Published in IET Microwaves, Antennas & Propagation Received on 23rd November 2012 Revised on 11th October 2013 Accepted on 14th October 2013 doi: 10.1049/iet-map.2013.0041



# Coplanar waveguide-fed Koch-like sided Sierpinski hexagonal carpet multifractal monopole antenna

Daotie L[i,](mailto:) [Jun-Fa](mailto:) [Mao](mailto:)

Key Laboratory of Ministry of Education of China for Research and Design of Electromagnetic Compatibility of High Speed Electronic Systems, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, People's Republic of China E-mail: [maplebirchpaeony@aliyun.com.cn](mailto:)

Abstract: A Koch-like sided Sierpinski hexagonal carpet multifractal monopole antenna fed by coplanar waveguide with a Koch-like edged fractal ground is designed, fabricated and measured, which covers WLAN, WPAN, WiFi and WiMAX bands simultaneously. The multifractal monopole has ideal dipole-like gain patterns, which are omnidirectional in the H-plane  $(Phi = 0^{\degree}, XOZ)$  and doughnut-shaped in the E-plane  $(Phi = 90^{\degree}, YOZ)$  with considerable gain (2.0–5.11 dBi) and high efficiency (90–97%), of which  $f_1 = 2.5$  GHz ( $|S_{11}| = -11.6$  dB, WLAN + WiMAX),  $f_2 = 3.5$  GHz ( $|S_{11}| = -15.5$  dB, WiMAX),  $f_3 = 5.5$  GHz ( $|S_{11}| = -15.5$  dB; WLAN + WiMAX) are generally useful. The multifractal monopole is superior compared to other designs in bandwidth, directivity, efficiency, polarisation and dimension. It is a very attractive candidate for applications mentioned above and other fixed or mobile wireless multiband communication systems.

### 1 Introduction

The fractal antenna was invented by Nathan Cohen in 1995 [1, 2]. It is a new type of antenna technology, which utilises fractal geometry for antenna design [3]. The particular attributes of fractal antennas are described in [4, 5]. The major challenges for fractal antennas are development of novel fractus, arbitrary frequency ratio, ultra-wideband (UWB), radiation pattern enhancement and uniformity of impedance, directivity and polarisation in multiband or UWB.

Essentially, fractal or multifractal antennas are miniaturised and are multiband  $[6-10]$  due to self-similarity and space-filling of the fractal geometries. However, some fractal antennas are wideband or UWB [11–18]. Although a fractal is applied into an inherently narrowbanded geometry, such as applying a Koch/Koch-like curve or a Hilbert curve to a straight wire, the antenna is multiband. However, when they are applied into a UWB initiator, such as arbitrary polygons [19–22] with broadband feeding, the results will be quite different. Thus, there will be some critical geometric parameters that dictate whether or not the antenna is multiband or UWB. For such an initiator, the fractal antennas will mainly yield uniform impedances, size shrinkage, enhanced directivity, gain, efficiency and polarisation within the original UWB.

Multifractal antennas have been conceived for multiband with multiple frequency ratios from several monofractals with different scale ratios merged in a superior–inferior or main-minor way [23]. This reserves the component monofractals' merits and overcomes their drawbacks simultaneously. However, these multifractals have not widely applied for antenna design. Therefore, it is a promising topic in fractal antennas and deserves to be

investigated and developed in depth. The feasibility of multifractals for UWB antennas is discussed in this paper. The Koch-like curve [24] and Sierpinski carpet are combined to transform a regular hexagon in a main-minor way, forming the so-called Koch-like sided Sierpinski hexagonal carpet (KLSHC) multifractal. The KLSHC multifractal monopole fed by coplanar waveguide (CPW) with Koch-like edged fractal ground was designed and simulated with finite-element method (FEM)-based commercial electromagnetic solver Ansoft HFSS™ v.13. This has been fabricated with laser processing and measured in a 3D in-house anechoic chamber. Good<br>agreement is obtained between simulation and agreement is obtained between simulation and measurement. Unlike the KSSG multiband counterpart in [23], the KLSHC multifractal monopole is UWB, which seamlessly spans 2–6 GHz and completely covers the bands of WLAN, WPAN, WiFi and WiMAX. It also presents distinct multifractal properties in directivity, efficiency, polarisation and dimension. In particular, it shows consistency in radiation patterns within the whole band, which are dipole-like omnidirectional in the  $H$ -plane ( $Phi =$  $0^{\circ}$ , XOZ) and doughnut-shaped in the E-plane (Phi = 90°, YOZ). The advantages referred to above make it an attractive candidate for the aforementioned applications and other fixed or mobile wireless multiband or UWB communication systems.

