mapped networks will reveal this information.

Figure 2 visualises 16 graphs, five hours each (ranked by file size from the smallest 5,708 to the largest 803, left to right, top to bottom). The graphs were reconfigured using Gephi's ForceAtlas algorithms so that connected nodes due to higher interactions, appear closer together (clusters in Figure 2). Each graph shows a different signature as they carry varying explosions of information when news went viral. Egos that have a larger degree distribution (larger nodes) are news channels and their correspondents (CNN, Guardian, BBC, NYT, etc.). Some networks have large populations of individual nodes (516 and 425), and the satellite clusters of dyads and triads surrounding 356 and 442.

When unconnected nodes are removed, a large single cluster of connected egos and tweets remained (Figures 3-5). A closer inspection of conversations showed the clusters as a community of #FreeJahar supporters. Communities in the graph have a unique expression as compared to circular retweet networks (black nodes), they are characterised by disorderliness and isolation in the overall network. The same community is observed in all the data set as a large cluster of connected nodes. Within the community are egos with associated membership symbols (see the next subsection for examples). These members persist across all data points in Figure 1.

3.1 The boundary and activities of a Twitter community

What defines the boundary and membership of this particular Twitter community? A sample of more prominent graphs was selected for analysis here (Figures 3-5).

maintenance of a Twitter community



Downloaded by TASHKENT UNIVERSITY OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES At 01:38 09 November 2016 (PT)



Note: The insets indicate the probability distribution of the degree centrality

An inspection of the egos indicates that a common symbol exists. They carry these #FreeJahar associated symbols in their Twitter names and profile pictures: "dzhokar", "jahar", "troy", "crossley" or "tsar", or mutations of the name ("Truy", "Crussley" "Croosley", etc.) and related verbs and nouns: "free", "innocent", "let_him_go", "family", etc. A closer inspection of the community showed that it has separate communities within the larger network. This reinforces the observation that online communities are also recursive, where one community may be split into more internal communities (Sales-Pardo et al., 2007). There are two larger communities within the network flanked by a smaller group. The larger community are #FreeJahar opposers with associated keywords such as "faithful", "usa", "bible", etc. The symbol of the opposing community is not as distinct as the #FreeJahar supporters and therefore require the reading of the tweets and the profiles. As expected, drawing a catchment area (coloured overlays) around the analysis of the communities shows distinct boundaries between the groups. The internal interaction alone is sufficient to form a "protective" boundary around the #FreeJahar group. The smallest group was identified as having conspiracy theory

oriented tweets, tweets which catalyses the #FreeJahar movement's activities for ideological gains. Here we discovered the boundary in terms of interactions between nodes and a common symbol.

Data set 759 (Figure 3) is a network with large activities and a very prominent community expression. The graph showed a large community cluster consisting of #FreeJahar supporters (no overlay), opposers (transparent green patch), and conspiracy theorists (transparent orange patch) supporting the #FreeJahar movement's activities. They are clearly separated into two opposing but cohesive communities. Opposer egos closest to the #FreeJahar boundary have more intense interactions with the group. Sentiments in the tweets range from statements, quotes and retweets of news and intense emotional arguments between the two groups. Most of the tweets from the opposing communities, which maintained the cohesiveness of the connection throughout the data set.

3.2 The bottom-up organisation of the community

Communities do not form and then disintegrate. Efforts are needed to maintain the boundary and reinforce membership bonds so that the community becomes stronger over time. The limited and sequential nature of the Twitter environment makes it difficult to maintain an active community boundary, there is a higher probability of disintegration unless members assume some form of leadership. Conversely, as the #FreeJahar group is formed from disparate actors with strong similar ideology, the community may be organised from the bottom-up where all members have equal importance.

Figure 6 compared the top ten egos in data set 759 who were highly active within both communities over fivehours of ego-centrality measures. Coloured lines and graphical symbols represent individual egos. The average score of all the egos is represented as a single solid black line accompanied with circle symbols. Each ego is affected by other ego centralities. The first graph (top) shows the growth of each Betweenness centrality over five hours at 30 minutes intervals. E and F initiated the communication between two communities in the first hour, with the latter being more active in discussions in the first three hours of activity. All ego Betweenness centralities



Notes: The majority of isolated dyads and triads (bottom right), the bottom image is a close-up of the #FreeJahar community consisting of supporters and opposers (transparent green patch). Opposer egos closest to the #FreeJahar group have more intense interactions with the supporters. The community maintain its boundary via interactions internally and with opposing group

Figure 3. A visual reconfiguration of data set 759 with distinct expression of retweets and community activities composed of conversations and retweets (black nodes)

618



Notes: Data set 442 is the second largest after 759, 803 is the third largest, and 5708 is the smallest data set. Egos with highest Betweenness centrality are larger, and reddest egos have the highest Closeness centrality. Isolated egos (dyads and triads) were removed prior to calculating the average clustering coefficient and path length. PL, path length; CC, clustering coefficient

grew proportionately after the third hour, #FreeJahar members were more active. There is consistency of Closeness centrality (middle graph) of all egos, indicating an equal distribution of social proximity with other members in the network.

