

# Understanding the Impact of Mobile Phones on Livelihoods in Developing Countries

Richard A. Duncombe\*

*Mobile phones are spreading rapidly in developing countries, but research conceptualisations have been lagging behind practice, particularly those that link mobile phones to livelihoods. This article seeks to fill this gap in two ways. First, by means of a literature review which analyses how they impact upon assets – through facilitating asset substitution, enhancement, combination, exchange and forms of disembodiment. On this basis key roles for mobile phones are defined within livelihood strategies. Secondly, the analysis demonstrates the shortcomings of the livelihoods framework for understanding technological innovation; its agriculture-oriented understanding of assets; its silence on the developmental role of information and on user appropriation of technology; and its narrow categorisation of impact. Ways of addressing these shortcomings are suggested, pointing towards areas of future research and application.*

**Key words:** Mobile phones, innovation, livelihoods, developing countries

## 1 Introduction

One of the most significant development trends in the past decade has been the explosive growth in the use of mobile phones. Mobile cellular technologies have enabled even the poorest countries to extend telecommunication network coverage to the mass of their populations including the rural poor.<sup>1</sup> A number of recent reviews of practice have revealed widespread application of mobile phones in support of livelihoods in rural and less developed regions of developing countries. Reviews from South Asia (De Silva, 2008) and sub-Saharan Africa (Donner, 2009; Gakuru et al., 2009; Munyua, 2008) identify mobile phones as a key innovative technology in support of livelihoods, with evidence of growing integration into agricultural extension, information provision and marketing systems. Mobile phones in support of livelihoods are not restricted to agriculture, but include new forms of micro-financial service provision and micro-enterprise support, and data gathering and dissemination for projects concerned with social development covering education,

---

\*Lecturer in Information Systems and Development, Institute for Development Policy and Management (IDPM), School of Environment, Education and Development (SEED), University of Manchester (richard.duncombe@manchester.ac.uk).

1. Growth in mobile-cellular subscriptions (now almost 6 billion worldwide) has been driven in recent years by developing countries, which accounted for more than 80% of the 660 million new subscriptions added in 2011, when 142 million were added in India, twice as many as in the whole of Africa, and more than in the Arab States, the CIS and Europe combined. By the end of 2011, there were 105 countries with more mobile-cellular subscriptions than inhabitants, including countries in sub-Saharan Africa (ITU, 2012).

health, the environment and humanitarian relief in response to disasters and emergencies.<sup>2</sup> Mobile phones are also being used extensively for advocacy and campaigning on livelihood-centred development issues (Hellstrom, 2010; Kinkade and Verclas, 2008). There is a sense that the practical application of mobile phones in support of livelihoods is expanding rapidly, but conceptualisation of the interrelationship between them is lagging behind. The problem of rapid implementation of new information and communication technologies (ICTs) often on the basis of little understanding of their development impact has been raised by Heeks (2007: 1), who states ‘there has been a bias to action, not a bias to knowledge. We are changing the world without interpreting or understanding it.’

There are also gaps in livelihoods thinking – the failure to incorporate the growing impact of new technologies. Scoones (2009) identifies the ‘naïve localism’ of previous livelihoods approaches which have tended not to be engaged with the broader effects of economic globalisation and technological innovation. Livelihoods analysis needs to be less insular (tending to have focused on the assessment of narrow household-based variables within agrarian settings) and more outward-looking. It should take account of contemporary socio-economic and demographic processes – such as enhanced urban-rural links, rising labour migration and the increasing dispersal of the household unit – trends which themselves are creating new demands for better information flows and communication services. Mobile phones provide a new and innovative tool in support of the information and communications needs of the poor, but there is lack of understanding of the interrelationships between the technological artefact and socio-economic development processes, as well as assessment of the outcomes that arise from its use. The sustainable livelihoods framework provides a starting point whereby these interrelationships can be explored.

This article seeks to address the gaps in the understanding of mobile phones and livelihoods in two ways. The first part of the article reviews existing evidence about the use and impact of mobile phones in developing countries, using the key components of the livelihood framework as a template for analysis, by identifying points of intersection between use of mobile phones and livelihood assets within diverse vulnerability contexts, and illustrating how processes of intermediation impact upon the ability of the poor to mobilise assets through the use of mobile phones, demonstrating areas of contribution to livelihood strategies. The second part of the article puts forward ways in which livelihoods analysis needs to be adapted to take account of the impact of mobile phones, and suggests how this revised approach can be integrated into a framework for researching mobile phones and diversification of livelihoods, outlining key research issues of framework adaptation and application.

## 2 Mobile phones and livelihood assets

Livelihood analysis lays emphasis on the potential of interventions to facilitate measurable changes in asset status (Ellis and Bahiigwa, 2003; Ellis, 2000). Within a context of vulnerability, assets play a vital role in determining the range and combination of choices

---

2. Details of a wide range of text-based mobile phones and development initiatives can be found at Kiwanja.net. [http://www.kiwanja.net/database/kiwanja\\_search.php](http://www.kiwanja.net/database/kiwanja_search.php). A compendium of projects can also be accessed via MobileActive.org. <http://mobileactive.org/directory>

that people have, and are expressed in terms of five types of capital – human (skills, knowledge, health, ability to work); natural (land, forests, water, etc.); financial (income, monetary and non-monetary savings – for example, livestock); physical (tools, transport, housing, water, energy, etc.); and social (networks, group membership, relationships and trust) (Carney, 1999; DFID, 1999). In relation to mobile phone use and impact, assets can be conceptualised in four main ways.

### ***2.1 Asset substitution***

In the first instance, mobile phones are tools that facilitate direct substitution of assets. For example, there is widespread evidence of substitution between expenditure on mobile phone airtime and transportation costs. Research suggests that this has beneficial outcomes, demonstrating that better communication via mobile networks leads to a reduction in the frequency of journeys and the time and expense of travel, with an additional key benefit of enhancing the ability of poor communities to respond more quickly to emergencies (Aker, 2008; Jensen, 2007; Muto and Yamano, 2009; Overa, 2006). Further benefits are demonstrated due to time saving, and the ability to reallocate saved time to other activities, such as the case where a cattle herder no longer needs to leave the herd to report a sick animal (Kithuka et al., 2007). Use of mobile phones also substitutes more indirectly for assets. A household study by Diga (2007) conducted in the Wakiso District of Uganda found that women were willing to forgo (or substitute) store-bought items in order to purchase mobile phones and credits, and in many cases this was undertaken to help strengthen longer-term asset-accumulation strategies focused on micro-enterprise. However, re-allocation of resources and the diverting of expenditure within limited household budgets also give rise to opportunity costs and negative impacts (May, 2010). Overall, however, there is lack of empirical data and research concerning how the poor absorb the costs of mobile phones, and uncertainty about possible negative outcomes associated with the reallocation of cash resources such as between male and female household members.

### ***2.2 Asset enhancement***

Mobile phones can improve or enrich assets in a number of ways – principally in terms of greater efficiency in the use of scarce resources. A study by Sife et al. (2010) reports that four-fifths of a sample of households in Morogoro, Tanzania experienced improved or greatly improved efficiencies in the conduct of social and productive activities, due to mobile phones, particularly when the costs associated with communication over large geographical distances were reduced. Peer-reviewed studies have pointed towards greater efficiencies in information search (Aker, 2008; De Silva, 2008) and in the co-ordination of multi-level local activities in agricultural value chains that are geographically extensive and organisationally complex (Overa, 2006). Thus, greater efficiency of productive activity creates the potential for better financial returns. Use of mobile phones also enhances forms of human capital, which Coleman (1994: 304) suggests ‘is created by changing persons so as to give them skills and capabilities that make them able to act in new ways’. The functionality of the mobile phone requires new ways of interacting (for example, use of short messaging services; use of codes to access services; shortened forms; visual tools,

etc.) and these require new skills as well as cultural and language reorientation on behalf of the user. Castells et al. (2008) observe this in the way local language expressions and conventions have been combined with other languages (such as English) and embodied in mobile phone use, often requiring local software adaptation to cater for the specific requirements of less-well-off users.