### 2 Koch-like sided Sierpinski hexagonal carpet (KLSHC)

According to [23], a multifractal usually consists of several monofractals, and the properties of a multifractal antenna are tightly correlated with combinative way of its



**Fig. 1** K<sub>i</sub>S<sub>i</sub> KLSHC (a<sub>h0</sub> = 14.4 mm, a<sub>h1</sub> = 4.8 mm, a<sub>h2</sub> = 1.6 mm, a<sub>h3</sub> = 0.53 mm, and a<sub>h4</sub> = 0.18 mm) a  $K_0S_0$ ,  $a_{h0} = 14.4$  mm  $b K_5S_4$ ,  $a_{h4} = 0.18$  mm

monofractals. Sierpinski carpet and Koch-like curve [24] are merged in superior–inferior way. A regular hexagon is fractalised with a  $K_i$ -iterated  $(i = 1, 2, ..., n)$  Koch-like curve on all the sides. Then, a  $S_i$ -iterated ( $i=0, 1, ...$ ,  $(i-1)$  Sierpinski carpet with  $K_k$ -iterated  $(k = j, \ldots, 2, 1)$ Koch-like sides is hollowed out from the Koch-like fractalised hexagon, yielding a  $K_iS_j$  KLSHC multifractal, called the  $K_iS_j$  ( $K_i$ -Koch-like,  $S_j$ -Sierpinski carpet) KLSHC for convenience, as shown in Fig. 1. The KLSHC multifractal is fully parameterised and modelled with an Ansoft HFSS™ v.13. The parameters' symbols and meanings are as follows:  $\theta_k$ ,  $\theta_s$  are the internal angles of the initial regular hexagon and the hollowed Sierpinski carpet hexagons, respectively;  $\varphi_k$ ,  $\varphi_s$  are base angles of each iterative isosceles triangle of the external hexagon Koch-like curve and internal Sierpinski carpet Koch-like curve, separately;  $a_{hj}$  is rectilinear side length of each iterated hexagonal Sierpinski carpet. Correspondingly,  $\sigma_{hi}$  is the ratio of  $a_{hj}$  to  $a_{h(j-1)}$  ( $j=0, 1, ..., i-1$ ) and also the size scale of the hollowed hexagons of  $S_j$ -iterated Sierpinski carpet. Intuitively, it depends upon  $\rho_{Si}$  also to how much the KLSHC multifractal behaves like the main monofractal (Koch-like sided hexagon) or resembles the minor one (Sierpinski hexagonal carpet). All the symbols are illustrated in Fig. 1. Here,  $\theta_k = \theta_s = 120^\circ$ ,  $\varphi_k = \varphi_s = 45^\circ$ ,  $a_{h0} = 14.4$  mm,  $a_{h1} = 4.8$  mm,  $a_{h2} = 1.60$  mm,  $a_{h3} = 0.53$  mm and  $a_{h4} = 0.18$  mm are chosen for convenience. The K<sub>i</sub>S<sub>i</sub> KLSHC is an alterable multifractal, which possesses great geometric flexibility and performance adjustability.

### $3$  K<sub>5</sub>S<sub>4</sub> KLSHC multifractal monopole antenna

#### 3.1 Physical design of the multifractal monopole

 $K_5S_4$  KLSHC is chosen as a practical antenna solution for its remarkable multifractal impedance property, significant size reduction, enhanced radiation patterns and geometrical simpleness. We obtained for the multifractal monopole a set of optimum parameters from the optimisation utilities

IET Microw. Antennas Propag., 2014, Vol. 8, Iss. 5, pp. 358–366 doi: 10.1049/iet-map.2013.0041



Fig. 2 Geometry of CPW-fed  $K_5S_4$  KLSHC multifractal monopole (dash-bevelled ground; unit: mm)