The bottom graph measures the eigenvector centrality of the egos in the communities. Egos having higher eigenvectors are those that are connected to other Figure 4. Comparing similarities between data sets with the largest #FreeJahar activities



620

Figure 5.

Larger data sets in the final collection of the #FreeJahar community showing the diminishing activities of the group in relation to news events





highly active members. The #FreeJahar members appear to be more active in this case. The user U ended up being the most important ego in the community, but as observed, the eigenvector centrality fluctuates over time in a consistent trend. In summary, the community does not have a central ego showing that leaderships are distributed. It appears that all the members are equal and that the community is maintained from the bottom-up. Egos from the opposing community occupy this social space and their activities as an out-group act as external conflict, which indirectly maintains the boundary of the #FreeJahar group via arguments, criticisms, and ridicule. This reinforces the theory that positivity and success in the interactions create cohesion (Cook, 1969), external conflict increase internal cohesion (Stein, 1976).

The centrality measures of the cluster corresponds to Leavitt's observation (Leavitt, 1951), that "where high centrality, and hence independence are evenly distributed, there will be no leader, many errors, high activity, slow organisation, and high satisfaction".

The edges that play a central role in connecting the small-world network can be traced within two steps of egos with high Betweenness centrality within the social movement, the same agent with high Betweenness centrality makes the community cohesive. Removing these egos will invariably disrupt the entire community. Moody and White (2003) observed that "a group is structurally cohesive to the extent that multiple independent relational paths among all pairs of members hold it together". In this context, removing the egos that keep the cluster alive will disrupt the community. Twitter's removal of highly active members confirmed Moody and White's concept of "structural cohesion", defined as "the minimum number of actors who, if removed from a group, would disconnect the group". The removal of #FreeJahar members was due to the infringement of Twitter policies. As a result of the infringements, these accounts have since been suspended or deactivated. There was a Twitter post on the 30 July 2013 by one of the active members - "Aint nobody wanna #freejahar no more?" and 31 July – "Why is everyone deactivating their accounts? This battle is just beginning! #freejahar". This member's account has also been suspended. Figure 5 presents samples from the final decline of the #FreeJahar community.

4. Discussion

In this paper, a longitudinal Twitter data set associated with the #FreeJahar group calling for the freedom of the Boston Bombing suspect were explored. The data set consist of five hourly tweets over 45 days mapped as a network of activities present opportunities for discovering global behaviours from instantaneous contents produced by collective social actors as they interacted desperately at the local level within the confines of a digital display.

The tracking of Twitter activities apart from the follower-followee network reveals distinct spatial expressions between tweets, retweets and conversations. Using this approach, tweet nodes and edges constituting a conversational nature could be identified and isolated from characteristic retweets. The tracking of multimodal connections will give us a more accurate measure of information than a follower-followee network.

A number of questions were presented at the beginning, probing the possibility of communities forming and maintained within the limited Twitter environment. Data analysis shows that communities do form within Twitter, and as a consequence, raise specific issues on coordinated behaviour and information dissemination within the social media. Twitter community differs from offline community in many ways due to the limits of the Twitter environment, the most apparent is reinforcement, and the support needed amongst members. It is not clear if online Twitter community facilitates offline gatherings, or if Twitter social ties led to other online groups (FaceBook friendships, e-mail and phone exchanges, and etc.) as data could not be obtained. However, to this end, we are at least able to describe the nature of Twitter communities and how they are formed and maintained.

We have learned that Twitter communities are relational, formed via a common ideology and justified by validation of the ideology and the commonality of symbols. These worked together to segregate the in-groups from the out-groups. Members fulfil their needs via discussions and defended their cause against conflicts from another community, which creates internal cohesion. MacMillan and Chavis stated that, "people possess an inherent need to know that the things they see, feel, and understand are experienced in the same way by others". Such a group norm validates their experience. Influence therefore is unidirectional – members influence the group. The community is organised from the bottom-up, with equal distribution of leading roles and activities over time. The eventual decline of the #FreeJahar community was

622

IMDS

115.4

due to the suspension of important egos from Twitter, resulting in the destruction of Formation and the community structure.