### ***2.3 Asset disembodiment***

The mobile phone can be viewed as a tool that can disembody (understood as divesting material form into virtual form) assets which then become represented according to particular software designs or network architectures. Thus, social-capital resources – such as contact information that may have previously been recorded informally in an ad-hoc manner – can be codified and recorded by means of contact lists and call histories, either within the phone or in remote data bases. Through these contact lists users have the ability to connect with geographically dispersed networks such as with family and friends, market traders or local associations (for example, church groups, savings or loans clubs). Research conducted by Horst and Miller (2006) amongst the poor in Jamaica suggests that mobile phone contact lists are used to establish extensive networks that become an important part of their coping strategies – enabling quick connection with networks for advice, support or direct assistance. Goodman (2007:63) from research conducted in Tanzania and South Africa shows how contact lists span both strong and weak social ties. ‘Mobile phones were being used to mediate both strong links (with family, close friends and others in the community), essential for maintaining support networks, and weak links (others outside the community), businessmen, tradesmen, doctors, teachers and police), providing access to information and possible social and economic opportunities.’

### ***2.4 Asset exchange and combination***

This is illustrated by mobile airtime being used as a cash-substitute and thus as a means of financial exchange (for example, for payment of school fees or for the purchase of consumption goods in the market), or to facilitate small savings or remittances (Burrell and Matovu, 2008; Chipchase, 2009). This is an area of application where mobile phones are expanding most rapidly – increasingly through the provision of formal mobile transfer services such as M-PESA where virtual money can be reconverted to real cash through networks of agents.<sup>3</sup> Such services can also provide access to basic bank accounts from which transactions can be conducted.<sup>4</sup> CGAP (2008) highlights this in two respects: first,

- 
3. M-finance can be thought of as an umbrella term to encompass all areas of innovation where mobile phones and mobile-cellular networks are being used as a delivery channel for finance, information and transactions. M-finance includes ‘mobile payments’ as well as ‘mobile banking’ which may involve access by mobile devices to a broader range of banking services, such as account-based savings or transaction products offered by banks. For further information, see Porteous (2006).
  4. For example, the proportion of M-PESA customers making use of non-cash transfer options (e.g. to withdraw cash from an M-PESA linked account or to pay bills) was relatively low (between 2% and 5%) but the proportion of customers using their M-PESA cash balance as a savings mechanism or to store money before travelling was high (26% and 17% respectively). This ‘unintended’ usage of the M-PESA system suggested unfulfilled demand for a secure savings mechanism which stimulated the introduction of M-KESHO (Kesho

the ability to enter, display, process, store and transmit information concerning finance, and second, the ability to store, convert and transfer monetary value and enable transactions or payments. This recognises the particular functionality of the SIM card – essentially a smart card that is embedded within a communication device with built-in security features that make it particularly suited to payment applications.<sup>5</sup> In the growing arena of mobile money applications there is indication (see, for example, Morawczynski and Pickens, 2009) that mobile phone use serves to combine forms of capital, by providing access to financial capital and at the same time extending networks of communication and enhancing financial literacy (thus building human capital).<sup>6</sup> This also signals a shift from informal exchange (through personal remittances, for example) to greater formality through the use of agents which, incidentally, are indirectly taxing the financial assets of the less-well-off user through the transfer or payment charges levied.

The livelihood impacts associated with substitution, enhancement, exchange, combination and disembodiment are realised at the micro level where the user directly interacts with the communication device. However, livelihood analysis suggests that changes in asset holdings are mediated through forms of agency – intermediation that shapes access to resources and which influences the choices that poor people have. This perspective is dealt with in the following Section.

### 3 Intermediation of assets

Heeks (2008: 29) identifies the mobile phone revolution as part of a phase shift from ICT4D1.0 to ICT4D2.0, which comprises ‘new technologies, new approaches to innovation, new intellectual integration, and above all, a new view of the world’s poor’. Processes of innovation are identified as twofold. In the first case, adoption of mobile phones is due to ‘passive diffusion’ driven by ‘a combination of a private firm’s search for profits plus the poor person’s search for value’ (ibid.). The most successful mobile phone applications – that have been scaled – have tended to conform to this market-driven model, such as M-PESA, the mobile payments service operated by Safaricom in Kenya, which since its inception in 2007 has achieved significant penetration into segments of the population previously excluded from any form of financial-service provision.<sup>7</sup> However, most mobile-based livelihood services have not achieved scale, and are localised or at the pilot or proof-of-concept stage (Donner, 2009). The delivery of these services has required ‘active innovation’ – pursued by community-based organisations (CBOs) with donor

---

meaning tomorrow in KiSwahili) which, in partnership with Equity Bank, offers deposits, interest-paying savings and payment products that are linked to the M-PESA mobile user interface, aimed at the estimated 3 million M-PESA users that currently do not access formal banking services (Jack and Suri, 2009).

5. Mobile-payments can store value in a remote account accessible by a handset; convert cash in and out of a stored value account by visiting a bank branch or a retail agent that may be located locally in a kiosk; and transfer the stored value between accounts of individual account holders by means of text message or menu-driven commands and PIN numbers.
6. Financial literacy defines the knowledge and capabilities that the poor need to make informed choices and decisions concerning their finances. Research suggests that lack of financial literacy is a key constraint for poor households in the pursuit of asset-building strategies and effective financial management. See, for example, Bell and Lerman (2005).
7. M-PESA has attracted a customer base of approximately 9 million users and 17,000 service delivery agents over a three-year period (Safaricom, 2010).

support and in partnership with the mobile phone industry. This distinction – between passive diffusion and active innovation – is useful for understanding intermediation processes.

### *3.1 Processes of passive diffusion*

Passive diffusion lays emphasis on the effectiveness and responsiveness of market-based institutions and mobile service-delivery organisations. In this respect, mobile phone network providers (and the broader telecommunications industry) exert a primary influence. To date, the economies of scale afforded through sector growth and competition due to liberalisation of telecommunications provision have led to falling costs, including that of handsets and call/text charges, which together with pre-paid service plans and low denomination airtime units have made mobile services more accessible and affordable to less-well-off consumers (UNCTAD, 2010). However, whilst falling costs have drawn in an ever-increasing proportion of the poor over time, there has been weak motivation from mobile operators to tailor market-driven services to the specific needs of the poor. Thus, growth in mobile phone use and revenue (particularly for value-added services) has been stimulated predominantly by better-off, better educated urban-based users in developing countries. This is demonstrated in Kenya where, in 2006, 7% of those classified as poor were mobile phone owners compared with 42% of the non-poor. These figures had risen to 21.6% and 64.6% respectively in 2009, where the poor were defined as individuals in the bottom two wealth quintiles of an assets index (Aker and Mbiti, 2010).

Emergent technologies are likely to create further divides, and in areas such as smart phones, 3G networks and mobile Internet access, patterns of innovation and application design also favour better-off users who are early adopters (Southwood, 2010). However, there are opportunities for innovative lower-cost technological solutions, such as Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) which, through services such as SMILE, is able to prescribe a mobile number without the need to own a handset. Potentially, this can create very low-cost communication, but usage is currently restricted by the ability of the user to locate an access point.<sup>8</sup> Thus, the way in which the industry innovates – from the design of mobile devices to the applications and services offered, and the business and charging models employed – plays a key role in determining access for the poor.

The private sector also plays an important role in the provision of support services and the form of consumer education which is necessary to overcome the many additional access and usage constraints that the poor face. These relate to the provision of physical-capital resources such as for phone charging, the purchase of airtime or through the availability of agents to provide SIM card registration or points of access for value-added services (such as for money transfer or SMILE). Also necessary are human-capital resources that enable clients (who may lack e-literacy and attendant knowledge) to understand registration and privacy issues (such as awareness of the role of the SIM card in embedding personal

---

8. SMILE, which has been granted licences in a number of sub-Saharan African countries, offers free telephone numbers and voice message boxes to customers, whether they own a handset or not. A customer is provided with a secure PIN code to use on any SMILE phone. Smile Communications operate through agents, which provide mobile handsets in street kiosks, stalls and payphones. See: <http://www.smilecoms.com/pages/default.asp>

information, passwords, contact lists or credit balances). Services also need to be backed up with requisite customer care that can sustain their use by providing support and troubleshooting – and which is available in appropriate languages. There is evidence to suggest considerable difficulties for poor users in interacting with such formal support services, which, in any case, tend to be poorly provisioned in developing countries (Duncombe and Boateng, 2009).