 $a$  Top view

b Side view

genetic algorithm (GA) [25] and parametric sweep of Ansoft HFSS<sup>TM</sup> v.13 Optimetrics as follows:  $\theta_k = \theta_s = 120^\circ$ ,  $\varphi_k = \varphi_s$  $= 45^{\circ}$ ;  $a_{h0} = 14.4$  mm,  $a_{h1} = 5.68$  mm,  $a_{h2} = 1.60$  mm,  $a_{h3} =$ 0.53 mm and  $a_{h4} = 0.18$  mm. A value of  $a_{h0} = 14.4$  mm is chosen so that the overall dimension of the radiator satisfies the requirement of  $0.25\lambda$  corresponding to the lower cutoff frequency. The multifractal monopole is fed by CPW with a  $K_2$ -iterated Koch-like edged ground, etched on a FR4 dielectric substrate with dimensions of 48 mm  $(45 \text{ mm}) \times$ 40 mm  $(40 \text{ mm}) \times 1.0 \text{ mm}$   $(L \times W \times T$ , with 35 µm copper cladding),  $\varepsilon_r = 4.4 \pm 0.1$ , and tan $\delta = 0.02$ , as shown in Fig. 2. The centre end is connected into the hexagonal multifractal radiator while the edge end is attached to a 50 Ω SMA connector. The middle trace of the CPW has width  $w_c = 3.25$  mm, length  $l_u = 16$  mm, a gap separated  $g_c = 0.55$ mm away from the lateral ground, and a tapered transition segment with lateral side length  $l_s = 1.165$  mm and pyramidal angle  $\beta$  = 54.5°. Unlike conventional rectangles, the CPW ground is transformed into a trapezium with three  $K_2$ -iterated Koch-like edges: the top edge, bottom edge and bevel edge. The width of top edge is  $w_u = 8.9125$  mm, the rectilinear side length of the middle isosceles triangular notch on the bottom edge is  $w_b = 5.94$  mm, the bottom bevel base angle is  $\alpha = 60.9^{\circ}$ , the base side angle of the isosceles triangular notch and its bulges of the K<sub>2</sub>-iterated Koch-like curve is  $\theta = 60^{\circ}$ ,  $\varphi = 45^{\circ}$ , separately. The prototype of the multifractal monopole is fabricated by photolight process with a photolaser, which emits laser beam with facular diameter of 25 μm, as shown in Fig. 3.

To reveal the proposed multifractal antenna's superiority, we chose its component fractals  $K_5S_0$  (Koch-like sided hexagon) and  $K_0S_4$  (Sierpinski hexagonal carpet) as its comparative counterparts because the two fractal monopoles have the greatest geometrical discrepancy and most conspicuous electrical property differences with it. We modelled these monofractal monopoles identically and



Fig. 3 Prototype of CPW-fed  $K_5S_4$  KLSHC multifractal monopole (unit: mm)

simulated them with the same software analysis setups. The simulated and measured results of the  $K_iS_i$  KLSHC monopoles are merged into corresponding plots for discrepancy comparison to avoidance redundancy, as shown in Figs. 4–6.

As shown in Fig. 4a, the simulated input impedance  $Z_{\text{in}}$  of the  $K_iS_i$  KLSHC monopoles show a similar variation over the band 1.5–6.5 GHz, within which  $R_{\text{in}}$  fluctuates around 50 Ω and  $X_{\text{in}}$  undulates near 0  $\Omega$  (bold solid –  $R_{\text{in}}$ , thin dash –  $X_{\text{in}}$ ). Accordingly, the reflection coefficients  $|S_{11}|$  are also similar, as shown in Fig.  $4b$ . The fact indicates that these fractal monopoles have a little influence on the wideband property of the hexagon initiator. Apart from impedance uniformity and size reduction, the major role of fractals or multifractals is radiation improvement, which will be discussed in the next section.

Fig. 4b shows that the  $K_5S_4$  KLSHC monopole completely covers band of 2–6 GHz ( $|S_{11}| \le -10$  dB). The details of the ultra-band are listed in Table 1.

We measured the  $|S_{11}|$  with an Agilent PNA E8361C vector network analyser within the same band, also as shown in Fig. 4b (black solid). Comparably, the measured (black solid) and simulated (red solid) results of the reflection coefficient  $|S_{11}|$  of the  $K_5S_4$  KLSHC monopole agree reasonably well with each other even though the former