The #FreeJahar event is an exemplar case study that could be generalised to much broader scopes for this sort of work as it demonstrates rapid, polarising grouping, behaviour, growth, disintegration and decline of an online community. The study shows that communities with distinct boundaries and memberships can form and exist within Twitter's limited user content and sequential policies, which unlike other social media services, does not support formal groups, demonstrating the resilience of desperate online users when their ideology overcome social media limitations.

Social networks can increase our range of human connectedness beyond the boundary of users' geographical location. Communications sent now may be retrieved and responded to, much later in time. This invariably opens up a broad range of opportunities as space and time, in the eye of a user are "compressed" to within a digital display. The fact that communities can form where services that facilitate group formation are not supported is an interesting phenomenon to look at. It will be beneficial to collate larger data set from ad-hoc communities within Twitter in the future, particularly where revolutions and socially mediated civil uprisings are concerned.

References

- Bonacich, P. (1987), "Power and centrality: a family of measures", American Journal of Sociology, Vol. 92, pp. 1170-1182.
- Bruckman, A. (2006), "Learning in online communities", The Cambridge Handbook of the Learning Sciences, pp. 461-472.
- Ch'ng, E. (2014), "The value of using big data technology in computational social science", *The 3rd ASE Big Data Science 2014*, Tsinghua University, *Beijing, 4-7 August*, Association for Science and Engineering, ACM, New York, NY, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2640087.2644162.
- Cook, S.W. (1969), "Motives in a conceptual analysis of attitude-related behavior", Nebraska Symposium on Motivation, Vol. 17, pp. 179-231.
- Daily Mail (2013) "Boston suspect becomes teen hearthrob: thousands of girls express their love for bomber in worrying online forums", Daily Mail Online, 12 May, available at: www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2323342/Dzhokhar-Tsarnaev-The-teen-girls-crush-Boston-bomber.html (accessed 12 May 2013).
- Durkheim, E. (1964), The Division of Labor in Society, Free Press of Glencoe, New York, NY.
- Freeman, L.C. (1979), "Centrality in social networks conceptual clarification", Social Networks, Vol. 1 No. 3, pp. 215-239.
- Golder, S.A. and Macy, M.W. (2013), "Social media as a research environment", *CyberPsychology*, *Behavior and Social Networking*, Vol. 16 No. 9, pp. 627-628.
- Gusfield, J.R. (1975), The Community: A Critical Response, Harper Colophon, New York, NY.
- Laumann, E.O., Marsden, P.V. and Prensky, D. (1989), "The boundary specification problem in network analysis", *Research Methods in Social Network Analysis*, Vol. 61, p. 87.
- Leavitt, H.J. (1951), "Some effects of certain communication patterns on group performance", *The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, Vol. 46 No. 1, p. 38.
- McMillan, D. (1976), Sense of Community: An Attempt at Definition, George Peabody College for Teachers, Nashville, Tennessee.
- McMillan, D.W. and Chavis, D.M. (1986), "Sense of community: a definition and theory", *Journal of Community Psychology*, Vol. 14 No. 1, pp. 6-23.
- Moody, J. and White, D.R. (2003), "Structural cohesion and embeddedness: a hierarchical concept of social groups", *American Sociological Review*, pp. 103-127.

of a Twitter community

IMDS 115,4	Nelson, S.C. (2013), "#FreeJahar: Boston Bombings suspect Dzhokhar Tsarnaev's teenage fans insist he's "too beautiful to be a terrorist", <i>Huffington Post</i> , 12 May, available at: www.huffingtonpost.co.uk/2013/05/12/freejahar-boston-bombings-suspect-dzhokhar- tsarnaevsteenage-fans-too-beautiful-terrorist_n_3262795.html (accessed 12 May 2013).
	Newman, M.E.J. (2005), "A measure of betweenness centrality based on random walks", Social Networks, Vol. 27 No. 1, pp. 39-54.
624	Preece, J. and Maloney-Krichmar, D. (2005), "Online communities: design, theory, and practice", Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, Vol. 10 No. 4.
	Renninger, K.A. and Shumar, W. (2002), Building Virtual Communities: Learning and Change in Cyberspace, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, MA and London.
	Sabidussi, G. (1966), "The centrality index of a graph", Psychometrika, Vol. 31 No. 4, pp. 581-603.
	Sales-Pardo, M., Guimera, R., Moreira, A.A. and Amaral, L.A.N. (2007), "Extracting the hierarchical organization of complex systems", <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of</i> <i>Sciences</i> , Vol. 104 No. 39, pp. 15224-15229.