Because many of the poor (and certainly the poorest) cannot yet afford to own a mobile phone, most rely on public or shared access through market re-sellers, agents, community-based organisations or family and friends. For this reason – and due to lack of formal provision – a layer of (largely informal) market intermediaries also play an important role in delivering services. There is little evidence from the literature concerning their impact on livelihoods. Evidence from Hellstrom (2010) and from the operation of M-PESA (Jack and Suri, 2009) suggests that the presence of chains of re-sellers of mobile credits or other value-added services reaching into rural and poor communities tends to increase the costs of access, given the need for successive margins to be earned and the attendant overheads. However, informal intermediaries also play a critical role in overcoming informal access barriers, given that they are close to poor clients and able to understand their requirements, as well as being able to provide personal support in overcoming the constraints (including language) of consumer education previously outlined.

Other inequalities of access and use are also observed when support networks become mobile-centric. Research conducted in Zambia by Abraham (2009) identifies a ‘virtual mobile divide’ within women’s groups that utilise mobile networking. In the first instance this is represented as a broader digital divide between those who are able to afford and access mobile facilities and those who are not. The study also found that, even amongst mobile users, those with power (defined according to societal position and pre-determined gender roles within a particular community) and access to resources (defined according to economic status and income) were more likely to form part of the active contact network, whilst those women with low power and status became excluded ‘Only women with talk time (call units) will be privileged members of the mobile community, with the ability to initiate conversations and the power to choose when to communicate. Those with no call units may be included at the discretion of those who have them... they become silent listeners and simple recipients of texts and alerts from more financially empowered members’ (Abraham, 2009: 2–3). A further cultural constraint is identified by Wakunuma (2006) and concerns the private nature of what this article has termed disembodied social capital. Suspicion of saved data was reported to have been responsible for gender-based conflict resulting from mistrust over who the partner (in this case the woman) was contacting, raising issues of ownership of communication resources and data and how they are controlled and shared within households and communities.

### ***3.2 Processes of active innovation***

Active innovation lays greater emphasis on collaboration between mobile-service providers, the not-for-profit sector and other CBOs which are active in supporting livelihoods. An example project is ‘Collecting and Exchange of Local Agricultural Content’ (CELAC) in Uganda which makes use of a mobile short message service (SMS)

to facilitate access to markets and share farming good practice.<sup>9</sup> Gakuru et al. (2009) categorise such initiatives in a fourfold way: (i) voice information delivery services – telephony services that provide advice on farming methods and access to markets for farmers along the lines of a call centre; (ii) radio – including dial-up and regular radio broadcasts providing transmutable information; (iii) extension services based on the mobile phone together with database monitoring – using tracking and profiling methods to provide market information as well as some transactional and brokerage services; and (iv) e-learning for skills development and agricultural education using multi-media (predominantly video) content.

These types of projects are able to tailor mobile phone use more closely to the needs of less-well-off farmers. As Gakuru et al. (2009: 21) recognise, such projects are able to leverage the benefits of converged technologies. ‘When the back end of the farmer information system contains a call centre, the farmer information system can implement farmer’s feedback to be used for localisation and respond to specific farmers’ requirements such as language and specific products. The SMS platform can be used for alerts, targeted to provide farmers with specific information, thereby creating demand.’ This emphasises two key points. First, the importance of being able to integrate mobile phone initiatives at the intermediary level in a manner that is able to combine forms of capital. For example, the cattle farmer is more likely to be able to access both reliable information and actionable resources (such as specific personal assistance or suitable remedies) from a local veterinary service rather than from a distant or non-specialist provider of information.<sup>10</sup> Therefore, information delivered via mobile phones is thought likely to be more effective when integrated within a broader range of services offered by development agencies or other sector-based service providers. Second, new ICT (and specifically mobile phone networks because of the extent of connectivity) can be effective tools for information provision, but they are equally, if not more, important for providing information about the poor. Thus, within CELAC, farmers themselves can upload agricultural guidance and tips to the data base to share with others, as well as communicating directly with other farmers in the network via their phones.

Research suggests that such information-centred approaches translate into greater market access, addressing the limitations of informal information systems through innovation in mobile phone applications and greater packaging of information resources for micro-enterprise (Donner, 2009; De Silva, 2008). Integrated systems can also use mobile phones to interface with web-based commodity exchanges offering product and price information directly to producers. An example is the Livestock Information Network Knowledge System (LINKS) that provides price and sales-volume data for cattle, as well as

---

9. CELAC is funded by the Grameen Foundation and brings technology partners (MTN and Google) together with local not-for-profit organisations such as BROSDI (Busoga Rural Open Source and Development Initiative) that are active in working with local communities in livelihood support for agriculture, health and education. The project has a database of phone numbers, composed of farmers, community development and agricultural extension workers, to which agro-related information is sent weekly. The use of community radio call-in programmes is integrated into the service, as is drama on video and DVD to portray the farming practices and their challenges. Besides farmers, CELAC also engages former agricultural extension workers as knowledge brokers to help in the collection and dissemination of traditional methods that work, including sharing information with other affiliates via mobile networks (see: <http://celac.or.ug/>).

10. This example is drawn from a case study of mobile phones and development application for the cattle farming sector in Kenya. Full details are available from Kithuka et al. (2007).



climatic information, in Kenya, Ethiopia and Tanzania.<sup>11</sup> Formal systems offer advantages of scale and can absorb and distribute the financial and time costs associated with information search that might otherwise fall on individual producers (Donner, 2009). Thus, packaged information (concerning market prices, weather reports, health and agricultural advice, etc.) can provide a more complete and reliable alternative to informal sourcing. In addition, greater information search, marketing (and transactional) benefits are attainable for micro-enterprise on a larger scale through participation in more comprehensive and scaled e-market places (Donner and Escobari, 2009; Donner, 2009). Platforms such as the Kenya Agricultural Commodity Exchange (KACE)<sup>12</sup> and CellBazaar<sup>13</sup> are able to coordinate information flows throughout the value chain, rather than just providing discrete pieces of information, such as solely market prices (Boadi et al., 2007; David-Benz et al., 2006). By using various technologies, dedicated systems are able to provide a full information service to specific sectors (covering quality requirements, inventory levels, market prices, etc.) across a range of produce categories. This may confer additional benefits as all supply chain actors (individual farmers, producer groups, traders, final buyers/processors and wholesalers/exporters) can access a common rural information-management system.

These approaches to active innovation illustrate how mobile phones and their attendant networks are increasingly acting as tools to facilitate intermediation within project-based initiatives. The way in which assets can be mobilised is stimulated by the range of innovative processes that such institutions/agents can enact. In turn, these influence the way in which the poor are able to act themselves to incorporate mobile phone usage into a diverse range of livelihood strategies.

#### 4 Mobile phones in livelihood strategies

Livelihood strategies are the range and combination of activities and choices that people undertake in order to address their vulnerability. Doward et al. (2009) define livelihood strategies according to three complementary processes: first, the struggle to maintain existing livelihoods and levels of welfare, often in the face of adversity and vulnerability; second, expansion or deepening of existing activities through investment such as to increase production or diversify assets; and third, to use existing activities as a launch pad to move into different or new activities, such as micro-enterprises. This schema contains within it an explicit recognition of 'the dynamic aspirations of poor people; of diversity between different people adopting different strategies, and of diversification by people undertaking a variety of activities, as they mix their strategies in pursuit of those activities' (Doward et al., 2009: 243).