**Fig. 4** Input impedance  $Z_{in}(f)$  and reflection coefficient  $S_{11}(f)$  of the  $K_iS_j$  KLSHC monopoles (solid –  $R_{in}$ , dash –  $X_{in}$ ; medium grey – simulated  $K_5S_4$ , black – measured  $K_5S_4$ , light grey – simulated  $K_5S_0$ , dark grey – simulated  $K_0S_4$ )

a Input impedance  $Z_{\text{in}}(f)$  (solid – real, dash – imaginary) b Reflection coefficient  $S_{11}(f)$  (colour solid – simulated, black dash – measured)

shows lower values and slight upper shifting. This could be mainly imputed to ohmic loss of CPW and copper cladding at high frequency, substrate dielectric permittivity  $\varepsilon_r$  falloff, fabrication tolerance and the inherent error of the measurement systems. Then, we measured the radiation patterns of  $f_1 = 2.5$  GHz,  $f_2 = 3.5$  GHz and  $f_3 = 5.5$  GHz in a commercial 3D anechoic chamber and displayed the results in Figs. 5 and 6. In these patterns, red, black represent simulated and measured, dash and solid denote  $XOZ$  ( $Phi =$ 0°), YOZ ( $Phi = 90$ °) cut-plane, respectively, bare line and marked line represent co-polarisation (Co-pol) component and cross-polarisation (X-pol) component, separately.

Fig. 5 shows that the  $K_5S_4$  KLSHC monopole has ideal dipole-like radiation patterns, which are omni-directional in the H-plane ( $Phi = 0^{\circ}$ , XOZ) and doughnut-shaped in the E-plane ( $Phi = 90^\circ$ , YOZ) with considerable gain (2–5.11) dBi) within the UWB. This property makes it a very

attractive candidate for applications, such as WLAN, WiFi, WiMAX and other wireless mobile communications. In contrast, many planar UWB monopoles, like [26–28], only have an ideal dipole-like pattern within a narrow band even though they have a larger impedance bandwidth. As Fig. 6 shows,  $K_5S_4$  (red),  $K_5S_0$  (green) and  $K_0S_4$  (blue) present alike frequency property of gain and radiation efficiency, but  $K_5S_4$  has the best performance due to its more exquisite geometry. The measured patterns, gain and efficiency largely agree with the simulated results but present smaller values due to losses from copper cladding, substrate and measurement devices.

In conclusion, the  $K_5S_4$  KLSHC monopole shows remarkable superiority over monofractal counterparts in gain and efficiency with the existence of the  $K_2$ -iterated Koch-like edged ground, which will be discussed in the following section.



Fig. 5 Gain patterns of the K<sub>5</sub>S<sub>4</sub> KLSHC monopole at  $f_i$  (medium grey – simulated, black – measured; dash – Phi = 0°-XOZ, solid – Phi = 90°-YOZ; bare line – Co-Pol, marked line – X-Pol)

 $a f_1 = 2.5$  GHz  $b f_2 = 3.5$  GHz  $c f_3 = 5.5$  GHz



Fig. 6 Gain and radiation efficiency of the  $K_iS_i$  KLSHC monopole with Koch-like edged ground (medium grey – simulated  $K_5S_4$ , black – measured  $K_5S_4$ , light grey – simulated  $K_5S_0$ , dark grey – simulated  $K_0S_4$ )  $a$  Gain against frequency  $f$ 

 $b$  Radiation efficiency against frequency  $f$ 

#### 3.2 Superiority of Koch-like edged ground over the rectilinear counterpart

The ground is virtually crucial for CPW-fed monopoles [28, 29]. A conventional rectangular CPW ground often leads to gain pattern inclination towards  $+ \overline{Y}$  direction at high frequency [26, 30, 31]. This phenomenon renders many UWB monopoles as impractical, which cannot maintain consistent doughnut-shaped gain patterns within the whole impedance bandwidth. Therefore, transformation of the ground is important and significant. Here, a Koch-like fractal is applied to the radiator and CPW ground simultaneously. For substantiating the effect of a  $K<sub>2</sub>$ -iterated Koch-like edged ground on the proposed  $K_5S_4$  KLSHC multifractal monopole, a rectilinear bevelled ground, as shown in Fig. 2, is also chosen for comparison [32, 33]. In

**Table 1** Simulated  $|S_{11}|$  of the  $K_5S_4$  KLSHC monopole

Items	t;		
	$f_1 = 2.5$ GHz	$f_2 = 3.5$ GHz	$f_3 = 5.5$ GHz
$ S_{11} $ , dB covered useful bands, GHz related services	$-11.6$ 2.305-2.32, 2.345- $2.36, 2.4 - 2.4835.$ $2.5 - 2.69, 2.7 - 2.9$ <b>WLAN, WIMAX</b>	$-15.5$ $3.3 - 3.4$ , $3.4 -$ $3.6, 3.6 - 3.8$ WiMAX	$-15.5$ $5.15 - 5.35$ 5.725-5.85 WLAN. WiMAX