- Seo, H., Houston, J.B., Knight, L.A.T., Kennedy, E.J. and Inglish, A.B. (2013), "Teens' social media use and collective action", New Media & Society, Vol. 16 No. 6, pp. 883-902.
- Stein, A.A. (1976), "Conflict and cohesion a review of the literature", Journal of Conflict Resolution. Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 143-172.
- Takhtevev, Y., Gruzd, A. and Wellman, B. (2012), "Geography of Twitter networks", Social Networks, Vol. 34 No. 1, pp. 73-81.
- Wellman, B. and Gulia, M. (1999), "Net surfers don't ride alone: virtual communities as communities", Networks in the Global Village, pp. 331-366.
- Wiederhold, B.K. (2012), "As parents invade facebook, teens tweet more", CyberPsychology, Behavior and Social Networking, Vol. 15 No. 8, pp. 385-385.

About the author

Dr Eugene Ch'ng is an Associate Professor in Computer Science and the Deputy Director for the International Doctoral Innovation Centre (IDIC) Digital Economy Strand at the University of Nottingham Ningbo China campus. He leads the Big Data and Visual Analytics Research Lab at Nottingham's China campus. Eugene holds a Visiting Professorship position at the Centre for Creative Content and Digital Innovation, University of Malaya. Dr Ch'ng has previously served as the Innovations Director at the IBM Visual and Spatial Technology Centre and the Digital Humanities Hub a £3.5m strategic investment bid at the University of Birmingham where he led research in the development and application of cutting-edge technology in digital heritage and culture. Eugene's research has an overarching theme in Complex Systems Science related to the reconstruction and modelling of terrestrial, social, political and virtual landscapes. These topics naturally involve the collection and generation of massive multimodal and longitudinal data sets and therefore the need for Big Data research, an area that he is currently researching. Eugene's particular interest is in the scalability of software-hardware architecture, data structures and relationality, data mining and real-time visualisation. On the modelling aspects of complex systems, Eugene's expertise is in advanced interactive systems, enhanced virtual environments, agent-based modelling and multi-agent systems that require large computing clusters for processing of agent-interaction and computer graphics. Dr Ch'ng is involved in editorial boards, technical and programme committees in international journals and conferences in his field. Dr Ch'ng is a Council Member for the Complex Systems Society. Dr Eugene Ch'ng can be contacted at: eugenecc@gmail.com

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website: www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm Or contact us for further details: permissions@emeraldinsight.com

1

This article has been cited by:

- 1. Gálvez-Rodríguez María del Mar María del Mar Gálvez-Rodríguez Dr María del Mar Gálvez-Rodríguez is a Lecturer of Management at the Economics and Business Faculty in the University of Almería (Spain). Her line of research is focussed on the online transparency and accountability in non-profit organizations. She has published in VOLUNTAS, Latin American Research Review, Public Relations Review, Information Development and Online Information Review. Caba-PérezCarmen Carmen Caba-Pérez Dr Carmen Caba-Pérez is an Assistant Professor (accredited to Full Professor) of Public Management Sector at the Economics and Business Faculty of Almeria and manager of the University of Almeria (Spain). Her research concerns the transparency of public; nonprofit organizations and venture capital sectors. She is the author of several articles published in journals such as The American Review of Public Administration International Review of Administrative Science, Online Information Review, Government Information Quarterly, VOLUNTAS, Latin American Research Review, Public Administration and Online Information Review. López-Godoy Manuel Manuel López-Godoy Dr Manuel López-Godoy is an Assistant Professor of Financial Market and Financial Management at the Economics and Business Faculty in the University of Almería (Spain). His line of research focusses on the Third Sector and cooperatives. He has published articles in VOLUNTAS, Latin American Research Review, Public Relations Review, Information development and Online Information Review. Department of Economics and Business, University of Almería, Almería, Spain . 2016. Drivers of Twitter as a strategic communication tool for non-profit organizations. Internet Research 26:5, 1052-1071. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- 2. Boying Li, Eugene Ch'ng, Alain Yee-Loong Chong, Haijun Bao. 2016. Predicting online emarketplace sales performances: A big data approach. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*. [CrossRef]
- 3. Alain Yee Loong Chong Nottingham University Business School China, University of Nottingham Ningbo China, Ningbo, China Boying Li Nottingham University Business School China, University of Nottingham Ningbo China, Ningbo, China Eric W.T. Ngai Management & Marketing Department, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China Eugene Ch'ng Big Data and Visual Analytics Lab, University of Nottingham Ningbo China, Ningbo, China Filbert Lee International Studies Department, University of Nottingham Ningbo China, Ningbo, China . 2016. Predicting online product sales via online reviews, sentiments, and promotion strategies. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management* 36:4, 358-383. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]
- 4. Dr Xiaojun Wang, Professor Leroy White and Professor Xu Chen Eugene Ch'ng School of Computer Science, University of Nottingham Ningbo China, Ningbo, China . 2015. Social information landscapes. *Industrial Management & Data Systems* 115:9, 1724-1751. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]