This emphasises that the key primary actors in addressing vulnerability are the poor themselves, and in this respect the mobile phone should be viewed as a tool (akin to a plough or hoe) with the advantage that it can be placed directly into the hands of the poor,

---

11. See: <http://links.tamu.edu/Pages/Public/Home.aspx>

12. KACE provides a comprehensive market information system plus an e-market place that individual farmers can access through information centres, SMS or voice recordings via a toll-free number. The system is designed to match supply and demand, as well as to learn about current market prices, providing leverage with brokers and traders. See: <http://www.kacekenya.co.ke/>

13. See Cell Bazaar: <http://www.cellbazaar.com/web/>

so long as all the many access constraints are overcome. As demonstrated earlier, this potentially has direct impact, through processes of substitution, enhancement, exchange, combination and disembodiment of assets. Mobile phones also make an impact indirectly, through the ability of the poor to 'link-up' and interact with a range of informal and formal networked intermediaries. This gives rise to active innovation in terms of a range of functionalities and diverse roles that mobile phones can play in the support of livelihood strategies which can be surveyed using the schema outlined.

#### **4.1 Maintaining existing livelihoods and mitigating vulnerability**

A large body of research from developing countries suggests that the major impact of mobile phones has been to expand private communication within personal and social networks which has been shown to have widespread benefits both for maintaining existing forms of livelihood and mitigating vulnerability. A three-country study (Souter et al., 2007) conducted in India, Mozambique and Tanzania found that mobile phones were extensively used in poor communities to maintain social (particularly family) networks, and were considered essential for responding quickly to emergencies. Over 80% of 223 respondents from another Tanzanian study (Samuel et al., 2007) stated that use of mobile phones had improved family relationships and made communication easier, as well as reducing the need to travel long distances to communicate with relatives. Horst and Miller (2006) highlighted the importance of mobile facilities in supporting social networks amongst poor women in Jamaica, and the role they play in building coping strategies.

As well as supporting informal social networks, mobile devices are tools for formalising the collection of data (such as with personal digital assistants – PDAs) which, together with community radio, provide a new means to speed up the communication of the outcomes of the analysis of data to those who can act upon them (Kinkade and Verclas, 2008). Those used for data collection and analysis can provide inputs for 'link-up' strategies that can support empowerment and political participation. Thus, the phone's ability to connect and empower may be deemed of equal importance to its use as a functional economic tool. There is growing evidence of this from practitioners in the field with mobile-supporting information flows in areas of social development, with packaged information (public service announcements, government information concerning taxes or land tenure, health advice, etc.) providing the core of the service provided.<sup>14</sup> In this way mobile devices can be used to aid the monitoring and assessment of vulnerability factors, particularly those related to health and sanitation, climatic conditions, disaster preparedness or environmental assessment, such as earthquakes and flooding.<sup>15</sup> In the latter case, *Infodev* (2008) suggests that, although national early-warning systems may function, the ability to broadcast warnings at the local level is neglected – but with phones serving to fill this gap.

---

14. See, for example, recent services launched in Uganda through a partnership between MTN Uganda and Google. These include a range of information delivery services aimed at the poor and disadvantaged concerning agricultural advice, weather forecasting, health, reproductive and market-related information. See AppLab in Action: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dPaMeONj6sM>

15. See, for example, Samarajiva (2005) who draws on the experience of the Indian Ocean tsunami of 2004 to demonstrate a range of applications for mobile networks and other ICTs for effective disaster warning.

#### **4.2 Expansion and enhancement of existing activities**

Studies carried out in Africa by Sife et al. (2010), Jagun et al. (2008), Molony (2007) and Overa (2006) demonstrate that users of mobile phones are able to enhance (or make more productive use of) their pre-existing social and economic networks. Research suggests that the poor get their most valued information by word of mouth, and the diffusion of mobile phones is playing a key role in extending these organic informal networks (Donner, 2006; Duncombe and Heeks, 2002). In this way, passive diffusion is increasing the efficiency of underlying (including market-related) information processes. This is demonstrated by studies from the field of information economics that show conclusively how the use of phones within local markets by producers and traders leads to a higher degree of market participation, as well as reduced search costs and a lessening in price dispersion (Aker, 2008; Jensen, 2007; Muto and Yamano, 2009). The highly cited work of Jensen (2007) demonstrates reduced information blockages and asymmetries within the trading and consumer networks of fishing communities in Kerala, reducing risk and uncertainty in the planning and co-ordination of fishing activities. With their phones, fishermen exchanged information about catch volumes and beach market prices at various locations, reducing search costs for the best available markets and prices for their products.<sup>16</sup> More importantly, this research also demonstrates how these informational improvements can lead to a lessening in vulnerability. Similarly, Aker (2008: 1), in a quantitative study of the impact of mobile communications on grain markets in Niger, suggests that ‘cell phones improved consumer and trader welfare in Niger, perhaps averting an even worse outcome during the 2005 food crisis’, whilst Jensen (2007: 879) demonstrates an ‘increase in both producer and consumer welfare’ in the South Indian fisheries sector.

Market-driven mobile payment services are also providing potential for poor communities to benefit from faster and more secure financial transactions (Duncombe and Boateng, 2009; Heyer and Mas, 2009; Jack and Suri, 2009). Infrastructure and service platforms are sufficiently scaled (for example, through Globe Telecom’s GCash in the Philippines, Wizzit in South Africa, and Safaricom’s M-PESA in Kenya) to enable small farmers to receive money or to make payments, with the potential for the unbanked in poor communities to access formal banking services. The specific functionalities associated with the use of mobile phones (i.e., their ability to handle monetary transfers of small denominations incorporating low transaction costs) are particularly suitable for low-income users. They also put control and decision-making in the hands of users, who are able to enter, display, process, store and transmit personalised financial data, and to convert and transfer monetary value. Building upon mobile payments are new mobile innovations for providing micro-insurance and facilitating social cash transfers.<sup>17</sup> Micro-insurance can be used to protect against susceptibility to weather, price variability and health risks, and the

---

16. Improved market co-ordination resulted in fishermen’s profits increasing by an average of 8%, including a revenue rise by an average Rs.205 (about \$4.5) per day while mobile costs were about Rs. 72 (\$1.6) per day. Also fishermen without mobile phones saw their profits rise as a result of an overall improvement in market efficiency. Fish prices were seen to fall by 4%, thus also benefiting poor consumers. In addition, wastage of fish within the market as a whole fell significantly (Jensen, 2007).

17. Social cash transfers are an approach to social protection that can be defined as all initiatives that provide income or consumption transfers to the poor, protect the vulnerable against livelihood risks, and enhance the social status and rights of socially excluded and marginalised people (Devereux and Vincent, 2010).

ability of mobile payment systems to reduce transaction costs could be an important factor for enhancing the reach and sustainability of such programmes. For social cash transfers, there are already examples of mobile payment systems assisting in the delivery of cash payments in Lesotho (Vincent and Freeland, 2008) and Kenya (Brewin, 2008) and, just as for financial remittances, the potential is considered to be large for national systems that have potential to be scaled (Devereux and Vincent, 2010).

### ***4.3 Diversification into new activities***

Prowse (2008) suggests that ‘sustainable livelihoods should build upon the productive capacity of the poor’ (rather than on their ability to consume). A significant amount of research has now been conducted which demonstrates an essential role for mobile phones in productive activity through micro-enterprise – in both urban and rural settings. A review by Donner and Escobari (2009) of fourteen such studies concludes that mobile phones are becoming key tools for communication, linking buyers and sellers within supply chains as well as supporting access to markets and other information. Through passive diffusion, mobile phones are increasingly becoming embedded into informal micro-enterprise networks such as for the self-employed – taxi-drivers, domestic and casual labourers (Rangaswamy, 2009). Impact on established enterprises is increasingly well researched, but there is also growing evidence of how mobile phones are leading to the creation of new entrepreneurial activity or new forms of livelihood, thus supporting diversification strategies. The Grameen telephone ladies of Bangladesh provided early evidence of this (Aminuzzaman et al., 2003), although their increased numbers and the dramatic growth of individual ownership of phones have diminished their importance as re-sellers of services in the community (Shaffer, 2007).