**Fig. 7** Reflection coefficient  $|S_{11}|$  of the  $K_iS_j$  KLSHC monopoles with Koch-like edged and rectilinear bevelled ground (grey –  $K_5S_4$ , black –  $K_0S_0$ ; bare line – Koch-like edged, marked line – rectilinear bevelled)

addition, the  $K_0S_0$  monopoles with Koch-like edged ground and bevelled ground are also considered for further contrast, as depicted in Figs. 7–10.

As shown in Fig. 7, the  $K_5S_4$  KLSHC monopole with a fractal ground has a wider bandwidth, better  $|S_{11}|$  and lower resonant frequency than the bevelled ground case. Thus, it can be seen that the multifractal case can yield wider



**Fig. 8** Gain and radiation efficiency of the  $K_iS_i$  KLSHC monopoles with Koch-like edged and rectilinear bevelled ground (grey  $-K_5S_4$ , black –  $K_0S_0$ ; bare line – Koch-like edged, marked line – rectilinear bevelled)

a Gain against frequency f

 $b$  Radiation efficiency against frequency  $f$ 

bandwidth and more size shrinkage [23]. However, the  $K_0S_0$ monopole with a fractal ground has a narrower bandwidth but better  $|S_{11}|$  than the bevelled ground case. The most significant effect of the Koch-like edged ground is directivity, gain and efficiency improvement at high frequency, as shown in Figs. 8 and 9. The  $K_5S_4$  KLSHC and  $K_0S_0$  KLSHC monopoles with the fractal ground both present significantly higher gains than with the bevelled ground in upper band. Especially at  $f = 6.1$  GHz, the  $K_5S_4$ and  $K_0S_0$  with fractal ground show 3.03 dBi and 2.12 dBi gain enhancement compared to bevelled ground, respectively. In addition,  $K_5S_4$  and  $K_0S_0$  with fractal ground show higher efficiency than the bevelled grounded cases in upper band. As shown in Fig. 6a, the gain curves in Fig. 8a, all fall into the valley at  $f = 5.2$  GHz. This suggests that the dimple is correlated with the overall geometrical configuration, such as the hexagonal radiator and bevelled ground. The current distribution on the fractal ground mainly distributes along the top side of the trapezium, whose length is  $w_a \simeq 0.5 \cdot \lambda_g$  ( $\lambda_g$ -guided wavelength of  $f =$ 5.2 GHz on FR4 substrate). This phenomenon directly leads to gain pattern inclination towards  $+$  Y-axis, which is like a vertical monopole above a finite ground. If the ground size

is electrically small, the gain pattern will not point to the horizon but shift towards the elevation. Hence, the horizontal gain degrades due to no longer being the maximum orientation of the radiation pattern.

Gain patterns of the fractal cases also present conspicuous enhancement, such as better omni-directivity in the H-plane at high frequency, as shown in Fig. 9c. In addition to increasing gain, efficiency and directivity, the  $K_5S_4$  KLSHC monopole with the fractal ground also has lowest cross-polarisation among these counterparts, as depicted in Fig. 9. The most conspicuous advantage of  $K_5S_4$  KLSHC monopole with Koch-like edged ground over  $K_0S_0$  monopole with bevelled ground can be seen from the 3D gain patterns of  $f = 6.1$ GHz, as shown in Fig. 10. The radiation pattern of the former is an ideal dipole-like with 5.11 dBi gain whereas the latter's pattern tilts towards the null direction  $(+Y)$  axis) with only 2.48 dBi gain.

We can draw some conclusions from the simulated results above as follows: (i) The Koch-like edged ground plays a major role in the monopole's directivity and gain enhancement irrespective of whether the radiator is multifractal or Euclidean; (ii) The monopole can become more effectively radiative when the radiator is also



Fig. 9 Gain patterns of the K<sub>i</sub>S<sub>i</sub> KLSHC monopoles with Koch-like edged and rectilinear bevelled ground (grey  $-K_5S_4$ , black  $-K_0S_0$ ; solid – Koch-like edged, dash – rectilinear bevelled; circle marked – H-plane, box marked – E-plane; filled – Co-pol, unfilled – X-pol)  $a f_1 = 2.1 \text{ GHz}$  $b f_2 = 4.1 \text{ GHz}$  $cf_3 = 6.1 \text{ GHz}$ 