However, the rapid growth of the mobile industry, particularly in the poorest countries, has created a plethora of new employment and income-earning opportunities. For example, in Uganda, by the end of 2007, 350,000 people were employed indirectly in the telecommunications sector which included thousands of new income-generating informal-enterprise opportunities countrywide for small kiosk owners and individual hawkers offering airtime, back-street adaptation and repair and other mobile-related services (Chipchase, 2009). Other examples witness income generated by novel uses of the mobiles’ data processing, recording or camera functions (for example, musicians recording, sharing and selling their music) (Hahiane and Sherry, 2008; Lugo and Sampson, 2008). Informal productive settings are also conducive to the enhancement and upgrading of human capital, such as in India where the knowledge and skills required in the operation and maintenance of mobile phones were observed to be acquired through informal training and interaction around mobile-based micro-enterprises and kiosks (Rangaswamy, 2009).

## **5 Adapting the livelihoods approach**

The preceding Sections have surveyed the current literature on the impact of mobile phone use, using the livelihoods framework as a template for analysis. The review suggests that a livelihoods approach provides certain advantages for researching mobile phones in a development context. As Scoones (2009) recognises, it is an approach that brings disparate perspectives together, allows conversations over disciplinary boundaries and provides an

institutional bridging function to link technologists to social scientists, providing not only an appropriate conceptual tool, but also a practical framework for action. The livelihoods perspective developed in this article provides such a bridging function by avoiding over-emphasis on the technical and placing the user at the centre of the analysis of the mobile phone revolution. Whilst the livelihoods framework provides an all-embracing framework and a useful starting point for pursuing research in this area, certain deficiencies also need to be addressed, and these shortcomings serve to highlight four gaps in the framework that require adaptation in each case.

### *5.1 Specifying forms of capital*

Historically, livelihoods analysis has tended to emphasise the agrarian or material (primarily economic) basis for capital formation, whilst a complexity of social assets have been packaged rather uncomfortably under the heading of social capital. In order to correct this imbalance in the way capitals are specified, a three-fold approach to re-defining assets is proposed that encompasses a broader and more relevant spectrum. First, resource-based assets (RBA): these include the existing categories of physical, financial and natural capital represented in the original livelihoods pentagon (DFID, 1999). They comprise ‘tangible’ assets (income/savings/livestock/land/tools, etc.) that the poor have at their disposal. A second categorisation can be termed network-based assets (NBA): these comprise forms of social, political and cultural capital which tend to be ‘intangible’ – group membership, relationships, trust and reciprocity – and are fundamentally based on the ability to participate in socio-political and socio-economic networks. These forms of capital are less easy to define and measure but encompass fundamental societal bonding factors and networks of social support which are also critical for sustaining market relationships. There also needs to be emphasis on how the framework incorporates political capital – dealing with power, powerlessness and unequal social relations (Moore et al., 2001). Powerlessness and exclusion are likely to be felt most strongly by the extremely poor who lack the necessary resources for mobile phone access, whilst political capital also needs to be recognised as an important asset which can be mobilised through new communication technologies and networks (Kinkade and Verclas, 2008).

A third category can be termed cognitive-based assets (CBA), which comprise diverse aspects of human capital including local knowledge, capabilities, skills, perception and other psychological factors (Kleine, 2010). Psychological capital plays a role in prescribing the attitudes, norms and behaviours that govern the perception of technology, as well as user acceptance and use of the mobile artefact (Ventakesh et al., 2003). In this respect, studies of mobile phones in developing countries have identified both psychological and cultural factors as significant barriers to adoption and effective use (Duncombe and Boateng, 2009).

### *5.2 Incorporating information processes*

Information is the primary resource upon which mobile phones act. Historically, within livelihoods analysis, information has been seen as a rather static resource, which, along with technology, is compartmentalised within physical assets. Mobile phones provide a new and distinct way to communicate information, but the quality and form of information

communicated are critical. This relates to the extent to which information content is relevant to the needs of recipients (Chapman et al., 2004, 2001; *Infodev*, 2008). Duncombe and Heeks (2002) suggests that content should be relevant to context and aligned with interests, the information source should embody a certain level of trust, and recipients must have sufficient knowledge and understanding to be able to assimilate and assess the information provided. Thus, information cannot be viewed as a neutral entity, nor can it be viewed as static. This is because information received verbally or as raw data (such as presented on a mobile interface) needs to be assessed and applied in some way to create usable information which can be acted upon to inform choices and decisions and consequent actions. These processes require further information-chain resources (Heeks, 1999). These are both intrinsic (network and cognitive-based) assets which include pre-existing knowledge and capabilities, and overt (resource-based) assets – the necessary infrastructure and technical and specialist expertise – that are required to make effective use of information. In research from Uganda, Burrell and Matovu (2008:3) concur with this, suggesting that the ‘main challenge to providing information services to rural Ugandans rests on providing dependable accurate information that is “actionable”. Whether information is actionable depends especially upon what capital and what social connections are required to make use of it.’ Thus, the adapted framework views information as a cross-cutting and dynamic resource that is required to access and enhance all forms of capital.

### ***5.3 Understanding structures and processes***

This article has defined intermediation according to processes of passive diffusion and active innovation. These are, however, idealised constructs that require further interpretation. Implicit within the notion of passive diffusion of mobile phones are presumptions of the optimal and unfettered workings of market forces. This is reflected in conventional policy prescriptions towards extending access which dictate that ‘the market is likely to fulfil a significant portion of the coverage gaps, particularly when pro-competitive policies are adopted’ (UNCTAD, 2010:35). However, as Doward et al. (2009) point out, markets most often fail the poor, and particularly those in most need. Thus, adoption and use of mobile phones cannot be focused exclusively around economic contingencies. Some of these (such as market liberalisation) have had an impoverishing effect on subsets of the population which include large proportions of the poor, women and other historically disadvantaged groups.

The key intervening agency that can re-balance the inequalities of access is that of national government. For example, government has the power to change the balance between facilitating ease of access (which favours the poor) and the need for more complex registration procedures that may make it more expensive and more complex to own and use a mobile phone SIM card. Government decides whether policies towards universal (or greater) access to mobile infrastructure are pursued through a lessening of regulation and taxation and greater competition or through expansion of universal access funds and cross-subsidisation.<sup>18</sup> Government controls the rate at which mobile devices and call rates are

---

18. This type of ‘smart subsidy’ has been enacted in Uganda via the Rural Communications Development Fund (RCDF) which allocates universal service funds to stimulate rural ICT investment and connectivity by means of a 1% levy on overall telecom revenues. The argument, however, has two sides. Outcomes from such

taxed and can thus influence market prices. In many developing countries high taxation environments keep overall charges for mobile phone services high, which – unless the tax base is efficiently and equitably reinvested – have generally had a regressive effect for the poor and those on low incomes.<sup>19</sup> Government also plays a lead role in the broader policy arena which governs decisions about capital investment in new technologies and infrastructure, not only for new mobile phone technologies, such as third-generation networks and broadband, but also the complementary investments in the electrical and transport infrastructure that are required to attain the potential benefits offered by further expansion of mobile networks. It is important, therefore, that our understanding of structures and processes extends to the macro-level, and indeed, to the meta-level, where donors and other international organisations (such as the International Telecommunications Union) play an active role in influencing national government policy.

The construct is also questionable in the way it views the poor as passive consumers of market-driven goods and services provided by mobile phone operators. This rather negates the more complex interactions that users have with new technologies which, historically, have been observed according to processes of appropriation that involve both adaptation and modification, which give rise to changing patterns of consumption, arising from within poor communities themselves (Bar and Riis, 2000). Unexpected forms of technology appropriation and adaptation were identified quite early on as integral to the mobile revolution. For example, Chipchase (2009) and Donner (2006) demonstrate how the requirements of low-income users have become re-embodied in user behaviour through ‘beeping’ (calling another user or network participant and hanging up before they answer – prompting a return call). Another example concerns the widespread use of the phone as a store of value (by accumulating call credit or in a mobile money transfer account such as M-PESA) – a sign of pent-up demand for individual micro-savings. It was this type of user innovation that stimulated donors together with CBOs to take an active interest in developing applications that could build upon the type of adaptations that the poor themselves were making (Donner, 2009). Thus, an understanding of structures and processes requires analysis in two respects: those which arise from a ‘top-down’ perspective (government policy, active intervention by donors, etc.) and those which are ‘bottom-up’ arising from the grassroots (micro-market processes, user innovation, etc.).

#### ***5.4 Delineating outcomes***

The emphasis on dynamic information-driven processes suggests that livelihood outcomes need to be delineated in some way. Thus, the framework revision suggests a distinction between outputs, outcomes and impacts (Duncombe, 2009; Heeks and Molla, 2009).

---

investment have been mixed, showing significant national expansion of rural ‘last-mile’ infrastructure since the inception of the fund in 2001, but considerable problems of sustaining that investment, with only 923 of the 3,863 projects completed still in operation, and with the on-going costs of those remaining rising considerably. See: <http://www.balancingact-africa.com/news/en/issue-no-479/money/uganda-s-failed-rural-ict-projects-eat-up-us10-million>

19. For example, Uganda has a 12% excise duty on mobile phone services, in addition to a standard VAT rate of 18%, which, together with other less developed countries in sub-Saharan Africa (e.g., Zambia, Tanzania and Kenya), puts it in the top ten markets with the highest taxes on mobile telephony. See: <http://www.apc.org/en/node/9093/>

Outputs identify and measure immediate changes in behaviour and practices due to information or advice received via the mobile phone's interface (this could be voice, text or pictorial). This emphasises that, whilst the mobile phone offers practical and functional advantages of access, immediacy and mobility, the key output for the recipient will relate to the quality of the information received or exchanged. Outcomes, however, identify if, and in what manner, information can be used to expand the spectrum of choices that are open to the recipient, and whether that information can be used in support of decisions that are made in pursuance of their livelihood strategies (Kleine, 2010). The assessment of 'impact' tends to have a longer-term perspective and will involve determining the extent to which the use of a mobile phone has been responsible for supporting livelihood strategies and changing lives (such as through the mitigation of vulnerability, expansion of existing activities, or diversification).

In this regard, issues of attribution become ever more challenging as we move from assessing output, outcomes and broader impact, due to the difficulty of disentangling the effects of exogenous factors. Thus, identifying and observing and measuring immediate changes in behaviour and practices due to use of mobile phones will be relatively straightforward compared with the task of identifying how that information impacts on decision-making and action. However, the emphasis of impact assessment should not be only on assessing adaptive strategies over the longer term, as this rather negates the importance of shorter-term responses to more immediate shocks and emergencies (bereavement, a climatic shock or domestic conflict, a sick animal, for example). Due to their shrinking of time and space, mobile phones have been shown to be particularly effective in this respect. Thus, an assessment of outcomes should also encompass more immediate response needs as well as potential for longer-term strategising.

## 6 Framework application and future research

Application of the framework can be considered in four main respects which provide pointers to areas where further research into mobile phones and livelihoods is required.

First, the starting point for livelihoods analysis is the context of vulnerability that shapes people's lives via shocks (for example, emergencies or conflict), socio-economic trends (for example, fluctuations in global markets or migratory trends) and seasonality (for example, fluctuating incomes from agricultural outputs) (Carney, 1999). Understanding these changing contexts will be fundamental to understanding how changing needs for communication are manifesting themselves within poor communities, and how mobile phones can be utilised to fulfil those needs. This lack of emphasis on the changing context of livelihoods is recognised by Scoones (2009) who is critical of the lack of integration of information and communication processes (and hence technologies) within livelihoods analysis and action.

Second, it needs to be emphasised that the rapid expansion of mobile phone availability and usage in developing countries is, fundamentally, driven by market processes (i.e., responding to market demand through passive diffusion). Doward et al. (2003) has recognised that livelihoods analysis tends not to deal adequately with market processes and that there needs to be a re-emphasis within livelihoods research on the role of markets – how they stimulate demand for the outputs of diversified livelihood activities. In this respect, there is a contradiction between two divergent hypotheses. On the one hand,



mobile phones are viewed as a form of innovation that can make markets more inclusive for the poor (see, for example, Mendoza and Thelen, 2008). Specifically, mobile phones are able to address three key criteria for inclusive markets: facilitating access to market information and transactions, creating direct development impact for the poor through personal access and usage, and providing potential for financial viability, given their relatively low cost and ability to handle small (micro) quantities and transactions. On the other hand, research also suggests that such market processes give rise to inbuilt inequalities of access and ownership (May, 2010). Thus the benefits of market inclusiveness remain denied to a large proportion of the poor (and certainly the poorest) who still lack access or the means to make effective use of expanding mobile phone networks.

Third, as recognised by Ellis and Bahiigwa (2003: 1003), a sustainable livelihood results from the ability to ‘deepen and broaden asset holdings over time’ and, within a context of vulnerability, assets play a vital role in determining the range and combination of choices that people have. Here it should be recognised that mobile phones differ from previous telecommunication provision, as they are devices that exhibit a wide range of applications and multiple functionalities. As such, they have potential to play an enhanced role in support of communication processes and asset-accumulation strategies that cut across all forms of capital (Duncombe, 2006). This points towards the need for deeper research into how mobile phones make an impact upon asset-accumulation strategies at the household level – to gain a deeper understanding of both the benefits and the costs of mobile phone ownership, use or sharing, taking into account a complex set of micro-processes which this article conceptualises according to the substitution, enhancement, combination, exchange and disembodiment of assets. The analysis also needs to encompass a broader range of capitals (suggested in this article as material, networked and cognitive) than is catered for in the traditional livelihoods framework, and which are commensurate with a rapidly changing vulnerability context driven by new technologies and attendant information and communication processes.

Fourth, livelihoods analysis highlights the importance of the (intermediating) organisations, institutions, policies and legislation that determine the ability of the poor to access infrastructure and the other complementary resources that they need to pursue livelihood strategies. Mobile phones are an increasingly important intermediating tool that is affecting the terms of exchange and returns that are open to the poor in pursuit of livelihood strategies. This relates not only to the terms of financial exchange, but also to other social and political terms of exchange such as those based on gender roles or other social, cultural and digital divides which, unless confronted, can give rise to forms of economic and social exclusion – including digital exclusion and digital poverty (May, 2010; Barrentes, 2005). There is need for greater understanding of these intermediating factors (structures and processes in livelihood terms) that facilitate market (or non-market) demand for mobile phones and the services that they offer with particular emphasis on access – not only to markets themselves but also to forms of market empowerment, rights and supporting services.

As illustrated in the article, mobile phones have the potential to support ‘link-up’ strategies – providing an intermediating tool that can bring together and co-ordinate the various market and non-market actors and institutions that are required to facilitate greater market (and non-market) participation. However, such processes of intermediation tend to fulfil a dual and possibly conflicting role. On the one hand, they seek via philanthropy and

donor or industry subsidy to overcome inequalities of market access by encouraging the adoption and use of mobile phones – most often on the basis of project-based initiatives which seek to assist the poor to apply mobile phones in pursuance of their livelihoods. On the other hand, they provide a platform for the mobile phone industry to actively test and evaluate new innovative applications that may lead to future commercial advantage through market channels. Thus, when mobile phones are the preferred delivery mechanism, market models for information delivery and exchange tend to dominate, and there is a risk that sustainability will be defined exclusively according to willingness and ability to pay for services and information, rather than on the basis of need.

In conclusion, this article has suggested that mobile phones are an important technological innovation that is making an increasing impact upon the lives of the poor in developing countries. These interactions have been conceptualised in relation to how the use of the phone leads to substitution, enhancement, exchange, combination and disembodiment of assets. Assets themselves have been re-defined and re-categorised according to a broader range of capitals that reflect the greater emphasis on network and cognitive processes facilitated by new communication technologies. The ability of the poor to mobilise assets and develop livelihood strategies has been shown to be intermediated through processes of passive diffusion, but also active innovation by both providers and users of services, whilst the important role of policy and regulatory structures at the macro level has been emphasised. It is hoped that this analysis and adaptation of the livelihood framework will provide a useful tool for understanding this rapidly changing technological phenomenon and will make a contribution to future livelihoods research.