**Fig. 10** Gain pattern of the  $K_iS_i$  KLSHC monopoles at  $f = 6.1$  GHz a  $K_5S_4$  with fractal ground  $(G = 5.11$  dBi) b  $K_0S_0$  with bevelled ground  $(G = 2.48 \text{ dBi})$ 

fractalised; (iii) The  $K_5S_4$  KLSHC monopole with Koch-like edged ground presents comprehensive and conspicuous advantages over its Euclidean counterpart  $K_0S_0$  with conventional bevelled ground. Also, we can conclude that a Euclidean-shaped antenna can be enhanced in performance and downsized by means of transforming its geometry with multifractal, which is formed by combining several monofractals together in a specific way. The multifractal has more exquisite locals than the Euclidean initiator and its monofractals, so it yields optimum current distribution somewhat. The properties of a multifractal antenna are dominated by the major component monofractal and the combination way. The radiation properties of  $K_5S_4$ multifractal monopole can be deduced from the distribution of surface current  $J_s$ , as illustrated in Figs.  $11a-c$ .

As Fig. 11 shows the surface current  $J_s$  of  $K_5S_4$  with fractal ground distributes not only on external and internal laterals of the KLSHC radiator, but also on the Koch-like fractal edges of the bevelled ground of CPW, especially at high frequency. The fractal edges on the ground also act as an effective radiator, so gain and efficiency enhance remarkably. In contrast,  $K_0S_0$  with bevelled ground has current distribution only on external laterals of the hexagonal radiator and CPW ground at  $f =$ 6.1 GHz. It behaves like a weak radiator, so gain and efficiency is lower. The illustrations indicate that the multifractal geometries can effectively optimise current distribution. This comparison corroborates the great significance of radiator and ground transformation on performance enhancement for CPW-fed UWB monopole in upper band.

### 4 Conclusion

The Sierpinski carpet and Koch-like curve are combined in a superior–inferior way to transform a regular hexagon into a fire-new KLSHC multifractal. A  $K_5S_4$  KLSHC multifractal monopole fed by CPW with  $K_2$ -iterated Koch-like edged ground, etched on a FR4 dielectric substrate with dimensions of 48 mm  $(45 \text{ mm}) \times 40 \text{ mm} (40 \text{ mm}) \times 1.0 \text{ mm}$  $(L \times W \times T$ , with 35 µm copper cladding),  $\varepsilon_r = 4.4 \pm 0.15$ , and  $tan\delta = 0.02$ , is designed, simulated, fabricated and measured. A UWB ( $|S_{11}| \le -10$  dB) ranging from  $f_L = 2$ GHz to  $f_H = 6$  GHz, with 100% percentage bandwidth, which covers WLAN, WPAN, WiFi and WiMAX bands simultaneously is obtained. The broadband characteristics indicate that major functions of the multifractal based on the hexagon UWB initiator are radiation property enhancement and size shrinkage rather than multiband operations [23].

Almost within the whole band, the multifractal monopole has ideal dipole-like gain patterns which are omnidirectional in the H-plane ( $Phi = 0^\circ$ , XOZ) and doughnut-shaped in the E-plane ( $Phi = 90^\circ$ , YOZ) with considerable gain  $(2 - 5.11)$ dBi) and high efficiency (90–97%), of which  $f_1 = 2.5$  GHz  $(|S_{11}| = -11.6$  dB, HLAN + WLAN + WiMAX),  $f_2 = 3.5$  GHz  $(|S_{11}| = -15.5$  dB, WiMAX,),  $f_3 = 5.5$  GHz  $(|S_{11}| = -15.5$  dB; WLAN + WiMAX) are commonly useful. In contrast, the monopoles reported in [22, 27, 28, 34, 35] have gains less than  $4$  dBi. Also, the monopoles proposed in  $[13-17, 19, 19]$ 21, 26–31] have ideal dipole-like pattern bandwidth less than 4 GHz. The results from measurement and experiment corroborate the validity of the design with an Ansoft



**Fig. 11** Surface current distribution  $J_s$  of the  $K_5S_4$  and  $K_0S_0$  KLSHC monopoles at  $f_i$ 

a  $f_1 = 2.1$  GHz of  $K_5S_4$  $b f_2 = 4.1$  GHz of  $K_5S_4$  $c f_3 = 6.1$  GHz of  $K_5S_4$  $d f_3 = 6.1$  GHz of  $K_0S_0$ 

HFSS™ v.13 as well as the multifractal antenna's superiority and advantages over the Euclidian counterpart in bandwidth broadening, gain enhancement, dimension shrinkage, polarisation amelioration and efficiency improvement.

### 5 Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to Qinfang Li for radiation patterns measurement and to the National Basic Research Program of China under grant no. 2009CB320202 for financial support. In addition, the authors appreciate Professor Iezekiel's big efforts in revision of the paper.

### 6 References

- 1 Cohen, N.: 'Fractal antennas: Part 1', Commun. Quart., 1995, 1, pp. 7–22<br>2 Cohen, N.: 'Fractal antenna applications in wireless telecommunications'. 2 Cohen, N.: 'Fractal antenna applications in wireless telecommunications',
- IEEE Electron. Ind. Forum New England Boston, 1997, 1, pp. 43–49
- 3 Werner, D.H., Haup, R.L., Werner, P.L.: 'Fractal antenna engineering: the theory and design of fractal antenna arrays', IEEE Antennas Propag. Mag., 1999, 41, (5), pp. 37–58
- 4 Anguera, J., Puente, C., Borja, C., Soler, J.: 'Fractal-shaped antennas: a review', Wiley Encycl. RF Microw. Eng., 2005, 2, pp. 1620–1635
- 5 Ying, L., Shuxi, G., Demin, F.: 'The advances in development of fractal antennas', Chin. J. Radio Sci., 2002, 17, (1)

IET Microw. Antennas Propag., 2014, Vol. 8, Iss. 5, pp. 358–366 doi: 10.1049/iet-map.2013.0041

- 6 Kaur, J., Singh, S., Kansal, A.: 'Multiband behavior of Sierpinski fractal antenna', Res. J. Inf. Technol., 2011, 3, (1), pp. 35–43
- Sinha, S.N., Jain, M.: 'A self-affine fractal multiband antenna', IEEE Antennas Wirel. Propag. Lett., 2007, 6, pp. 110–112
- 8 Puente, C., Romeu, J., Pous, R., Cardama, A.: 'On the behavior of the Sierpinski multiband fractal antenna', IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag., 1998, 46, pp. 517–524
- 9 Hwang, K.C.: 'A modified Sierpinski fractal antenna for multiband application', IEEE Antennas Wirel. Propag. Lett., 2007, 6, pp. 357–360
- 10 Manimegalai, B., Raju, S., Abhaikumar, V.: 'A multifractal Cantor antenna for multiband wireless applications', IEEE Antennas Wirel. Propag. Lett., 2009, 8, pp. 359–362
- 11 Zhang, F.S., Zhao, Z.N., Ma, L.T., Li, X.N.: 'A CPW-fed wideband Koch snowflake fractal monopole for WLAN/WiMAX applications', Progr. Electromagn. Res. C, 2012, 28, pp. 143-153
- 12 Jamshidifar, M., Nourinia, J., Ghobadi, Ch., Arazm, F.: 'Wideband fractal butterfly patch antenna', Iranian J. Electr. Comput. Eng., 2008, 7, (2), pp. 134–136
- 13 Choukike, Y.K., Behera, S.K.: 'Design of wideband fractal antenna with combination of fractal geometries'. Eighth Int. Conf. on Information, Communications and Signal Processing (ICICS), December 2011, vol. 1, pp. 1–3
- 14 Lee, Y.C., Sun, J.S., Lin, S.C.: 'Wideband fractal printed monopole antennas', Microw. Opt. Technol. Lett., 2007, 49, (6), pp. 1267–1272
- 15 Jahromi, M.N.: 'Novel wideband planar fractal monopole antenna', IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. Commun., 2008, 56, (12), pp. 3844–3849
- 16 Ghatak, R., Ghoshal, S.K., Mondal, D., Bhattacharjee, A.K.: 'A dual wideband Sierpinski carpet fractal-shaped planar monopole antenna with CPW feed', Int. J. Microw. Wirel. Technol., 2011, 3, (1), pp. 77–79