*first submitted March 2012*

*final revision accepted September 2013*

## **References**

- Abraham, K. B. (2009) 'The Names In Your Address Book: Are mobile phone networks effective in advocating for women's rights in Zambia?', in I. Buskens and A. Webb (eds), *African Women and ICTs: Investigating technology, gender and empowerment*. Ottawa: Sed/IDRC.
- Aker, J. C. (2008) *Does Digital Divide or Provide? The impact of cell phones on grain markets in Niger*. BREAD Working Paper No. 177. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Aker, J. C. and Mbiti, I. M (2010) 'Mobile Phones and Economic Development in Africa', *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 24(3): 207–32.
- Aminuzzaman, S., Baldersheim, H. and Jamil, I. (2003) 'Talking Back: Empowerment and mobile phones in rural Bangladesh: A study of the village pay phone of the Grameen Bank', *Contemporary South Asia* 12(3): 327–48.
- Bar, F. and Riis, A. M. (2000) 'Tapping User-driven Innovation: A new rationale for universal service', *The Information Society* 16(2): 99–108.
- Barrentes, R. (2005) 'Analysis of ICT Demand, in Regional Dialogue on the Information Society', in H. Galperin and J. Mariscal (eds), *Digital Poverty: Latin American and Caribbean Perspectives*. Ottawa: IDRC.
- Bell, E. and Lerman, R. I. (2005) *Can Financial Literacy Enhance Asset Building?* Discussion Paper No.6. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute, September.

- Boadi, R. A.; Boateng, R.; Hinson, R. and Opoku, R. A. (2007) 'Preliminary Insights into M-Commerce Adoption in Ghana', *Information Development* 23(4): 253–65.
- Brewin, M. (2008) 'Evaluation of Concern Kenya's Kerio Valley Cash Transfer Pilot (KVCTP)'. [www.mobileactive.org/files/KenyaCashTransferPilot-EvaluationReport-July08.pdf](http://www.mobileactive.org/files/KenyaCashTransferPilot-EvaluationReport-July08.pdf) (accessed 7 June 2012).
- Burrell, J. and Matovu, J. (2008) *Livelihoods and the Mobile Phone in Rural Uganda*. Washington, DC: The Grameen Foundation USA.
- Carney, D. (1999) *Sustainable Livelihood Approaches Compared*. London: Department for International Development.
- Castells, M.; Fernandes-Ardevol, M.; Linchuan Qiu, J. and Sey, A (eds) (2008) *Mobile Communication and Society: A global perspective*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- CGAP (2008) 'Regulating Transformational Branchless Banking: Mobile phones and other technology to increase access to finance'. Focus Note No.43. Washington, DC: Consultative Group to Assist the Poor. [www.cgap.org](http://www.cgap.org) (accessed 6 August 2009).
- Chapman, R., Slaymaker, T. and Young, J. (2004) *Livelihoods Approaches to Information and Communication in Support of Rural Poverty Elimination and Food Security: A literature update*. Research Report. London: Overseas Development Institute
- Chapman, R., Slaymaker, T. and Young, J. (2001) *Livelihoods Approaches to Information and Communication in Support of Rural Poverty Elimination and Food Security*. Research Report. London: Overseas Development Institute
- Chipchase, J. (2009) 'Mobile Phone Practices and the Design of Mobile Money Services for Emerging Markets'. <http://www.janchipchase.com/publications> (accessed 4 May 2012).
- Coleman, J. S. (1994) *The Foundations of Social Theory*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- David-Benz, H., Wade, I. and Egg, J. (2006) 'Market Information and Price Instability: An insight into vegetable markets in Senegal'. *ISHS Acta Horticulturae 699*: International Symposium on Improving the Performance of Supply Chains in the Transitional Economies: 131–42.
- De Silva, H. (2008) 'Scoping Study: ICT and rural livelihoods – South Asia component'. (Draft). New Delhi: International Development Research Centre.
- Devereux, S. and Vincent, K. (2010) 'Using Technology to Deliver Social Protection: Exploring opportunities and risks', *Development in Practice* 20(3): 367–79.
- DFID (1999) *Sustainable Livelihood Guidance Sheet Section 2*. London: Department for International Development.
- Diga, K. (2007) 'Mobile Cell Phones and Poverty Reduction: Technology spending patterns and poverty-level change among households in Uganda'. MA Dissertation, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban.
- Donner, J. (2009) 'Mobile-based Livelihood Services in Africa: Pilots and early deployments', in M. Fernandez-Ardevol and A. Ros (eds), *Communication Technologies in Latin America and Africa: A multidisciplinary perspective*, Barcelona: IN3.
- Donner, J. (2006) 'The Use of Mobile Phones by Micro-Entrepreneurs in Kigali, Rwanda: Changes to social and business networks', *Information Technologies and International Development* 3(2): 3–19.
- Donner, J and Escobari, M. (2009) 'A Review of the Research on Mobile Use by Micro and Small Enterprises (MSEs)'. Paper presented at the International Conference on Information and Communication Technologies and Development, Doha, Qatar, 17-19 April.

- Doward, A.; Anderson, S.; Nava Bernal, Y.; Sanches Vera, E.; Rushton, J.; Pattison, J. and Pas, R. (2009) 'Hanging In, Stepping Up and Stepping Out: Livelihood aspirations and strategies of the poor', *Development in Practice* 19(2): 240–7.
- Doward, A.; Poole, N.; Morrison, J.; Kydd, J. and Ury, I. (2003) 'Markets, Institutions and Technology: Missing links in livelihood analysis', *Development Policy Review* 21(3): 319–32.
- Duncombe, R. A. (2009) *Impact Assessment of Mobile Phones on Development: Concepts, methods and lessons for practice*. Development Informatics Working Paper No.39. Manchester: Institute for Development Policy and Management, University of Manchester.
- Duncombe, R. A. (2006) 'Using the Livelihoods Framework to Analyse ICT Applications for Poverty Reduction through Microenterprise', *Information Technologies and International Development* 3(3): 81–100.
- Duncombe, R. A. and Boateng, R. (2009) 'Mobile Phones and Financial Services in Developing Countries: A review of concepts, methods, issues, evidence and future research directions', *Third World Quarterly* 30(7): 1237–58.
- Duncombe, R. A. and Heeks, R. B. (2002) 'Enterprise Across the Digital Divide: Information systems and rural micro-enterprise in Botswana', *Journal of International Development* 14(1): 61–74.
- Ellis, F. (2000) *Rural Livelihoods and Diversity in Developing Countries*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ellis, F. and Bahiigwa, G. (2003) 'Livelihoods and Rural Poverty Reduction in Uganda', *World Development* 31(6): 997–1013.
- Gakuru, M., Winters, K. and Stepman, F. (2009) 'Inventory of Innovative Farmer Advisory Services using ICTs, Forum for Agricultural Research in Africa'. [http://www.fara-africa.org/media/uploads/File/NSF2/RAILS/Innovative\\_Farmer\\_Advisory\\_Systems.pdf](http://www.fara-africa.org/media/uploads/File/NSF2/RAILS/Innovative_Farmer_Advisory_Systems.pdf).
- Goodman, J. (2007) *Linking Mobile Phone Ownership and Use to Social Capital in Rural South Africa and Tanzania: Moving the debate forward*. The Vodafone Policy Paper Series No 3. Newbury: Vodafone Research.
- Heeks, R. B. (2008) 'ICT4D 2.0: The next phase of applying ICT for international development', *Computer June*: 78–85, IEEE Computer Society. <http://www2.computer.org/portal/web/csdl/doi/10.1109/MC.2008.192> (accessed 4 August 2012).
- Heeks, R. B. (2007) 'Theorizing ICT4D Research: Introduction to special issue', *Information and Communication Technologies and International Development* 3(3): 1–4.
- Heeks, R. B. (1999) *Information and Communication Technologies, Poverty and Development*. Development Informatics Working Paper No. 5. Manchester: Institute for Development Policy and Management, University of Manchester.
- Heeks, R. B. and Molla, A. (2009) *Impact Assessment of ICT-for-development Projects: A compendium of approaches*. Development Informatics Working Paper No. 36. Manchester: Institute for Development Policy and Management, University of Manchester.
- Hellstrom, J. (2010) 'The Innovative Use of Mobile Applications in East Africa', *SIDA Review* 2010: 12. Stockholm: Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency.
- Heyer, A. and Mas, I. (2009) 'Seeking Fertile Grounds for Mobile Money. Draft working paper for comment'. London: Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, GSMA.