#### 365 & The Institution of Engineering and Technology 2014

- 17 Zhang, H., Xu, H.Y., Tian, B., Zeng, X.F.: 'CPW-fed fractal slot antenna for UWB application', Int. J. Antennas Propag., 2012, 2012, pp. 1001–1004
- 18 Azari, A.: 'A new ultra-wideband fractal antenna'. URSI Int. Symp. on Electromagnetic Theory, 2010, vol. 1, pp. 424–427
- 19 Liu, W.C., Kao, P.C.: 'CPW-fed triangular monopole antenna for ultra-wideband operation', Microw. Opt. Technol. Lett., 2005, 47, (6), pp. 580–582
- 20 Liu, W.C., Wu, C.M., Chung, S.H., Jaw, J.L.: 'Notched CPW-fed pentagonal monopole antenna for dual wideband operation', Microw. Opt. Technol. Lett., 2008, 50, (12), pp. 3104–3108
- Kumar, R., Chaubey, P.N.: 'On the design of inscribed pentagonal-cut fractal antenna for ultra-wideband applications', Microw. Opt. Technol. Lett., 2011, 53, (12), pp. 2828–2830
- 22 Lotfi Neyestanak, A.A., Azadi, M.R., Emami Forooshani, A.: 'Compact size ultra-wideband hexagonal fractal antenna'. 25th Biennial Symp. on Communications, 2010, vol. 1, pp. 387–340
- 23 Li, D., Mao, J.: 'Koch-like sided Sierpinski gasket multifractal dipole antenna', Progr. Electromagn. Res. PIER, 2012, 26, pp. 399–427
- 24 Li, D., Mao, J.: 'A Koch-like sided bow-tie fractal dipole antenna', IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag., 2012, 60, (5), pp. 2242–2251
- 25 Ghatak, R., Poddar, D.R., Mishra, R.K.: 'Design of Sierpinski gasket fractal microstrip antenna using real coded genetic algorithm', IET Microw. Antennas Propag., 2009, 3, (7), pp. 1133–1140
- 26 Liu, J.C., Chang, D.C., Soong, D., Chen, C.H., Wu, C.Y., Yao, L.: 'Circular fractal antenna approaches with Descrates circle theorem for multiband wideband applications', Microw. Opt. Technol. Lett., 2005, 44, (5), pp. 404–408
- 27 Wu, Q., Jin, R., Geng, J., Ding, M.: 'Printed omni-directional UWB monopole antenna with very compact size', IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag., 2008, 56, (3), pp. 896–899
- 28 Husseini, M.A., Ramadan, A., Tawk, Y.: 'Design and ground plane consideration of a CPW-fed UWB antenna'. Int. Conf. on Electrical and Electronics Engineering, ELECO, November 2009, vol. 2, pp. 151–153
- 29 Ooi, P.C., Selvan, K.T.: 'The effect of ground plane on the performance of a square loop CPW-fed printed antenna', Progr. Electromagn. Res. Lett., 2010, 19, pp. 103-111
- 30 Jan, J.Y., Kao, J.C., Cheng, Y.T.: 'CPW-fed wideband printed planar monopole antenna for ultra-wideband operation'. IEEE Antennas and Propagation Society Int. Symp., July 2006, vol. 1, pp. 1697–1700
- Liu, Z.Y., Yin, Y.Z., Zheng, S.F., Hu, W., Wen, L.H.: 'A compact CPW-fed monopole antenna with a U-shaped strip and a pair of L-slits ground for WLAN and WiMAX applications', *Progr.* Electromagn. Res. Lett., 2010, 16, pp. 11–19
- 32 Xie, J.J., Yin, Y.Z., Zhang, C.W., Li, B.: 'A novel trapezoidal slot patch antenna with a beveled ground plane for WLAN/WiMAX applications', Progr. Electromagn. Res. Lett., 2011, 27, pp. 53–62
- 33 Zhang, X.Q., Jiao, Y.C., Wang, W.H.: 'Miniature triple-band CPW-fed monopole antenna for WLAN/WiMAX applications', Progr. Electromagn. Res. Lett., 2012, 31, pp. 97–105
- Mandal, T., Das, S.: 'UWB printed hexagonal monopole antennas with WLAN band rejection'. India Antenna Week, December 2011, pp. 1–4
- 35 Zhong, L.L., Sun, B., Qiu, J.H., Zhang, N.: 'Study of a circular disc monopole ultra-wideband miniature antenna'. Progress in Electromagnetics Research Symposium (PIERS), 2008, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 326–330

Copyright of IET Microwaves, Antennas & Propagation is the property of Institution of Engineering & Technology and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to <sup>a</sup> listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.