- Horst, H. and Miller, D. (2006) 'From Kinship to Linkup: Cell phones and social networking in Jamaica', *Current Anthropology* 46(5): 755–78.
- Ilahiane, H. and Sherry, J. (2008) 'Joutia: Street vendor entrepreneurship and the informal economy of information and communication technologies in Morocco', *Journal of North African Studies* 13(2): 243–55.
- Infodev (2008) 'Enhancing the Livelihoods of the Rural Poor Through ICT: A knowledge map'. Infodev Working Paper No. 9. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- ITU (2012) 'International Telecommunications Union statistics newslog' [On-line] <http://www.itu.int/ITU-D/ict/newslog/default,date,2012-01-25.aspx>
- Jack, W. and Suri, T. (2009) 'Mobile Money: The economics of M-PESA'. Cambridge, MA: MIT Sloan. <http://www.mit.edu/~tavneet/M-PESA.pdf>. (accessed 10 May 2012).
- Jagun, A., Heeks, R. and Whalley, J. (2008) 'The Impact of Mobile Telephony on Developing Country Micro-enterprise: A Nigerian case study', *Information Technologies and International Development* 4(4): 47–65.
- Jensen, R. (2007) 'The Digital Divide: Information (technology), market performance, and welfare in the South Indian fisheries sector', *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* CXX11(3): 879–924.
- Kinkade, S. and Verclas, K. (2008) *Wireless Technology for Social Change: Trends in mobile use by NGOs*. Access to Communication Publication Series. Washington, DC: Vodafone Group Foundation and the UN Foundation Partnership.
- Kithuka, J., Mutemi, J. and Mohamed, A. H. (2007) *Keeping Up with Technology: The use of mobile telephony in delivering community-based decentralised animal health services in Mwingi and Kitui Districts, Kenya*. Farm Africa Working Paper No. 10. Nairobi: Farm Africa.
- Kleine, D. (2010) 'ICT4What? – Using the choice framework to operationalise the capability approach to development', *Journal of International Development* 22(5): 674–92.
- Lugo, J. and Sampson, T. (2008) 'e-Informality in Venezuela: The “other path” of technology', *Bulletin of Latin American Research* 27(1): 102–18.
- May, J. (2010) 'Digital and Other Poverties: Exploring the connection in four East African countries'. Paper submitted to CPRC Conference, Manchester, 8–10 September. [http://www.chronicpoverty.org/uploads/publication\\_files/may\\_digital\\_and\\_other\\_poverties.pdf](http://www.chronicpoverty.org/uploads/publication_files/may_digital_and_other_poverties.pdf) (accessed 7 June 2012).
- Mendoza, R. U. and Thelen, N. (2008) 'Innovations to Make Markets More Inclusive for the Poor', *Development Policy Review* 26(4): 427–58.
- Molony, T. (2007) 'I Don't Trust the Phone; It Always Lies: Trust and information and communication technologies in Tanzanian micro- and small enterprises', *Information Technologies and International Development* 3(4): 67–83.
- Moore, K., Hulme, D. and Shephard, A. (2001) *Chronic Poverty: Meanings and analytical frameworks*. Working Paper No. 2. Manchester: Chronic Poverty Research Centre, University of Manchester.
- Morawczynski, O. and Pickens, M. (2009) *Poor People Using Mobile Financial Services: Observations of customer usage and impact from M-PESA*. CGAP Brief. Washington, DC: Consultative Group to Assist the Poor.
- Munyua, H. (2008) *ICTs and Small-scale Agriculture in Africa: A scoping study*. Ottawa: IDRC.

- Muto, M. and Yamano, T. (2009) *The Impact of Mobile Phone Coverage Expansion on Market Participation: Panel data evidence from Uganda*. Working Paper. Tokyo: Japan International Cooperation Agency Research Institute.
- Overa, R. (2006) 'Networks, Distance and Trust: Telecommunications development and changing trading practices in Ghana', *World Development* 34(7): 1301–15.
- Porteous, D. (2006) *The Enabling Environment for Mobile Banking in Africa*. Report commissioned by the UK Department for International Development, July. Somerville, MA: Bankable Frontier Associates.
- Prowse, M. (2008) *Locating and Extending Livelihoods Research*. BWPI Working Paper 37. Manchester: Brooks World Poverty Institute, University of Manchester.
- Rangaswamy, N. (2009) 'ICT for Mesh-Economy: Case-study of an urban slum'. Paper presented at *IFIP 2009*, Dubai, 26 May. <https://research.microsoft.com/en-us/people/nimmir/ifip2009.doc> (accessed 10 July 2012).
- Safaricom (2010) *M-PESA Resource Center*. Nairobi: Safaricom Limited. [www.safaricom.co.ke/index.php?id=1140](http://www.safaricom.co.ke/index.php?id=1140) (accessed 10 July 2012).
- Samarajiva, R. (2005) 'Mobilising Information and Communication Technologies for Effective Disaster Warning: Lessons from Sri Lanka', *New Media and Society* 7(6): 731–47.
- Samuel, J., Shah, N. and Hadingham, W. (2007) *Mobile Communications in South Africa, Tanzania and Egypt: Results from community and business surveys: Moving the debate forward*. Vodafone Policy Paper Series No 2. Newbury: Vodafone Research.
- Scoones, I. (2009) 'Livelihood Perspectives and Rural Development', *The Journal of Peasant Studies* 36(1): 171–96.
- Shaffer, R. (2007) 'Unplanned Obsolescence - Muhammad Yunus - Nobel Peace Prize – Bangladesh'. [www.fastcompany.com/magazine/118/unplanned-obsolescence.html](http://www.fastcompany.com/magazine/118/unplanned-obsolescence.html).
- Sife, A. S., Kiondo, E. and Lyimo-Macha, J. G. (2010) 'Contribution of Mobile Phones to Rural Livelihoods and Poverty Reduction in Morogoro Region, Tanzania', *Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries* 42(3): 1–15.
- Souter, D.; Scott, N.; Garforth, C.; Jain, R.; Mascararenhaz, O. and McKerney, K. (2007) *The Economic Impact of Telecommunications on Rural Livelihoods and Poverty Reduction: A study of rural communities in India (Gujarat), Mozambique and Tanzania*. London: Commonwealth Telecommunications Organisation.
- Southwood, R. (2010) 'Africa's Mobile Market Will Go Open Access: It's not If but When and How it all works out', *Balancing Act: Telecoms, Internet and Broadcast in Africa*. Issue 581. <http://www.infodev.org/en/Article.581.html> (accessed 7 June 2012).
- UNCTAD (2010) *Information Economy Report: ICTs, enterprises and poverty alleviation*. Geneva: United Nations Conference on Trade and Development.
- Venkatesh, V.; Morris, M. G.; Davis, G. B. and Davis, F. D. (2003) 'User Acceptance of Information Technology: Toward a unified view', *MIS Quarterly* 27(3): 425–78.
- Vincent, K. and Freeland, N. (2008) *Upwardly Mobile: The potential to deliver social protection by cell phone – lessons from Lesotho*. Proceedings of the 1st Mobiles for Development (M4D) Conference, Karlstad University, Sweden.
- Wakunuma, K. (2006) 'The Internet and Mobile Telephony: Implications for women's development and empowerment in Zambia'. Paper presented at Workshop on 'Gender, ICTs and Development', University of Manchester, 6 June. [On-line] <http://www.womenicnterprise.org/manworkshop.htm> (accessed 4 June 2012).

Copyright of Development Policy Review is the property of Wiley-Blackwell and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.